

Survey Summary and Analysis

ROSY MOUND EXPANSION MASTER PLAN

November 25, 2024

This document is based on results of an on-line survey made available to the public from November 5 through November 21, 2024 regarding concept plans prepared for the property expansion at Rosy Mound Natural Area. The survey collected data from 1509 individuals. 1251 of the individuals are Ottawa County Residents. Results included percentage answers to individual questions and written comments.

Observations

- **Overall Level/Scope of improvements** – A large majority of survey respondents favored little to no development with 66% preferring the minimal concept plan. In addition, many (over 16%) commented that they would prefer “no development” at all in order to minimize disturbance to natural dune areas, vegetation, wildlife, and to the current character of the park. This sentiment was further supported by the lack of support for any type of permanent structures except for a modern restroom. Only natural restoration/habitat areas (90%) areas and natural surface trails (74%) received large majority support, although several people also commented on the need to balance conservation with appropriate access.
- **Trail System** – Most proposed trail related improvements received small majority approval - between 50-55%. There were several comments that additional trails would be desirable in particular to connect from the existing park trail system to the new property. Notably, crushed stone trails (what is at the current Rosy Mound trail system) did not garner a majority.
- **Accessibility** – Despite the lack of support for crushed stone trails, a barrier-free trail loop received majority support, and several comments noted the desire for accessibility for the elderly or those with special needs.
- **Restrooms** – As mentioned above, a modern restroom was desired. Several commenters also requested additional restroom facilities at the beach.
- **Parking** – No parking option received more than 42% support – even the smallest 50 car lot. In particular, the larger parking areas proposed were noted to pave over too much natural area, make the area more of a beach park, and in general bring too many people into the area. Bus parking similarly elicited negative responses. At the same time, several comments noted the need for extra parking at certain times due to overflow at the existing access area. It was suggested a few times that new facilities be incorporated into the existing park facilities
- **Dogs** – A good number of commenters requested access and facilities for dogs.
- **Nature Playscape** – A desire for family activities was mentioned several times. Although the nature playscape was not rated highly overall , numerical analysis of the survey

OTTAWA COUNTY – ROSY MOUND

Public Survey Synopsis and Recommendations

November 22nd, 2024

Page 2

utilizing only responses from younger people yielded a majority in favor of this type of facility. At the same time, many people felt that a play area was inappropriate for this type of area and that kids should be encouraged to enjoy nature itself.

- **Bikes** – A number of people (less than 2%) requested trails for mountain bikes.
- **Winter Activities** – Several commentors (less than 1%) requested consideration of winter activities including cross-country skiing and sledding.
- **Camping**- Less than 1% of the commentors requested camping or yurts on site.
- **Costs**- Several commentors were concerned about the short and long-term costs for larger facilities.
- **Details** –Many commentors identified details that they would like to see added including benches, interpretive signs, foot washes and water bottle fillers. Concerns about trash also prompted several people to suggest more trash containers. People also requested fencing to prevent trespassing on adjacent property and keep users on the trails and out of sensitive habitats.

Comments

- **Survey Bias** – It should be noted that this was a voluntary/non-scientific survey. These types of surveys typically draw the most interested and highly motivated participants and may not be representative of an average Ottawa County resident or park user.
- **Misunderstanding of site and plan** – There seemed to be several misunderstandings related to the property and proposed improvements that should be addressed in future plans and communications. These include the following:
 - The impact of improvements was thought to be to natural dune or forests. In fact, all of the major improvements, even for the maximum utilization plan, are proposed in the open area of previous mining which is highly disturbed. Even most of the proposed new trails are on existing trails or in disturbed areas. No new improvements other than trails are proposed for existing park property
 - The character of the existing park was perceived to be drastically altered by the additional improvements. This does not take into account the topographic isolation of the mined area of the new property. Improvements in this area would not be seen at all from the existing park or beach area and uses at the proposed larger group facilities mostly limited to this area.
 - The idea that this is a “natural area” as opposed to a park and therefore should not include any improvements was common. Related to this, there was little understanding of the grant funding of the acquisition that requires allowing public access, or the impact to the site of not doing anything to accommodate visitors.



MCSA
GROUP, Inc.

Landscape Architects and Architects
EAST GRAND RAPIDS • MICHIGAN

Landscape Architecture
Park & Recreation Planning
Architecture • Urban Design
Sports Facility Planning

OTTAWA COUNTY – ROSY MOUND

Public Survey Synopsis and Recommendations

November 22nd, 2024

Page 3


- The large parking areas were thought to be to encourage much greater beach use such as at Grand Haven State Park or other beach parks. This is not the case. In fact, the size of the parking areas is related to the size of the facilities that need parking to support that use, such as the larger shelters. It is not anticipated that beach use will increase dramatically, since the long and somewhat strenuous hike to the beach will continue to deter many.
- There is not a good understanding of the need and desire as defined in the new parks strategic plan to provide spaces and facilities for community engagement and outdoor education. Therefore, elements such as the bus parking and shelters were not looked at favorably.
- The idea of expanding the existing trailhead was not considered during the original planning but deserves some exploration. This option would reduce costs by utilizing the existing entrance drive and restroom facility and continue to consolidate operational efforts in one area. Reasons to add the north access instead include the following:
 - The new parking area would be in an area of maximum disturbance from the mining activities. A new parking area at the existing access would disturb higher quality natural land that has been well managed for two decades.
 - The second access point would disperse use over a larger area instead of concentrating it in one area, thus preserving the less busy character of the park.
 - The new entrance is the only reasonable way to provide access for everyone to the spectacular scenery and natural features of the new property which is markedly different from the existing park area.

Recommendations

- Use the minimal plan as the basis for the preferred/draft master plan
- Add all trails from full utilization plan, including a barrier free 1 mile loop to be paved in concrete.
- Keep 50 space parking area, but add some spaces for overflow.
- Add barrier free route to crest of mining bowl if possible, routed should be paved with concrete and have integral color if possible.
- Add note about flashing warning signal at existing (south) park entrance.
- Add note about reconstructing stairs at two original beach access stair locations
- Revise notes: Change “picnic shelters” to “covered interpretive activity area” and “bus parking” to “school bus parking for park programming”.

Q1 In general, please rank the concept plans in the order of your preference

Answered: 1,386 Skipped: 123



	1	2	3	TOTAL	SCORE
Minimal Impact Concept Plan	66.98% 917	16.36% 224	16.65% 228	1,369	2.50
Hybrid Concept Plan	22.70% 291	72.39% 928	4.91% 63	1,282	2.18
Full Utilization Plan	12.86% 164	9.80% 125	77.33% 986	1,275	1.36

Q2 Why did you rank the concepts the way you did?

Answered: 1,414 Skipped: 95

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Leave it alone	11/22/2024 7:45 AM
2	It is important for people to walk in the beauty. To see God's beauty	11/22/2024 7:06 AM
3	I want thing is as minimally impacted as possible the preference and consensus online it's just to leave it natural.	11/22/2024 6:32 AM
4	It should stay as a natural preserve with a natural environment.	11/22/2024 6:32 AM
5	Not everything needs to be developed. There are plenty of playgrounds and family parks in the area, we don't need another right there. Let nature be nature.	11/22/2024 6:31 AM
6	Hybrid connects to existing trails but still respects the integrity of the existing landscape and natural habitats.	11/22/2024 6:17 AM
7	I prefer that we preserve the natural land than develop it.	11/22/2024 5:58 AM
8	We should have as minimum of an impact on nature as possible, but we do also need to educate people and enjoy nature respectfully. The hybrid option seems like the best way for this.	11/22/2024 5:29 AM
9	I would like to be as close untouched as possible	11/21/2024 11:59 PM
10	The property needs to be utilized and developed with amenities that make it desirable for the 21st century visitor.	11/21/2024 11:36 PM
11	Additional trails and a more accessible (paved) way to get farther into the dune area	11/21/2024 11:29 PM
12	Prefer to have more nature but also want to be able to access it	11/21/2024 11:04 PM
13	The mound should be left alone	11/21/2024 11:01 PM
14	The natural beauty of our unspoiled landscapes along the lakeshore are amazing.	11/21/2024 10:26 PM
15	1 being what I'd like to see the most. 3 being the least. Basically LEAVE IT ALONE	11/21/2024 10:05 PM
16	I liked the event center option in the Hybrid plan.	11/21/2024 10:03 PM
17	I want to see more trails but not a lot of development such as an event center. The current Rosy Mound is so special because it's minimally developed. A small picnic shelter and small natural play area would be okay but not a large parking area either. When it's full, it's full to keep from getting crowded.	11/21/2024 9:54 PM
18	Keep area as natural as possible	11/21/2024 9:48 PM
19	NO DEVELOPMENT. Can't go back once you do.	11/21/2024 9:47 PM
20	It needs to be left alone. We are running out of open land. Impacting many wildlife. Please leave it.	11/21/2024 9:45 PM
21	I would really prefer the Minimal Impact plan but I realize that in order to accommodate the number of people who would use this resource more parking and shelters are needed.	11/21/2024 9:44 PM
22	Please do not over develop! Rosy Mounds provides lots of fantastic habitat for breeding and migrating birds and other wildlife. This does not need to be a beach destination! A large parking area, paved paths, and increased influx of people will damage this unique habitat and further disturb wildlife.	11/21/2024 9:38 PM
23	The multiple trail choice while still offering accessibility to a wide range of people	11/21/2024 9:37 PM
24	More recreational activities for the city	11/21/2024 9:33 PM

25	I believe that a lot of the construction included in the hybrid and full plans may be superfluous, such as an abundance of structures, paved spaces, etc. If such construction is there to make the park more accessible and engaging to the public, the impact on wildlife needs to be considered first. I believe the minimal impact plan supports wildlife the most while still offering access to those who wish to encounter it. Lighting and large events will not attract or retain wildlife. However, picnic shelters will still enable families and groups to socialize in a natural setting. For me, this is the best balance of attracting people, allowing them to experience the environment and their own social lives, while minimizing the impact structures and traffic have on the wildlife.	11/21/2024 9:33 PM
26	Less is more & additional things could be added on later. I would prefer NO development!	11/21/2024 9:25 PM
27	Maintain much of natural environment but make more accessible to people to explore and enjoy.	11/21/2024 9:23 PM
28	Because I think a park should be about nature & not some big developed thing. It's like camping versus glamping. Sure the amenities are nice to have but they're unnecessary and take away from the experience.	11/21/2024 9:22 PM
29	I like the playscape combined with minimal impact and more natural trails. Even the hybrid feels like a lot of modernization. Bathrooms, playscape, and well planned natural trails without all the bells and whistles would be best. Free entry or state park pass entry for better community inclusion.	11/21/2024 9:22 PM
30	Adding additional trails would create more issues with disturbance to wildlife and plants, which is especially concerning due to the importance of dune habitats along Lake Michigan. The less impact, the better	11/21/2024 9:15 PM
31	A nice balance between human access and natural preservation seems ideal.	11/21/2024 9:15 PM
32	I like the added amenities/trails of the hybrid concept without too much invasion of the full plan.	11/21/2024 9:09 PM
33	Playground	11/21/2024 9:03 PM
34	The West Michigan coastline is a special place for many in he area but also for many who look forward to visiting every summer so expanding the options for visitors makes sense especially with capacity in parking areas always being an issue at existing parks	11/21/2024 8:21 PM
35	The site should remain as natural as possible	11/21/2024 8:12 PM
36	I like things to remain as natural as possible. I grew up in GH and my Dad and sisters are there. I played there and would hate for it to be compromised	11/21/2024 8:04 PM
37	Prefer left natural. No concept plan	11/21/2024 5:57 PM
38	The full makes too much of an impact, in my opinion. The Hybrid strikes a good balance with more parking but not paving a large section of the park.	11/21/2024 5:51 PM
39	I like amenities	11/21/2024 5:45 PM
40	Versatile use of space	11/21/2024 5:28 PM
41	I am not sure. The presentation is confusing.	11/21/2024 4:03 PM
42	Rosy Mound is a county natural area and the new area should maintain that concept. The Full Utilization plan is much too intrusive as is the hybrid. 200 parking spaces is extreme! As a shoreline habitat, the area is special to migration for birds as a stopover, and also for resident birds for nesting in the summer. Trails for natural experience and nature viewing is important if not overdone.	11/21/2024 3:58 PM
43	The shoreline and good habitat are crucial for birds in migration as a stopover and refueling area. This area should remain as natural as possible. Nesting areas are very important as more habitat is lost. Too many of the county parks are straying from keeping areas natural for wildlife. Concept three has too much of the habitat destroyed. Minimal impact is best for wildlife and birds in particular. The numbers of birds in Ottawa County has been dropping.	11/21/2024 3:58 PM
44	Will preserve natural habitat the most	11/21/2024 3:38 PM
45	I like the idea of having boardwalks which gives ppl like me access (some mobility issues) . Minimal impact is of course good for nature but really limits access to just a few.	11/21/2024 3:28 PM

46	Keep it natural. Don't mess with the beauty that is already there.	11/21/2024 3:06 PM
47	This is some of the last large, undeveloped wild, dune areas along the lakeshore proximal to developed land. This green-space provides habitat for many creatures. Rosy is currently a very accessible park with areas that remain wild and unintruded. Many times developers can spoil the with hyper development. They initially passed the millage by including dog owners, only to exclude dogs a few years later. They never would have passed it with the exclusion of dog owners. It would have been nice to "hear" the public before dog exclusion. I thought they could have imposed an annual dog fee which would have paid for all staffing costs. I am a biologist and recognize the need for wild buffers around parks. It is a beautiful area that cleanses the soul with the sounds of surf, the sights are of aerial predators and other wildlife. Too Much access will damage your the integrity of the unique system.	11/21/2024 2:55 PM
48	This presentation is awful. It is very difficult to make an informed judgment on such a bad presentation of the options. I would not put much weight on results from a very challenging, hard to access survey	11/21/2024 2:52 PM
49	We've already done so much to the area, and Grand Haven in general, itd be nice to keep an area as untouched as possible to let our area's natural beauty speak for itself without making it only worth how much can be squeezed out of it	11/21/2024 2:38 PM
50	Best use of land	11/21/2024 2:37 PM
51	I do not want that land to be developed. Please leave it alone.	11/21/2024 2:35 PM
52	I love seeing things as they are, it makes the hikes peaceful. We do not need more traffic going to the park, then it ceases to he relaxing for the people that enjoy it.	11/21/2024 2:31 PM
53	I prefer to preserve the natural quality of the dunes as best as possible	11/21/2024 2:17 PM
54	More habitat for animals, less humanity	11/21/2024 2:06 PM
55	I am in favor of leaving green spaces green. The less development the better! Everything is being eaten up and developed on the lakeshore, we need to preserve our natural resources and not allow continuous development for the sake of "improvement."	11/21/2024 1:51 PM
56	I think the least amount of impact makes sense.	11/21/2024 1:39 PM
57	I would appreciate a playground space and more parking while still preserving the natural ecosystems as much as possible.	11/21/2024 1:32 PM
58	Keep it untouched - so few undeveloped areas here.	11/21/2024 1:28 PM
59	Leave it alone. Doesn't need to Change	11/21/2024 1:24 PM
60	The way they look	11/21/2024 1:21 PM
61	I think rosy mound has some of the best untouched lakeshore views and want to keep that immersed in nature feel the park provides.	11/21/2024 1:18 PM
62	Keep it more natural	11/21/2024 1:09 PM
63	Leave it natural. Not everything needs to be altered for humans. You just finished Ottawa Sands that provides many amenities.	11/21/2024 12:32 PM
64	I like more of the land left to nature. There is no need to bring hundreds of people into this area. There are other parks for that.	11/21/2024 12:23 PM
65	I do not want to see the area around Rosy Mound disturbed. It is a place of peace and solitude in nature. As a combat veteran I place high value on these spaces for the mental health and enjoyment of myself, my wife and children, and my neighbors.	11/21/2024 12:22 PM
66	To me the minimal impact plan already feels like full utilization of the space. The other plans seem like way too much for the relatively small footprint of the park.	11/21/2024 12:22 PM
67	Even minimal is too much! Leave nature alone; not everything needs to be developed. There are so many other options for people to go to already.	11/21/2024 12:09 PM
68	KEEP THE ANIMALS SAFE IN THEIR NATURAL HABITAT	11/21/2024 11:55 AM
69	Not much nature left here	11/21/2024 11:47 AM

70	To add the entire concept plan would bring in more people to this beautiful city.	11/21/2024 11:41 AM
71	Less impact first choice	11/21/2024 11:35 AM
72	Less impact is better	11/21/2024 11:00 AM
73	I prefer this area be adjusted to accommodate more people, as I believe that the more people that get access to natural spaces, the better - however, I also believe that nature deserves to be free on its own accord and shouldn't be fully utilized, ever.	11/21/2024 10:55 AM
74	2 is a good compromise. 3 is too much. Regardless of which is selected, dogs should not be allowed anywhere on the site. I am a dog owner.	11/21/2024 10:54 AM
75	Leave Rosy Alone!!!! Stop making everything a parking lot.	11/21/2024 10:53 AM
76	We should keep the area as natural as possible.	11/21/2024 10:51 AM
77	I think 2 is a reasonable upgrade considering the additional acreage.	11/21/2024 10:33 AM
78	I think the hybrid concept plan has the best balance of development and conservation, but the full utilization is also pretty well balanced. I like the idea of having a shelter to host events, eat picnics, etc.	11/21/2024 10:19 AM
79	Would like to see the current state preserved.	11/21/2024 10:13 AM
80	We are losing natural spaces for species to remain and thrive, the more green space we have, the better for all environments and ecosystems. Tourists have lots of places they can stay	11/21/2024 10:08 AM
81	I want to keep nature as natural as possible.	11/21/2024 9:56 AM
82	Minimal distribution to natural area	11/21/2024 9:55 AM
83	I want more trails, but I also don't want the full utilization model because of the maximal impact	11/21/2024 9:51 AM
84	Leave the area alone. Don't do anything to it	11/21/2024 9:49 AM
85	I believe we need to disrupt as little of the natural environment as possible. Let's allow the animals to enjoy it just as much as we do..	11/21/2024 9:25 AM
86	I would be happy with either the minimal impact plan or the hybrid plan. The full utilization plan not only creates a larger impact with buildings, roads and a much larger parking area, but all of these together set the stage for a greater human impact with increasing negative effects on this critical dune habitat. With so many other parks so close by that already offer these kinds of opportunities, it doesn't seem like their is a need for another with the potential for causing harm to this habitat.	11/21/2024 9:23 AM
87	I like the idea of more trails with the least amount of impact	11/21/2024 9:22 AM
88	Save our natural areas. Beaches have already shrunk tremendously in the last 50 years. This will further increase the problem	11/21/2024 9:17 AM
89	I think it'll be nice to have another space for group gatherings	11/21/2024 9:14 AM
90	Reduce impact to blowout dune area, critical dune habitat is critical for a reason	11/21/2024 8:59 AM
91	Don't want to see it developed, leave it as nature intended	11/21/2024 8:52 AM
92	We NEED nature. Do not destroy this	11/21/2024 8:43 AM
93	Would like more trails and access.	11/21/2024 8:43 AM
94	We should try to leave it most natural while also having the opportunity to enjoy the area. There should be added a mountain bike trail loop	11/21/2024 8:35 AM
95	I am concerned options 2 and 3 will disturb wildlife movement and migration paths.	11/21/2024 8:35 AM
96	There is very little space in Grand Haven that you can truly be surrounded by nature. Taking away more of the small space that we have takes you even further from that.	11/21/2024 8:34 AM
97	I want people to enjoy the area raw and unchanged	11/21/2024 8:32 AM
98	It's nice to have it developed but keep the natural landscape. In the Full version , I like the	11/21/2024 8:13 AM

	path that runs parallel to Lakeshore Drive between the new in existing parking areas.	
99	Prefer to keep this "Natural Area" as natural as possible.	11/21/2024 8:10 AM
100	Prefer minimal impact on the land for the benefit of plants and animals.	11/21/2024 8:09 AM
101	It plans for improvements and better connection to the beach area but minimizes drawing large amounts of people at one time and doesn't substantially change the area,	11/21/2024 8:08 AM
102	Natural areas should be kept that way. To many areas around GH and Spring Lake are being developed.	11/21/2024 8:02 AM
103	Keep this area as natural as possible, PLEASE!	11/21/2024 7:50 AM
104	Because we need to leave nature as it is. This is a beautiful spot and the only park in the area where you can look in any direction and still just see nature instead of man made structures. We need to protect and value that.	11/21/2024 7:46 AM
105	The dunes are fragile and we should keep them in tact as much as possible. They are also a great place to learn from and walk in so I think the hybrid plan achieved that.	11/21/2024 7:43 AM
106	Want a basic one to feel more natural	11/21/2024 7:41 AM
107	Preserve as much nature as possible	11/21/2024 7:41 AM
108	I do wish this space was more utilized but over time the maintenance of the full utilization plan seems like it would be too much.	11/21/2024 7:34 AM
109	I would like to see very minimal impact to wildlife.	11/21/2024 7:28 AM
110	I do not prefer to see the natural habitat changed	11/21/2024 7:24 AM
111	Keeping the park in a more natural state may lower the impact of people on the property.	11/21/2024 7:14 AM
112	Leave it alone	11/21/2024 7:13 AM
113	Prefer the features in that order.	11/21/2024 7:03 AM
114	Once you start developing natural, dune areas it can never return to its beauty. Find other areas to develop housing-there are many unused fields lots available in Ottawa County.	11/21/2024 6:59 AM
115	The spot was already mined and tampered with so let's maximize the space and opportunity now instead of having to expand later	11/21/2024 6:55 AM
116	I want a minimal impact to the area	11/21/2024 6:42 AM
117	buy it use it	11/21/2024 6:39 AM
118	there's no need for a full utilization of all that land, but right in the middle seemed perfect for the area.	11/21/2024 6:24 AM
119	I feel Rosy Mound has a lot to offer as it is. I worry adding more will take away some of it unique quality.	11/21/2024 6:18 AM
120	I looked at these purely from the length of trails. I would prefer more trails added so there are options for longer hikes and the trails aren't so busy. Is there a way to incorporate option 1's facilities with options 3's network of trails?	11/21/2024 5:58 AM
121	More natural feel. Better for the habitat of the animals.	11/21/2024 5:54 AM
122	To protect the natural beauty of the place	11/21/2024 5:48 AM
123	Minimal is best for surrounding areas and impact on our precious dunes. The dunes deserve our respect not our building footprint.	11/21/2024 5:45 AM
124	The large north east facing dune is very unique in that it holds snow very well, it faces North East so the sun doesn't melt it as quickly, the wind doesn't blow it out as frequently, and it has a nice pitch for skiing, snowboarding, and snurfing. In west Michigan There is no large open slope anywhere near as good as this dune that meets the requirements for backcountry skiing. It would be a shame to close off access to this slope, build a boardwalk over it, or disrupt the potential for winter skiing. If you would like to discuss further, please call me 616-218-6868 Thanks, Andy Bolt	11/21/2024 5:40 AM

125	No more development, leaving it natural is so critical to future of our community	11/21/2024 5:32 AM
126	Leave it in its natural state with only walking trails	11/21/2024 5:32 AM
127	leave the land the way it is. minimal is to much.	11/21/2024 4:53 AM
128	It's perfect as it is. Keep it that way	11/21/2024 4:08 AM
129	N/a	11/21/2024 3:20 AM
130	Natural areas should remain natural	11/21/2024 2:29 AM
131	I say to either stick more to the land that already exists and leave it alone (mostly), or make natural impacts as low as possible. The large gathering areas, if approved, would be best left off the main site. This area is meant for nature, hikers, bikers and beach goers.	11/21/2024 2:11 AM
132	That's how I feel. All or nothing, no in-between.	11/21/2024 1:15 AM
133	Keep Rosy natural!	11/21/2024 1:04 AM
134	-	11/21/2024 12:42 AM
135	I think that nature should be natural, however, with making some adjustments more people may get out and enjoy nature more.	11/21/2024 12:17 AM
136	He more options the better for family's to have events is great	11/20/2024 11:45 PM
137	The minimal impact concept seems to cater to all! Nature and community. Although I'd love to see the playground! Leave nature natural. I'm coming to see the beautiful natural grounds of grand haven and all the plants and animals that come with it!	11/20/2024 11:18 PM
138	keep rosy mound natural!!!! if i could choose 0 for all concepts i would.	11/20/2024 11:11 PM
139	Leave the dunes natural!	11/20/2024 10:52 PM
140	No development!	11/20/2024 10:44 PM
141	To keep it as natural as possible for wildlife.	11/20/2024 10:30 PM
142	I only see the playground included in the hybrid. We don't have very many FULLY accessible playgrounds in the area, and I would like to see more playgrounds available for children of all ages, and all abilities. This includes appropriate fencing for runners, sensory guided play, and bigger features that even adults could use if they so choose so that older kids have something to do.	11/20/2024 10:26 PM
143	Please do what's best for the Earth!	11/20/2024 10:23 PM
144	That area holds so much potential	11/20/2024 10:23 PM
145	Rosy mound is a special place for a lot of people and one of the only places that remains mostly untouched.	11/20/2024 10:22 PM
146	The Lake Michigan lakeshore has very few untouched areas left that are publicly accessible. Preserving as much dunegrass as possible and natural vegetation is a must, especially with climate change and more severe winds.	11/20/2024 10:20 PM
147	I love the park the way it is. It's one place you can feel you are fully in the woods.	11/20/2024 10:17 PM
148	Keep everything natural	11/20/2024 10:08 PM
149	This land is naturally beautiful and should be preserved this way	11/20/2024 9:53 PM
150	I would like to see the area remain in a more natural state.	11/20/2024 9:44 PM
151	The large bike trail connection & restrooms are a huge need.	11/20/2024 9:42 PM
152	Like idea of having another park for kids and being able to have group events	11/20/2024 9:38 PM
153	That's what I believe.	11/20/2024 9:38 PM
154	Rosey mound is nice because it's less busy than grand haven beach. Easy access attracts crazy groups - cigarette smoke, loud music, beach games, etc. are at grand haven. That's fine! But let Rosey mound stay what it is. An active, clean getaway for people who want a	11/20/2024 9:38 PM

quieter option from grand haven. This isn't coming from an old person, I'm a 25 year old female who loves visiting Rosey Mound year-round to get active, enjoy nature, exercise in the stairs, soak in the tranquility!! It's the best! All of the craziness from GH is just going to bleed into this spot. Come on, let it be Rosey Mound.

155	Stop using all the land. Let it be. Not everything has to be developed. Leave it alone and as is.	11/20/2024 9:35 PM
156	I like minimizing the impact somewhat on the natural area, but still developing enough amenities for the community and the growth that we're expecting to see. There's already limited parking at Rosy Mound, so would love to see expanded parking to allow more residents and visitors to utilize this beautiful area. I like the trails plan, but don't see as much of a need for things like the expanded shelters.	11/20/2024 9:33 PM
157	I want it to be left as natural as possible preserving wildlife.	11/20/2024 9:32 PM
158	This parcel of land is a rare treasure along the lake. We need to preserve the beauty of this unique area and keep it in as close to its natural state as possible, as well as preserve the habitat of the many animals and plants that call it home. We are so fortunate to have this special parcel of land in our county - it may be one of the last natural dune areas in our state - so it's our responsibility to protect it and keep the impact to this area as minimal as possible.	11/20/2024 9:28 PM
159	I picked what's best for biodiversity	11/20/2024 9:21 PM
160	We need to preserve nature and keep the area for plants and wildlife	11/20/2024 9:13 PM
161	Plan 3 has too much parking with the overflow area. Hybrid allows for mixed use of the disturbed mining area.	11/20/2024 9:08 PM
162	Because it's supposed to be a natural area. Man made structures isn't very natural.	11/20/2024 9:07 PM
163	We need to keep places with little to no pavement in tact. West Michigan has a variety of recreation opportunities in dune areas, with varying degrees of accessibility. We need to not put asphalt everywhere just because we can.	11/20/2024 9:07 PM
164	My favorite part about rosey mound currently is you have to get out and walk to see the beach it nice to see more wilderness than pavement.	11/20/2024 9:07 PM
165	I want as little development as possible on this area	11/20/2024 9:05 PM
166	These natural landscapes need to stay. Nobody is going to want to visit a developed beach place, we'll have nothing to offer but money. Yuck. Do better	11/20/2024 9:05 PM
167	This is critical dune habitat which is globally rare and at risk of disappearing from the planet if we don't step in and save it from development. Sure it has a past of abuse and misuse, but it can be managed in a way that helps it become a stable open dunes natural community.	11/20/2024 9:03 PM
168	I think preserving as much of the natural landscape as possible is important, and I worry about overdeveloping the park.	11/20/2024 9:02 PM
169	Minimal disturbance to the land. Even the minimal is too much disturbance.	11/20/2024 9:02 PM
170	I feel it is very important to maintain whatever nature is left not only for its beauty but also for ecological balance in the area. There are too many structures going up and not enough places left natural for the wildlife and to enjoy.	11/20/2024 9:01 PM
171	No development please	11/20/2024 8:58 PM
172	I like the extended trails and overlooks. Don't think a 3 season building is necessary and wonder how often they are used at other parks. Don't care for the play structure as these get hot in sun and moldy, dirty if in shade. Prefer to have 2 smaller picnic shelters rather than 1 large one.	11/20/2024 8:53 PM
173	Less impact on environment	11/20/2024 8:53 PM
174	I like running on only dirt trails. And also have kids so the hiking trails and play ground look cool. Make the trails like Saugatuck dunes!!	11/20/2024 8:47 PM
175	Preserve as much natural habitat as possible, limit the amount of visitor population capacity	11/20/2024 8:44 PM
176	I want to keep it as preserved as possible but also have some modern features like nice bathrooms and parking	11/20/2024 8:29 PM

177	I like keeping it mostly natural but adding a few enhancements to allow people to gather and enjoy the space responsibly.	11/20/2024 8:25 PM
178	I would not like any development to this land. The animals deserve to not have their habitat destroyed. There is so little shoreline that is undeveloped, we don't need another parking lot on our paradise.	11/20/2024 8:12 PM
179	Leave nature alone! We love the quietness and beauty of rosy mound as it is!	11/20/2024 7:50 PM
180	I am not in favor of development and prefer it be left as natural as possible.	11/20/2024 7:38 PM
181	Would like to preserve the natural area as is as much as possible	11/20/2024 7:31 PM
182	I don't want max development in this area.	11/20/2024 7:16 PM
183	I liked the look and feel of the hybrid best.	11/20/2024 7:15 PM
184	Love Ottawa sands maximize as much park area as we can afford	11/20/2024 7:15 PM
185	I like that Rosy Mound doesn't have a lot of bells and whistles and I think the minimal impact plan keeps with that character of the park. A parking lot and a bathroom is one thing, but I think an event center is unnecessary. Let the dunes be the focal point of the park not the development. I also really value the ecological restoration area. With so much development, creating quality habitat is so important.	11/20/2024 7:12 PM
186	The priority should be the natural restoration and protection of the dune and the natural area. Protecting and conserving our shoreline should be at the focus of this project, not adding 200 parking spaces.	11/20/2024 6:42 PM
187	I'd prefer a less invasive change. I enjoy the quiet charm and natural beauty the park offers. I'm worried a dramatic expansion would deter from that.	11/20/2024 6:34 PM
188	There is so little wild space left ...the more complex you make it the more people will visit it every year and that is not good for the habitat no matter how many boardwalks you build	11/20/2024 6:31 PM
189	Additional trails and parking	11/20/2024 6:14 PM
190	I want more beach access. Grand Haven State Park is insufficient	11/20/2024 6:07 PM
191	The city of GH have has enough urban wildlife, please provide a place for habitat protection and so that species of various animals can thrive	11/20/2024 6:01 PM
192	Access to trails and beaches coupled with exercise. I would love to have more miles of lakeshore trails to run.	11/20/2024 5:48 PM
193	I think that the natural beauty is a big draw to Grand Haven, no reason to take that natural beauty and throw a bunch of concrete over it	11/20/2024 5:47 PM
194	I am not fully for the full utilization but I like the multiple trails to connect each part of the park. If there were more natural trails and less concrete we'd be happy.	11/20/2024 5:45 PM
195	Keep the dunes natural	11/20/2024 5:43 PM
196	Would like the land to be fully utilized	11/20/2024 5:41 PM
197	Concerned about going too far with changes.	11/20/2024 5:34 PM
198	the habitat should be preserved at all costs.	11/20/2024 5:32 PM
199	I'd prefer no development at all.	11/20/2024 5:30 PM
200	I like the plan for multiple trail routes, but I do not think the parking lot needs to be so far into the restoration area. This is why I picked the hybrid plan. I voted for the full utilization plan next since it has more trails than the minimal plan, however I dislike the amount of concrete/asphalt that would be required for it.	11/20/2024 5:20 PM
201	I like the hybrid because it has less roads and structures but many walking trails. I hope the trails have an organic, natural appeal. More dirt, less gravel and cement. Less structures. But ultimately numerous trails to enjoy nature. Preserve the habitat for the animals. Thank you	11/20/2024 5:18 PM
202	There should be as little impact on such a beautiful natural dune area as possible. Since the 70's there have been many negative changes in the forest; loss of understory and wildflowers,	11/20/2024 5:06 PM

too many deer, and invasive organisms killing the trees. Please choose wisely and protect this dune area, as natural areas like this are increasingly scarce.

203	Leave the dunes alone	11/20/2024 4:58 PM
204	While I believe more access to the dunes and forests is a great idea, I think too much development would harm what is best about Rosy Mound currently: its relative wildness.	11/20/2024 4:57 PM
205	I favor keeping development to a minimum to leave the area as natural as possible and still provide access.	11/20/2024 4:48 PM
206	Keeping it as natural as possible benefits the wildlife and gives people a place to roam.	11/20/2024 4:41 PM
207	I like the idea of more natural trails, and less parking lot area	11/20/2024 4:15 PM
208	For the love of God, stop "improving" things by commercializing them and encouraging mass over-use. Grand Haven is becoming known as "condo haven" for reasons just like this. While not proposing condos, of course, high "utilization" plans such as the one proposed encourage more destruction of natural beauty and more misuse and degradation of the unique and beautiful area wherein we live. Stop.	11/20/2024 3:53 PM
209	Enjoy the access to see untouched nature, but also not overstepping and moving out wildlife.	11/20/2024 3:48 PM
210	I like the areas to have picnics, and parties. Also, more parking would make it safer and there would be less people crossing Lakeshore if parking is full	11/20/2024 3:40 PM
211	As Indigenous person there has already been too much damage done to the beautiful golden sand hills with its dense beach grass and trees. We must allow these spaces room to regrow and protect at risk environments and the threatened wild life. So if I could I wouldve picked NO impact to low impact possibilities. Not every piece of land should be developed and should be granted sacredness of being untouched by tourists.	11/20/2024 3:31 PM
212	Prefer complete access to the site	11/20/2024 3:24 PM
213	I want to see as little development as possible.	11/20/2024 3:22 PM
214	I like what Ottawa County Parks did at Ottawa Sands. The full utilization plan will accommodate future growth in the area.	11/20/2024 3:21 PM
215	Minimal impact would be better and utilize more of the nature space	11/20/2024 3:16 PM
216	The dunes are sensitive and protected. To be good stewards I would say less of a food print would be the better choice.	11/20/2024 3:14 PM
217	Retain natural aesthetic	11/20/2024 2:33 PM
218	Keep it natural	11/20/2024 2:33 PM
219	Parking and number of people who can enjoy it	11/20/2024 2:08 PM
220	Need more than 50 parking spots (minimal impact plan) and the Full Utilization plan is overbuilt and detracts from the park.	11/20/2024 2:07 PM
221	Hybrid concept keeps the vehicles up towards the entrance. Full utilization is taking away from the natural part of what makes this such a great area to invest in and vehicles would be further into the natural area.	11/20/2024 1:51 PM
222	i wish for nature to remain untouched	11/20/2024 1:34 PM
223	Minimal disturbance to environment	11/20/2024 1:31 PM
224	A natural area should remain natural	11/20/2024 12:54 PM
225	Leave it better than you found it will be harder if it accommodates hundreds of people. Traffic there with an elementary school can already be tricky. Let's preserve nature please!	11/20/2024 12:44 PM
226	I don't think anything should be done. Please keep the land as is. We do not need more developments on our beautiful land. Leave it as is.	11/20/2024 12:42 PM
227	I don't want it to be too big	11/20/2024 12:39 PM
228	Animals deserve to have their habitats preserved	11/20/2024 12:00 PM

229	The Full Utilization plan makes me worry about the natural beauty being taken away. The hybrid seems like a nice middle ground. I'd much rather have minimal impact than full utilization.	11/20/2024 11:59 AM
230	I think the hybrid option adds more year-round usability and access for schools.	11/20/2024 11:52 AM
231	Minimal impact is the best option to help preserve the environment and ecosystem there, and the wildlife that has called that home for years.	11/20/2024 11:50 AM
232	The animals that are natural to the area do not deserve to be uprooted. There's tons of endangered species at risk and for Ottawa County to consider developing an area that should very clearly be left alone would look less than impressive on the county's part. Do not develop this. There are tons of other lakeshore parks. Keep this piece of the lakeshore as it is.	11/20/2024 11:48 AM
233	Minimal to no impact is better	11/20/2024 11:47 AM
234	Leave the property as natural as possible and stop developing everything in the name of money. Let nature be natural.	11/20/2024 11:46 AM
235	Upgrades would be nice. However the beauty of nature is why I enjoy the park. I'd rather keep it simple than over do it.	11/20/2024 11:31 AM
236	We have dwindling areas for wildlife to roam and with wildlife culls not being applied each year causes for more car vs. deer accidents and limited habitats. This is one of the last undeveloped areas in Western Michigan, let's keep it that way.	11/20/2024 11:27 AM
237	I find the natural beauty of a hiking trail is far more pleasurable than looking at an overworked trail with pavement or gravel. All too often I have encountered bikers on trails that say nothing when they come up behind me. Dedicated trails for bikers is needed. Handicap accessibility for viewing is also a plus. But overworking nature to accommodate everything detracts from the natural beauty.	11/20/2024 11:23 AM
238	Population is growing and more and more people are looking for opportunities to stretch their legs. To keep our community healthy, we need to provide opportunities to the maximum.	11/20/2024 11:16 AM
239	I like using the parks as much as possible	11/20/2024 11:01 AM
240	Don't develop it. It is so beautiful and an amazing place to go. Don't ruin the natural beauty	11/20/2024 11:00 AM
241	Less is more and I prefer more natural	11/20/2024 10:53 AM
242	I would prefer it to have a minimal, natural park where humans don't need to have every area managed or entertain them. Enjoy nature as it is. Kids don't need a playground, there are plenty of those. They need fresh air and nature! Less parking to maintain, minimal features.	11/20/2024 10:24 AM
243	The full plan looks amazing! 🤩	11/20/2024 10:22 AM
244	Less impact is the best. We need more natural spaces and less developed.	11/20/2024 10:02 AM
245	Getting it as natural as can be	11/20/2024 9:49 AM
246	We have so few truly "natural" areas anymore that it'd be a shame to "fully utilize" this one.	11/20/2024 9:45 AM
247	I love that Rosey Mounds is usually not that busy. It's very natural at the moment which is part of why I like the park so much, and would like to keep it that way. However, I can see the appeal of wanting a little bigger area for people to gather and enjoy the beautiful spot. Including, the addition of more trails for people to enjoy, without feeling like we're encroaching too much on keeping the park natural.	11/20/2024 9:37 AM
248	No development needed, it's perfect without	11/20/2024 9:24 AM
249	As a neighbor of Rosy Mound, I want as little change as possible.	11/20/2024 9:12 AM
250	I like the additional parking in plan 2 and it seems less invasive than plan 3.	11/20/2024 8:57 AM
251	I believe we need to keep human impact as low as possible on nature. Ottawa parks keeps feeling the need to take our beautiful nature preserves and defacing them with asphalt and or concrete, and buildings.	11/20/2024 8:47 AM
252	I walk Rosy mound almost every day and would love to see it larger, lots of people walk there and sometimes it's almost crowded	11/20/2024 8:43 AM

253	I think that it is important to keep as much natural untouched beach space for animals since so much beach is getting taken for private use and habitat for the animals is dwindling plus it would cost less than building a bigger complex.	11/20/2024 8:35 AM
254	Once this treasured open space is gone, it's gone.	11/20/2024 8:26 AM
255	Rosy Mound is a great place to walk with my young family through some very fun trails but especially in the summer it is often there is no parking and we have to make other plans. By fully utilizing the newly acquired land this will accommodate more people enjoying this stunning area.	11/20/2024 8:25 AM
256	The less impact the better	11/20/2024 8:15 AM
257	2nd law of thermodynamics	11/20/2024 8:09 AM
258	I want to keep the nature area intact!	11/20/2024 8:06 AM
259	Concept 1 minimally impact dune systems, while offering access. Preferred concept would be concept 1 with nature play included. Concept 3's dune perch building would be lovely but may have challenges with shifting sand over the years.	11/20/2024 7:55 AM
260	Hybrid #1 I like the event space option and that there is something to draw a different group of people to the area without invading too much of the natural habitat. Minimal #2 without the attraction of an event space less invasive seems like the better fit	11/20/2024 7:55 AM
261	I feel leaving the park as natural as possible is the best use of the property and allows the public to enjoy it's natural views without being interrupted by structures.	11/20/2024 7:29 AM
262	I don't want an increase of construction, traffic, and numbers/visitors..... Short OR long term	11/20/2024 7:12 AM
263	We must preserve our ever-dwindling natural areas! Especially in such a fast-growing county!!!!	11/20/2024 7:10 AM
264	Always start with a minimum plan. Save money and protect natural areas.	11/20/2024 7:04 AM
265	There are some species that need to have their environment still. I'd love another place to hike but I don't want all the trees taken down and all the wildlife disturbed.	11/20/2024 6:10 AM
266	I like the idea of keeping it to a minimum, less people at one time, less cars, less trouble. Plus, better for environment. I don't think a bigger capacity park is needed in area	11/20/2024 5:02 AM
267	prefer to keep it as natural as possible	11/20/2024 4:59 AM
268	Preserves land. Less parking to prevent overcrowding	11/20/2024 4:02 AM
269	Want more green space not more parking lots	11/20/2024 2:42 AM
270	Less is more! Some of our green spaces just need to remain green with minimal changes / use.	11/19/2024 11:34 PM
271	I believe keeping the area as natural as possible is of value. Rosy Mound is one of my favorite places to visit. Development can take away from the beauty of the location. (Not to mention traffic issues on Lakeshore Drive)	11/19/2024 11:16 PM
272	The most undisturbed state is the best usage for this rare parcel.	11/19/2024 10:58 PM
273	I would love to have more usable outdoor space for events near the lake!	11/19/2024 10:54 PM
274	Love keeping things a tad more natural. Can always add - can't subtract	11/19/2024 10:37 PM
275	A mix is best and keeping it natural with some features is ideal.	11/19/2024 10:31 PM
276	Like the drop off area in the hybrid plan. Also like the playground area in the full plan	11/19/2024 10:30 PM
277	The spaces for large groups to meet would be nice for the community.	11/19/2024 10:29 PM
278	In the future, we may see the need to further develop the land. Why not do it now to the full extent, this way there should be no future incursion of a large renovation cost.	11/19/2024 10:28 PM
279	Keeping it natural is what the area needs. Less development	11/19/2024 10:22 PM
280	More trails are nice and parking is good, but concept 3 is too much development. The area becomes a park, not a natural area.	11/19/2024 10:11 PM

281	Minimal impact better	11/19/2024 10:06 PM
282	Keep it natural	11/19/2024 10:04 PM
283	The minimal the better	11/19/2024 9:53 PM
284	I barely want the environment to be changed!	11/19/2024 9:53 PM
285	Not all land needs to be developed. So much of Me chigan tourism is based on undeveloped beauty	11/19/2024 9:39 PM
286	I would like to see minimal to no alteration to the current ecosystem. While I understand the changes that were made at Ottawa Sands I think it went too far.	11/19/2024 9:24 PM
287	I love Rosy Mound! I think the existing park already has sufficient facilities and the minimal impact plan would be best at conserving more of West Michigans beautiful shoreline. There are already plenty of more developed and accessible beaches north and south of Rosy Mound. The appeal of Rosy Mound is the natural and largely undisturbed landscape, and the minimal impact plan would continue to emphasize that.	11/19/2024 9:22 PM
288	Even the maximum is quite minimal. Wouldn't mind seeing 3-4 yurts	11/19/2024 9:18 PM
289	I'm very interested in the natural playscape. Out of the other 2 options the full utilization one seems like an awful lot of development.	11/19/2024 9:13 PM
290	I want the area to stay as close to undisturbed as possible	11/19/2024 9:07 PM
291	I prefer the full utilization. Lol	11/19/2024 8:56 PM
292	The whole concept of enjoying nature is to enjoy nature!	11/19/2024 8:40 PM
293	The two higher utilization plans would make more of the park accessible to people with physical limitations	11/19/2024 8:33 PM
294	Ottawa county is growing and park overcrowding can be an issue on nice days and will continue to be a growing concern. Plan for the future	11/19/2024 8:31 PM
295	The less buildings, the more natural	11/19/2024 8:25 PM
296	I prefer to leave as much of the area natural as possible and be used primarily for walking and hiking, not large gatherings	11/19/2024 8:24 PM
297	We need to maintain our natural spaces.	11/19/2024 8:21 PM
298	Keep the natural feel, but provide more trails and permanent parking.	11/19/2024 8:20 PM
299	I think Rosy is uniquely simple. We have many other parks in Ottawa that can be utilized if wanting more.	11/19/2024 8:17 PM
300	Like to have some amenities like trails, playgrounds, but wildlife and dunes need to be preserved.	11/19/2024 8:15 PM
301	Would like it to remain as natural as possible for the ecosystem.	11/19/2024 8:11 PM
302	We need to keep parks the way they are which is beauty and natural things happen. Let's continue to let things happen at there pace	11/19/2024 8:05 PM
303	Development ruins the beauty	11/19/2024 7:59 PM
304	We shouldn't develop it at all	11/19/2024 7:44 PM
305	I believe minimal impact is important, but also the needs for parking should be considered.	11/19/2024 7:42 PM
306	Please leave it natural	11/19/2024 7:30 PM
307	To get the most out of the property.	11/19/2024 7:20 PM
308	More trails to enjoy the beautiful property	11/19/2024 7:19 PM
309	it would be nice to have more room and more potential things to do	11/19/2024 7:19 PM
310	I think the nature should stay natural.	11/19/2024 7:15 PM

311	In favor of a more natural concept for the area to be protected from development. There's enough concrete on the landscape elsewhere. Minimal impacts with the most natural landscape design would provide connection with the outdoors to many people. That concept is ALWAYS in short supply in today's world.	11/19/2024 7:07 PM
312	Hybrid feels like a happy medium. More parking and additional trails would be nice, and I like the parking lot closer to the road. Minimal plan second because I see no issues other than parking during busy times. And full usage third because it feels more disruptive than the hybrid.	11/19/2024 6:51 PM
313	The less distribution to the land the better	11/19/2024 6:38 PM
314	Full seems overly invasive and minimal didn't seem like enough	11/19/2024 6:30 PM
315	Would love more trails there.	11/19/2024 6:21 PM
316	I love the idea of the three season shelter and nature and event center. I also do like the idea of multiple trails leading from the new acquisition. The full utilization concept seems like too much asphalt too close to natural areas that could be used to improve habitat. I think the less development, the better, but still enough to accommodate a variety of people.	11/19/2024 6:10 PM
317	Lighting would be nice, excessive upgrades ruin nature	11/19/2024 5:48 PM
318	I am in support of the plan which will have the least negative impact on the surrounding wildlife communities and ensure the wonder of our natural environment is preserved for future generations	11/19/2024 5:24 PM
319	Because people hike these areas anyways and are more likely to stay on path of least resistance	11/19/2024 5:21 PM
320	Minimum impact preserves our nature	11/19/2024 5:13 PM
321	If you are going to develop the park it should be done to maximize potential.	11/19/2024 5:05 PM
322	Most accessible	11/19/2024 4:34 PM
323	we need more of the lakeshore left in a natural state. I used to be a regular visitor to Rosy Mound for hiking and swimming. Since the County developed it I've only been there a couple of times.	11/19/2024 4:31 PM
324	Hybrid should please both sides of the non-intervention and full utilization	11/19/2024 4:16 PM
325	More option for public use.	11/19/2024 4:02 PM
326	I would like to see enough improvement to make the area accessible and useful for everyone, but would like to conserve as much natural space as possible	11/19/2024 4:02 PM
327	I have kids and love hemlock nature center. But we also love simplicity and minimal development of Rosy Mound. It's our go to for quiet walk in the woods and doesn't need a ton of development. If some needs more we have hemlock and Ottawa sands nearby with excellent resources.	11/19/2024 3:50 PM
328	I'd like it to stay natural	11/19/2024 3:47 PM
329	I'd like decent parking and access to items, but still want a lot of natural space.	11/19/2024 3:43 PM
330	I'd like a more developed space for families to walk around and enjoy nature in	11/19/2024 3:10 PM
331	The minimal impact concept seems to do the best job of maintaining the park and a natural and peaceful destination. I don't love the idea of bringing motor vehicles even deeper into the park/into an area where their noise pollution would be more noticeable. I feel like the minimal impact design does the best job of practicing and supporting Leave No Trace as well.	11/19/2024 3:00 PM
332	I think it is important to keep it as natural as possible	11/19/2024 2:06 PM
333	dunes preservation. Keep Rosy Mound the way it was 30 years ago. Keep it natural.	11/19/2024 2:03 PM
334	I like the spaces for people that need them.	11/19/2024 2:00 PM
335	I think it is better to keep the land as natural as possible without disturbing the resource given but still utilizing it. I would like actual bathrooms if possible for those with disabilities or small kids.	11/19/2024 1:48 PM

336	There are many other parks in the area that are fully accessible. It is nice to have Rosie mound as a more natural area!	11/19/2024 1:43 PM
337	I have young kids and we like the lower incline loop trails.. I like how the more expansive plan has more options for that type of access.	11/19/2024 1:24 PM
338	Seemed to make the most sense in order I organized it.	11/19/2024 1:16 PM
339	More hiking trails	11/19/2024 1:10 PM
340	I like the idea of a play area for kids while keeping the area as natural as possible	11/19/2024 1:09 PM
341	We need more restoration not more human intrusion, leave it to the wild	11/19/2024 1:04 PM
342	I do like the hybrid but fear that things would go far away from the middle, so I would leave it as natural as possible while making any possible accessibility accommodations.	11/19/2024 12:55 PM
343	Keep the natural habit for the wild life.	11/19/2024 12:53 PM
344	Lots of space to do multiple things that can be used by different types of people and groups.	11/19/2024 12:52 PM
345	Some addition is great! Just don't want to make it too busy over there for other residents.	11/19/2024 12:51 PM
346	Not all land needs to be developed	11/19/2024 12:50 PM
347	I like the natural play area. I also love to walk out there. I am assuming the existing will stay. Like the full vs.minimal 2nd because it offers more trails to walk on, but I am concerned that it may be less private and nature immersive then.	11/19/2024 12:49 PM
348	So much land has become developed that we need natural areas untouched.	11/19/2024 12:35 PM
349	Keeping it natural is important	11/19/2024 12:28 PM
350	I worry that the dune ecosystem is very fragile and too many improvements will lead to increased traffic and more damage.	11/19/2024 12:25 PM
351	I like the additional trails included in the hybrid plan, but personally prefer fewer frills in a natural park. While at the same time accept that additional features are also necessary to get people outside, which is the real goal. The full utilization plan seems like too much development to me.	11/19/2024 12:25 PM
352	I would like to see an all or nothing approach. This is such a great park as is, if you want to leave it untouched and natural great do that. but if more trails and amenities is what people want then make it the best park in Ottawa county. But please don't make a great park into a average park	11/19/2024 12:24 PM
353	Add more trails in the hybrid and full is the most appealing to me. More trails the better, and a stair climb trail would be awesome. I care less about the parking area, it could be adjusted based on estimated traffic.	11/19/2024 12:16 PM
354	An integrated building with restrooms and parking like Hemlock crossing would be nice as long as it's minimally invasive. Any XC ski options?	11/19/2024 12:10 PM
355	These dunes are amazing. I think having full access will be unbelievably popular.	11/19/2024 12:10 PM
356	I appreciate nature in its natural form. The less human development the better.	11/19/2024 12:04 PM
357	I believe in the preservation of the land, especially critical areas such as the Rosy Mound Natural Area. The minimal impact plan allows for a good amount of recreation use while not verging on being excessive.	11/19/2024 11:56 AM
358	Rosy Mound and the surrounding ecosystem need to be preserved, these dunes are going extinct ready and Ottawa County Parks has a responsibility to keep these lands healthy	11/19/2024 11:54 AM
359	Some development would be nice but don't want it too chaotic	11/19/2024 11:51 AM
360	Would rather have no development and keep the area in its natural state, preserving the space as is	11/19/2024 11:48 AM
361	I believe the lesser impact on nature we have, the better.	11/19/2024 11:36 AM
362	We want to keep the land untouched as much as possible. Leave the natural beauty.	11/19/2024 11:34 AM

363	I'm in favor of creating as little disturbance to the natural modification of the site as possible.	11/19/2024 11:33 AM
364	I think partially developing the land is the most responsible action. It will allow people to enjoy it but not destroy the natural beauty.	11/19/2024 11:25 AM
365	I believe the least amount of impact possible would be the best option as not to disrupt areas of wildlife more than need to be.	11/19/2024 10:58 AM
366	Rosy Mound should stay as natural as possible. It is a beautiful park and that is because it hasn't been urbanized and constructed on.	11/19/2024 10:48 AM
367	I like the idea of minimal impact, but obviously need to ensure there's enough parking. Full utilization takes over the entire dune with parking and is not needed	11/19/2024 10:48 AM
368	As someone who is close enough to visit, but not close enough to go regularly, I would like to have the parking spaces of the hybrid, but not the entire amenities of the full plan. A "natural" playground for kids sounds like fun, and spectator areas without over-reaching the natural land	11/19/2024 10:45 AM
369	The minimal impact concept plan leaves room for nature and existing life to thrive while also installing some sort of change to the environment. While change to the land can be important keep in mind the ecosystems, plants, and animals that currently thrive there.	11/19/2024 10:28 AM
370	I favor preserving as much of the natural environment as possible.	11/19/2024 10:19 AM
371	Mother nature and in her natural state is something that has been depleted at an alarming rate. The ability to conserve these natural places begins with keeping impacts minimal, and awareness at a maximum.	11/19/2024 10:09 AM
372	Prefer natural look. Looks like the added amenities take that into consideration.	11/19/2024 9:56 AM
373	I think preserving the natural beauty of these areas is most important. I think it's what brings in the people who visit them	11/19/2024 9:47 AM
374	Rosy Mound should stay natural! It is important to preserve all the dunes that we have. Minimal impact to the environment is better for everyone!	11/19/2024 9:34 AM
375	Prefer more «just nature.»	11/19/2024 9:31 AM
376	We love the use of rosy mound and it to be a great space for additional recreation. A Hybrid solution would offer some funding back to ottawa county through the sell of some of the property for residential use.	11/19/2024 9:30 AM
377	Best to leave alone as much as possible	11/19/2024 9:17 AM
378	I have a family and my kids love parks. But I am leaning towards less impact. The reason the area is so special is because it is untouched nature (besides the impact the sand mine did). We don't want to "pave paradise and put up a parking lot" so to speak.	11/19/2024 9:16 AM
379	Don't really want a playground. Want to keep it natural as much as possible. Kids enjoy the trails at the existing rosy mound park.	11/19/2024 9:14 AM
380	thanks for putting it out to a vote. more projects in the community should be done this way.	11/19/2024 9:12 AM
381	For minimal impact	11/19/2024 9:10 AM
382	Helping protect and restore nature is more important than humans having fun at the beach. A playground for children would be fun for sure! But we also want to have a minimal impact on the dunes. Rosymound exists to teach us about protecting our precious and fragile dunes.	11/19/2024 9:10 AM
383	My thought is we should grow into this expansion, starting small and seeing what usage experience tells us. Design the plans to be sequentially expanded over time.	11/19/2024 9:06 AM
384	Don't ruin that area	11/19/2024 9:05 AM
385	Prefer minimal development	11/19/2024 8:55 AM
386	I would like to see the least development on the land as possible. I think it's important to leave areas untouched.	11/19/2024 8:42 AM
387	I want to keep in natural	11/19/2024 8:42 AM
388	Largest parking area. Barrier free trails.	11/19/2024 8:40 AM

389	Some improvements but not too much where it's wasted parking lot spaces	11/19/2024 8:34 AM
390	Less is more..... preference on more natural	11/19/2024 8:16 AM
391	I want as many people to connect with the habitat as possible. Providing amenities and more ways to connect will increase that connection.	11/19/2024 8:07 AM
392	I like how the hybrid and large impact plans have more trails. It's hard to be on board with all of the proposed facilities without knowing any plans for the intended use. I do question if the amount of parking in the hybrid plan and large impact plans are necessary	11/19/2024 8:03 AM
393	I like the increased parking and trails of the hybrid. The full concept could draw out too many groups and impact wildlife too much. I think individuals and small groups out is best, not a large shelter that could again bring out groups of people who are more likely to not be there to respect nature.	11/19/2024 7:56 AM
394	It is perfect the way it is . Also it would be much more cost effective.	11/19/2024 7:55 AM
395	Location of roadways and amount of parking.	11/19/2024 7:52 AM
396	What I love about this area is how remote it feels and the connection to nature. I feel this would be lost if too developed.	11/19/2024 7:44 AM
397	I always believe in leaving areas as untouched as possible and in there intended natural state.	11/19/2024 7:40 AM
398	I think that natural spaces should be conserved to the best of our ability, and there should be minimal changes to an already beautiful natural space.	11/19/2024 7:39 AM
399	Id like to see the most amount of walking trails with the least amount of parking space	11/19/2024 7:36 AM
400	Maintaining the natural flow of the park and environment while making it accessible is something that the current Rosy Mound natural area does well, and should continue	11/19/2024 7:34 AM
401	Minimal disturbance to the dune ecosystem which is so rare already	11/19/2024 7:32 AM
402	I believe the dunes should be preserved, with minimal development. While it is ok for some parks to have developed amenities, the dunes around Rosy Mound should be preserved as much as possible because once they are paved or developed they will be impacted forever.	11/19/2024 7:30 AM
403	I like them all, but I don't see a difference between the hybrid and full concept maps. I think adding a few more options such as the play place and bike connectors is good for the community.	11/19/2024 7:29 AM
404	Prefer minimal impact on the habitat	11/19/2024 7:25 AM
405	I think it's great to be able to host events and draw people to nature. A picnic area and bathrooms are always things that make exploring easier for all ages and abilities	11/19/2024 7:23 AM
406	Land should stay as natural as possible.	11/19/2024 7:15 AM
407	Keep the area peaceful	11/19/2024 7:05 AM
408	More parking for growth of area and more facilities now means greater usability by all.	11/19/2024 7:00 AM
409	This location provides critical habitat for both breeding and migratory bird species in Ottawa County and is a critical habitat area and the area should continue to be natural so I prefer the minimal impact option with the exception that the additional trails/overlook options could be provided from the hybrid option to allow for more hiking opportunities and hawk watching viewing points. Fully realizing the other two options will significantly increase disturbance for wildlife in the area due to the large parking/paved areas and the likelihood of a higher influx of people into this natural area using this site as beach access.	11/19/2024 6:58 AM
410	Preservation of natural areas is a priority.	11/19/2024 6:55 AM
411	I think that the park should remain as natural as possible	11/19/2024 6:40 AM
412	Because we need to protect natural areas like that	11/19/2024 6:05 AM
413	I use trails a lot and I think it makes sense to make the park into something people will use and not just protected land.	11/19/2024 6:02 AM
414	Should be obvious. Less impact while still enjoying the area	11/19/2024 5:49 AM

415	Sustainable land use and animal habitat	11/19/2024 5:41 AM
416	Like the overlook trails	11/19/2024 5:28 AM
417	I love all the parks that Ottawa county has but we need to preserve what we have. If we add lots of amenities then it can take away from the nature and disrupt nature	11/19/2024 5:16 AM
418	I like the concept of more trails, but minimal additions beyond that should be made.	11/19/2024 1:44 AM
419	Minimal impact should always be the number one priority when preserving natural areas. Period. It really is quite that simple.	11/19/2024 1:32 AM
420	Please keep this park natural for birds & wildlife	11/19/2024 1:27 AM
421	I think the natural land is best preserved as possible	11/19/2024 1:01 AM
422	Minimal additions should be made to the park in order to keep Rosy Mound Natural Area as natural as possible.	11/19/2024 12:41 AM
423	There's enough development for people in Ottawa County already. It would be nice to keep as much of the natural area as undisturbed as possible.	11/18/2024 11:32 PM
424	The hybrid showed a park. I love my kids more than anything but Ottawa Co doesn't need another park. I love the idea of trails and overlooks and keeping it looking natural but also safe	11/18/2024 11:19 PM
425	I like the minimal impact concept, with it still being more natural and a more hidden away place, but some of the concepts from the hybrid, such as the playground, seem interesting. I worry about the full utilization plan because of the impact that it would have on the ecosystem, especially with the development coming further over into the dunes. I think it's better if the parking lots/picnic shelters stay as close to current roads as possible. However, I do like the amount of trails in the full utilization concept, but it would be great to see those trails still happen but with a lower impact development footprint like minimal impact plan	11/18/2024 11:09 PM
426	Less impact is greater social benefit. Less impact on public-spending, use and abuse of ecosystem, impact on surrounding areas (traffic, trespassing, etc)	11/18/2024 11:01 PM
427	Due to the number of trails and boardwalks, and the large natural restoration area.	11/18/2024 10:49 PM
428	More features and parking.	11/18/2024 10:46 PM
429	Minimal impact picked last because it lacks overlook areas compared to the other options. Full Utilization picked first because I like the East connection trail. However, I think the Hybrid concept plan has the best parking lot style in terms of impact to environment/nature. I don't think there is much value in the turnaround loop and extending that drive so far into the property. Also, the "Natural Restoration/Habitat Area" near the parking lot/entrance looks better for environment in the Hybrid plan... with the area to the North of property, which is connected to the woods to the north... The Full plan habitat area, would feel clausterphobic (if I were a squirrel or bid) smashed between the parking lot and lakeshore drive, with less connection to the woods. In summary, I like the Full Utilization plan trail system best, but I like the Hybrid Plan parking lot style best.	11/18/2024 10:46 PM
430	I love it the way it is. But it does need more parking. Keep things simple.	11/18/2024 10:41 PM
431	I prefer the idea of nature sanctuaries for wildlife.	11/18/2024 10:39 PM
432	We love this park! Would love to use all of it!	11/18/2024 10:24 PM
433	I love the idea of more trails and utilizing the new area to allow people to access it. But I feel less is more.	11/18/2024 10:23 PM
434	Full utilization takes too much away from nature.	11/18/2024 10:22 PM
435	There are few places where open areas of undisturbed nature are available to people. We are so fortunate to have this for our children and grandchildren to explore. Wildlife and flora for example are on display for them.	11/18/2024 10:21 PM
436	To best preserve the natural beauty	11/18/2024 10:15 PM
437	I like the idea of the natural playscape, and I would like the dune to be as little impacted as possible.	11/18/2024 10:13 PM

438	Balance of usability and natural/nature	11/18/2024 10:11 PM
439	There is too much development along the lakeshore already. The minimal impact plan is best when you consider that the expansion is quite small.	11/18/2024 10:08 PM
440	I think it's important to keep spaces natural and with minimal impact, but I also believe people need space to be in nature and bring their children outdoors, and some accommodations are needed to make that happen.	11/18/2024 10:02 PM
441	We need to leave places more natural and wild. The deeper the access and features created, the more disrupted the habitat. There are parks and playgrounds that can be used. Leave this space more wild.	11/18/2024 10:01 PM
442	I go to rosy park to get away from fancy beaches and all of the amenities. The secluded beach is a lot nicer than the hectic busy beaches lake the grand haven or holland state park.	11/18/2024 9:55 PM
443	I just wanted to hunt there	11/18/2024 9:48 PM
444	I would like it to stay as natural as possible. Its purpose should be for people to experience the natural beauty of the dunes. Trails are great, but more buildings would detract from the natural environment.	11/18/2024 9:46 PM
445	I would love to keep it as natural as possible with minimal impact.	11/18/2024 9:45 PM
446	I like it to feel as natural as possible and love the undeveloped trails	11/18/2024 9:41 PM
447	Less development and concrete and more natural. It becomes a lot more crowded and, in turn, more damaging to natural surroundings the more infrastructure that's built.	11/18/2024 9:39 PM
448	While I want as many people as possible to enjoy rosy mound, I find myself concerned it will become overrun with crowds. Selfish, I know. :-)	11/18/2024 9:38 PM
449	Reduce impact on natural areas, reduce traffic congestion, preserve the environment.	11/18/2024 9:35 PM
450	The middle of the road is going to serve the most people.	11/18/2024 9:34 PM
451	Full utilization plan will get the most use. More area for the people to explore	11/18/2024 9:32 PM
452	Most likely for my family to use.	11/18/2024 9:32 PM
453	BMX trails	11/18/2024 9:29 PM
454	I absolutely looooooove the hybrid plan and everything it includes!!!!	11/18/2024 9:28 PM
455	Love the hybrid plan	11/18/2024 9:28 PM
456	Less is more	11/18/2024 9:24 PM
457	This is a scenic and cahallenging terrain with a lot to explore. The more developed, then the more to explore and challenge oneself! Rosey mound is often at full capacity in the summer, fall and spring seasons, which means hikers are turned away due to lack of parking spaces.	11/18/2024 9:22 PM
458	We don't need to "pave paradise to put up a parking lot." The parking lots seem excessive in the Hybrid and Full Utilization plans. The Minimal plan seems just right to preserve nature while still allowing opportunity for people to enjoy it fully.	11/18/2024 9:21 PM
459	I like the addition of more trails while keeping balance of natural area. Full use plan seems way too much development.	11/18/2024 9:19 PM
460	DONT DO ANY OF IT	11/18/2024 9:18 PM
461	I want to make sure we're taking steps to prioritize keeping the land healthy and natural.	11/18/2024 9:15 PM
462	I like the hybrid to balance the minimal impact while also having some great amenities like the natural playscape, event space/shelter, and multi-tier deck	11/18/2024 9:12 PM
463	Nature playscape.	11/18/2024 9:08 PM
464	Because our township is downing trees in excess and why destroy natural beauty already there.	11/18/2024 9:04 PM
465	I think this would allow many folks to access the park and beach while ensuring the natural feel is maintained	11/18/2024 8:59 PM

466	I think if we can enjoy nature with minimal disturbance to natural and wildlife we should. We should always be leaving the majority of parks for the wildlife that desperately need land.	11/18/2024 8:52 PM
467	We should keep the dune area as natural as possible	11/18/2024 8:48 PM
468	Have a few more amenities but also leave it natural.	11/18/2024 8:45 PM
469	Maintain as many natural features to maximize the ecosystem for wildlife	11/18/2024 8:41 PM
470	Rosy Mound's tight parking and ability to experience an incredibly complex dune system make it popular. Preserving as much space as possible, and keeping parking spaces low will preserve this natural area. This is what drives value for the parks system - despite what the consultants might estimate for the rental space. This is an incredibly special place to so many residents and visitors. As stewards and decision-makers, you have a deep responsibility to do this land right. "We have fallen heirs to the most glorious heritage a people ever received, and each one must do his [sic] part if we wish to show that the nation is worthy of its good fortune." - Theodore Roosevelt	11/18/2024 8:39 PM
471	I feel that much of the appeal of Rosy Mound is how natural and peaceful it feels. In my opinion, it makes sense to keep an adjacent property more rustic and quiet as well.	11/18/2024 8:39 PM
472	Acessable without loosing the natural beauty	11/18/2024 8:35 PM
473	Best options for use with my children.	11/18/2024 8:32 PM
474	Keep as must natural aspects as possible	11/18/2024 8:31 PM
475	I think it is wise to add more improvements to the park during this phase	11/18/2024 8:29 PM
476	I think it should left as natural as possible. Ottawa Sands has been completely ruined with the new development and I would hate to see that happen again	11/18/2024 8:27 PM
477	Some additional interactive areas would be great, but the full plan is too much for that area.	11/18/2024 8:24 PM
478	Keep it simple/natural	11/18/2024 8:24 PM
479	We don't need an over the top park. Simple with trails is best	11/18/2024 8:23 PM
480	Best suited for the needs of the community!	11/18/2024 8:22 PM
481	I would prefer the most untouched nature scenario possible	11/18/2024 8:20 PM
482	Less is more for now	11/18/2024 8:17 PM
483	The dune ecosystem has so much variety - more trails through more areas of the park will get more people interested in nature! Great options for hiking loops too!	11/18/2024 8:16 PM
484	More trails and less impact to the environment.	11/18/2024 8:13 PM
485	We need to preserve our natural areas. Minimal or hybrid are best.	11/18/2024 8:10 PM
486	I like the fact that the third option has the most trails.	11/18/2024 8:07 PM
487	I'd prefer to utilize the space to it's maximum potential	11/18/2024 7:59 PM
488	I thought the hybrid plan offered a good middle ground in terms of parking and gathering spaces without making it the largest park in the system.	11/18/2024 7:58 PM
489	The original Rosy Mound area is already minimalist. The Hybrid Concept, is more extensive but not overdone. The Full Utilization Plan could be put on hold for expansion; given the success of the Hybrid or Minimal plans.	11/18/2024 7:58 PM
490	The dune area should be left as natural as possible. The hybrid option would provide more opportunities for residents but still protect and allow for more natural areas.	11/18/2024 7:56 PM
491	The more that is untouched the better while also providing enough space for people to gather	11/18/2024 7:51 PM
492	I like natural areas to be simple and left alone.	11/18/2024 7:48 PM
493	I would like to see the minimum amount of disturbance to the area	11/18/2024 7:47 PM
494	Hybrid meets the best of both concepts, pleasing more people	11/18/2024 7:44 PM

495	The minimal impact plan does the best job of protecting this vital natural area. The hybrid plan may have some merit, the full plan has no merit in protecting it	11/18/2024 7:42 PM
496	I would prefer to have minimal impact on the dune landscape which most of has been already developed for people to live on in Ottawa county. There is so much new development for people in the county that it would be of great value to save some of the land as it is naturally. Also it is cheaper now and to maintain in the future.	11/18/2024 7:34 PM
497	More access to trails to run.	11/18/2024 7:31 PM
498	Keep the land the way that it is, we don't need any more development along the lake shore.	11/18/2024 7:31 PM
499	Protecting our parks natural features and minimally invading our lake's coastlines are crucial to sustainable environmental practices.	11/18/2024 7:30 PM
500	Minimal impact is best in my opinion.	11/18/2024 7:28 PM
501	If people want a noisier, more crowded beach scene with more amenities, GH State Park and Holland State Park are close by. There are few places to have quiet dune trails and a more natural environment. I would like that. (I do think 50 parking spaces may not be enough though).	11/18/2024 7:24 PM
502	I like the idea of having the least amount of impact to the natural area, but Rosy Mound is notorious for needing more parking. The picnic area is perfect for my young family and we would utilize the bike trails. I think having more amenities will make this park a desirable destination for families.	11/18/2024 7:21 PM
503	Hybrid seems like a good balance. There are other more developed spaces nearby so a more natural space would be a nice option, but have more usability than say Riley trails.	11/18/2024 7:20 PM
504	Leave the area as natural as possible, least impact the better	11/18/2024 7:19 PM
505	Leave the area natural with nice natural walking path, no need to urbanize every area, leave a nice peaceful walking area for people and nature to thrive in.	11/18/2024 7:18 PM
506	I like the idea of keeping this area as natural as possible while still being able to enjoy a hike. Limiting the amount of traffic that flows into this park just for easy beach access would aid in the restoration process.	11/18/2024 7:14 PM
507	Loop trail. Less paving.	11/18/2024 7:09 PM
508	I'd like to see the forest remain as untouched as possible.	11/18/2024 7:06 PM
509	I would prefer minimal or no paved areas as it ruins these parks and trails. We already have several parks that are barrier free but few that remain true trails.	11/18/2024 6:56 PM
510	Ottawa County insist on putting a dollar amount on every single piece of land that we have! We are running out of green space/woods/forest for wildlife to roam and live. This is compounded by the mass influx of people moving to the West Michigan area. We need to preserve more land!! I don't even like "The minimal impact plan". Even that is too much in my opinion.	11/18/2024 6:54 PM
511	Rosy needs more parking and the sand pit was already developed. It would be nice to have more amenities. I couldn't read it all, but keep it no dogs since they already have Kirk	11/18/2024 6:52 PM
512	I like the hybrid as it provides for a larger group of people to enjoy the facilities while keeping the environmental impact to a minimum	11/18/2024 6:48 PM
513	Less is more	11/18/2024 6:45 PM
514	Full Utilization plan felt like too much disturbance to the dune. I like the additional overlooks and trails that the Hybrid Concept has over the Minimal impact plan.	11/18/2024 6:42 PM
515	Leave it as natural as possible. Granted, sand mining went on for years. Just leave it alone now.	11/18/2024 6:41 PM
516	It's such a beautiful area and we are ending up with less and less land with more and more development.	11/18/2024 6:40 PM
517	Not sure	11/18/2024 6:40 PM
518	I'd prefer no development on the property but if you are going to develop it, might as well make it as public and user friendly as possible.	11/18/2024 6:33 PM

519	I liked the ones with more trails.	11/18/2024 6:29 PM
520	I like to be able to use the land but dune protection is also important	11/18/2024 6:28 PM
521	My first choice and what I argue to be the most critically important choice to move forward with is the minimal impact concept. The negative environmental impact on critically important dune habitat from the full utilization plan would devastate an already rapidly declining ecosystem.	11/18/2024 6:15 PM
522	I'd like to see the area completely undisturbed.	11/18/2024 5:54 PM
523	Prefer to keep it more natural, but still very usable	11/18/2024 5:14 PM
524	We need more natural areas along the lakeshore to preserve habitat. The parks should limit this site's use to low impact and passive use.	11/18/2024 4:13 PM
525	Love my OTTAWA COUNTY PARKS!!	11/18/2024 4:06 PM
526	I like the additional parking area of the hybrid plan.	11/18/2024 3:59 PM
527	I want more trails but ability to preserve as much natural/wild. Minimal wasn't enough trail for me. Full utilization changes too much.	11/18/2024 3:44 PM
528	Need bathrooms and shelter for educational groups, but the full plan seems too much.	11/18/2024 3:39 PM
529	This land should not be developed as wildlife depends on it for their homes!! NO NO NO!!	11/18/2024 3:35 PM
530	Wildlife and bird life needs as much habitat preserved as possible.	11/18/2024 3:20 PM
531	I want the land to be least disturbed as possible.	11/18/2024 3:12 PM
532	Maintain as much natural space as possible - the dunes on Lake Michigan need to be preserved - NOT DEVELOPED	11/18/2024 2:12 PM
533	this park is so popular and the property has so much potential it really deserves to be fully developed	11/18/2024 2:12 PM
534	No development.	11/18/2024 1:02 PM
535	The playscape is perfect for the season of life I'm in	11/18/2024 12:11 PM
536	I believe keeping Michigans beautiful beaches, dunes and water systems should always be prioritized by the least invasive and easiest upkeep. This will insure the environment stays its most natural. Keeping this area natural will help wildlife thrive while also keeping its maintenance costs low. I believe having accessibility is important because everyone should be able to enjoy Michigans beautiful landscapes but I also believe preserving Michigans wild and scenic areas are more important.	11/18/2024 12:06 PM
537	Having the event center will draw more people to the parks and will enhance the increase of people that may not know how nice Ottawa county parks are.	11/18/2024 11:52 AM
538	I think it's important to have trails for people to walk on, and areas for people to visit like play areas, but also preserving the nature is very important	11/18/2024 11:13 AM
539	I believe the land should be kept as natural as possible, while still offering recreation and connection opportunities.	11/18/2024 10:55 AM
540	Should not be developed	11/18/2024 10:43 AM
541	Preserving some while expanding the usage of the park.	11/18/2024 10:03 AM
542	I think connecting the two trail systems is a good plan for recreational users of the park. It allows more options for visitors who park at either location. the increased parking is also important given the high volume of use the county parks get.	11/18/2024 9:43 AM
543	Maintain natural habitats for migrating birds and native wildlife	11/18/2024 8:24 AM
544	Minimal Impact to preserve the area	11/18/2024 6:31 AM
545	The preference of OIAS is the minimal impact option with the exception that the additional trails/overlook options could be provided from the hybrid option to allow for more hiking opportunities and hawk watching viewing points.	11/18/2024 4:59 AM

546	We have concerns about the proposed changes to Rosy Mound. We wish to preserve it as a Natural Area. While it's important to provide accessibility for individuals with mobility challenges and disabilities so they can enjoy the serenity of nature, the addition of over 200 parking spaces, a 250-person "3 Season" event space, and a 150-person picnic shelter would contradict the park's goal of offering visitors "the serenity and solitude of their surrounding environment in the parks' natural and open spaces" (as stated in the OC Parks & Recreation Strategic Plan 2024). We believe these additions would significantly increase operational costs and strain the resources of the Parks Department, potentially leading to a future tax levy to cover maintenance. Additionally, the plan could create environmental impacts, create added negative engagement and complicate the Parks and EGLE (the Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy) in enforcing the Natural Area rules among event users. Therefore, we feel any added parking be kept to an extreme minimum to help preserve the integrity of the critical dune area. Possibly, only addressing only accessibility issues.	11/18/2024 4:59 AM
547	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/18/2024 2:07 AM
548	No development needed. Lets keep our land to use in it's nature state/habitat. You can add biking and hiking trails while preserving this beautiful land.	11/17/2024 9:58 PM
549	I like the trail options in the full plan while still maintaining the natural feel of the park. The hybrid plans feels like the park could become over developed and over crowded.	11/17/2024 8:57 PM
550	Concerned about environmental impact to bird species and natural habitat	11/17/2024 8:56 PM
551	Most important keep the area as natural as possible.	11/17/2024 5:07 PM
552	Start small and build up over the years if public is interested in more. The minimal impact plan will require fewer park attendants and initial cost. I really like Rosy Mound as a Nature Preserve!	11/17/2024 3:23 PM
553	Minimal footprint. A priority focus on nature. Would like to see concept #1 fused with just the trails / overlooks boardwalks of concept #3 included.	11/17/2024 3:11 PM
554	Being a natural area, I like the trail access with minimal development	11/17/2024 3:09 PM
555	The dunes and surrounding area needs to be protected for the entertainment and future generations.	11/17/2024 2:58 PM
556	It provides for expansion but still preserves the rustic nature of the park.	11/17/2024 2:17 PM
557	There are significant changes to that beautiful property that could cause a quiet peaceful area could become overused with the full development discussed	11/17/2024 1:40 PM
558	There is already far too much development in the county. We spend so much of our lives connected to technology and immersed in unnatural environments. We need to make sure that there continue to be places in our county with minimal development so that we can find solace in the natural world.	11/17/2024 12:55 PM
559	It keeps the area in the most natural setting	11/17/2024 10:32 AM
560	In order to protect endangered plants	11/17/2024 10:24 AM
561	No development	11/17/2024 7:04 AM
562	No development	11/17/2024 6:59 AM
563	I believe it is important to minimize human impact on natural area, while still making the area accessible. Additionally, assets need to be used to finish other projects that are seemingly being dragged out, such as the Idema Explorers Trail...	11/17/2024 6:52 AM
564	Too much "development "along lakeshore Diminishing natural habitats	11/17/2024 3:13 AM
565	Less development is better here. There is already a parking lot .35 miles south of there	11/17/2024 2:01 AM
566	Why must open space be "developed"? Let us humans enjoy and protect the wildlife, plants and land with as little interference as possible!	11/17/2024 1:39 AM
567	To keep the area more natural	11/16/2024 10:50 PM
568	Minimal development	11/16/2024 9:54 PM
569	Lots of trails is good, but minimal roads. And no roads to an overlook.	11/16/2024 9:48 PM

570	I like the new trails and overlooks in the hybrid plan. I worry that the full utilization plan will result in a detrimental overuse of the park. Keep it as natural as possible.	11/16/2024 9:34 PM
571	Habitat protection	11/16/2024 7:43 PM
572	No buildup	11/16/2024 7:22 PM
573	Do not develop	11/16/2024 7:18 PM
574	I don't want this to be developed	11/16/2024 7:17 PM
575	I want no development. It endangers the wildlife, and ruins the beauty of our area.	11/16/2024 6:49 PM
576	Concern for preservation of animal and bird habitats	11/16/2024 5:54 PM
577	I believe that if we want to encourage birds to come/stay in this area, it would be best keep changes to the minimum	11/16/2024 5:24 PM
578	Development ruins wildlife habitat	11/16/2024 4:52 PM
579	I prefer a more natural area	11/16/2024 4:42 PM
580	I hike at Rosy Mound often and prefer less developed landscapes.	11/16/2024 4:38 PM
581	I like the idea of keeping it as natural as possible.	11/16/2024 3:39 PM
582	Less development is preferable!	11/16/2024 2:20 PM
583	The playground option is very exciting. I haven't found a nature play scape in Grand Haven (yet) while Holland has many. And I love the idea of any other indoor space to use.	11/16/2024 1:46 PM
584	I enjoy going for Hicks on the trails in the state parks and see option 2 providing this with giving minimal impact	11/16/2024 1:04 PM
585	Rosy Mound is already pretty well developed. In reality, we do not need any more development in terms buildings. or a parking lot. All that may need to be done is the addition of the trails that you see in the hybrid concept.	11/16/2024 12:43 PM
586	I want minimal impact to this beautiful natural area.	11/16/2024 11:23 AM
587	No development!	11/16/2024 11:17 AM
588	No development	11/16/2024 11:04 AM
589	Less environmental disruption, less expensive.	11/16/2024 9:59 AM
590	To preserve the land the way it is. Humans should leave it alone for the animals already living there.	11/16/2024 9:28 AM
591	My goal is to protect the natural plants and wildlife, allowing it to be visited but protected from development.	11/16/2024 9:07 AM
592	I don't like the structures that encourage large group congregations, such as the larger picnic and 3 season structure and parking lots. I understand that this pulls people into the area to appreciate it, but worry that it may bring people for social events and not to enjoy and care for the area. I prefer a smaller parking lot (~100 with bus parking) and smaller picnic areas (~50-75). I like the natural play area and a family restroom. Minimize disturbance to nature.	11/16/2024 9:03 AM
593	Dont want anything done to the area	11/16/2024 8:27 AM
594	NO DEVELOPMENT,	11/16/2024 8:27 AM
595	I don't like crowds, so I put the option with the bus parking last. I think minimal impact is the way to go for preservation of the area.	11/16/2024 8:24 AM
596	Need to protect the plants and animals	11/16/2024 8:23 AM
597	Because destroying animals habitats and the lands natural beauty so people can camp or visit a park is ridiculous.	11/16/2024 6:27 AM
598	Like having more people able to access while preserving as much as possible. Rosy currently gets very crowded and many people cannot use it that want to	11/16/2024 5:35 AM

599	Leave the park be don't develop it	11/16/2024 1:28 AM
600	Preserve nature	11/15/2024 10:33 PM
601	The less development the better, keep the area natural and habitats undisturbed	11/15/2024 10:18 PM
602	Beauty of the park is it natural state	11/15/2024 9:55 PM
603	More services/spaces for the community to utilize this wonderful park	11/15/2024 9:49 PM
604	NO DEVELOPMENT!	11/15/2024 9:49 PM
605	Prefer to see minimal impact to nature. Plus with the beach being so far away the demand will not be so great to justify that much parking.	11/15/2024 9:49 PM
606	Additional trails. Some additional options for young families while still leaving a large reforestation area.	11/15/2024 9:46 PM
607	I would prefer the area untouched so native plants and animals can thrive.	11/15/2024 9:42 PM
608	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/15/2024 9:26 PM
609	No development!	11/15/2024 9:08 PM
610	We need some land in its natural state	11/15/2024 8:45 PM
611	I prefer no development at all.	11/15/2024 8:09 PM
612	Should have as little development on nature as possible	11/15/2024 8:08 PM
613	I want the land to have the least amount of disturbance possible. We have enough developed parks, preserving the environment as it is should take precedence over unnecessary development.	11/15/2024 8:04 PM
614	I like parks with many different amenities	11/15/2024 7:33 PM
615	The lack of quantitative data coupled with my local knowledge of use patterns leads me to believe that we should invest in the minimal plan and then measure usage over time.	11/15/2024 5:21 PM
616	We shouldn't be disturbing the land	11/15/2024 4:36 PM
617	I believe we should live lightly on the land, especially in this critical waterfront/wooded dune area. Ottawa County has many parks with a lot of infrastructure, but it is important to conserve parks as they are, as much as possible. I also urge a "no dogs rule" after the acquisition because many dog owners don't follow leash laws. I'm a birder & a hiker & hope to see this park remain "wild" & natural. Please - no beach access.	11/15/2024 3:16 PM
618	Full utilization plan is too disruptive to nature. Don't turn rosy mound into a concrete jungle.	11/15/2024 3:02 PM
619	Development is not needed preserve the dunes	11/15/2024 2:40 PM
620	Minimal is best	11/15/2024 2:13 PM
621	this area should be kept as natural as possible.	11/15/2024 1:37 PM
622	No development	11/15/2024 1:32 PM
623	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/15/2024 12:44 PM
624	I feel we have enough development and need more green space.	11/15/2024 12:27 PM
625	It is important to protect the biodiversity and natural landscape of this area. Any further disturbances could have a detrimental impact.	11/15/2024 12:27 PM
626	It would be nice to leave it as a natural area with a little intervention as possible	11/15/2024 12:10 PM
627	Natural areas need to be protected	11/15/2024 11:41 AM
628	I think the hybrid plan gives very nice enhancements while keeping much of natural beauty of the property.	11/15/2024 11:33 AM
629	Would like to keep more of the natural area available. It's the reason for the park in the first place.	11/15/2024 11:27 AM

630	Like it Natural	11/15/2024 11:19 AM
631	No development	11/15/2024 10:47 AM
632	The land is beautiful and valuable because it is undeveloped. Don't ruin it	11/15/2024 10:24 AM
633	I prefer natural dunes.	11/15/2024 9:50 AM
634	No development please other than parking and portable toilets. Leave natural.	11/15/2024 9:45 AM
635	Only minimal. Should not be developed	11/15/2024 9:27 AM
636	Preservation is more important to me	11/15/2024 9:22 AM
637	Rosy mound is fine the way it is now. The whole point of the park was to preserve it. Why would we change that? Why would we destroy some nature to enjoy other parts of it??	11/15/2024 9:10 AM
638	I would prefer as minimal change as possible to protect the wildlife, native plants and limit the amount of people that go there. People will not care for the protected land.	11/15/2024 8:55 AM
639	The area needs to be left alone..no development!	11/15/2024 8:45 AM
640	Leave the wild spaces be	11/15/2024 8:38 AM
641	Protect natural spaces! as little human presence as possible	11/15/2024 8:35 AM
642	I. Would prefer to not develop.	11/15/2024 8:31 AM
643	Keep it NATURAL!	11/15/2024 8:14 AM
644	I want to see as little change to our environment as possible. I'm not a fan of the greed in West Michigan. This included. Keep it as wild as it is right now. Block it off from trails if you want to preserve it, don't open it up to be destroyed.	11/15/2024 8:08 AM
645	Do not touch our sacred land. Rosymound is a place that is already perfect, do not ruin it to complete your idea of facism and riches.	11/15/2024 8:01 AM
646	Less is more with nature preserves	11/15/2024 7:57 AM
647	No development!	11/15/2024 7:47 AM
648	I would like to see this just stay natural and undeveloped.	11/15/2024 7:45 AM
649	No development	11/15/2024 7:40 AM
650	The property should be left as natural as possible to preserve the flora and fauna that exist there already.	11/15/2024 7:39 AM
651	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/15/2024 7:34 AM
652	Maximum trail availability in full utilization plan	11/15/2024 7:18 AM
653	It is a beautiful piece of nature. Let's be gentle with it and do not impact vegetation nor wildlife.They did a pretty good job with the existing RosyvMound Natural Area.	11/15/2024 7:11 AM
654	No development would be ideal, but minimal would still allow preservation of the plant and animal species.	11/15/2024 7:07 AM
655	Because you didn't give me an option to say no development at all.	11/15/2024 7:05 AM
656	Less is more	11/15/2024 7:01 AM
657	Rosy Mound is perfect as is. The beauty of Rosy Mound is its minimal development, its nature, and its quiet and serene atmosphere. Any land acquisition should be used minimally to maintain the dune ecosystem and to be a safe haven for wildlife.	11/15/2024 6:47 AM
658	Do not want to see further development there. Leave natural be please1	11/15/2024 6:44 AM
659	No development wanted.	11/15/2024 4:50 AM
660	No utilization. Leave nature, nature!	11/15/2024 3:53 AM
661	More nature preservation	11/15/2024 2:10 AM

662	Because the option that disrupts the local ecology the least seems like the best choice for that area. Also, adding more development right there would drastically increase traffic along lakeshore dr.	11/15/2024 2:00 AM
663	Keep it natural and don't push out the animals.	11/15/2024 1:45 AM
664	Because I do not agree with destroying our nature and the homes of the wildlife there.	11/15/2024 1:06 AM
665	No development	11/15/2024 12:35 AM
666	I would like to see it remain undeveloped.	11/15/2024 12:05 AM
667	Less environmental disruption.	11/14/2024 11:23 PM
668	No development!!!!	11/14/2024 11:21 PM
669	Do not like the play area. Would like to keep it as natural as possible, there are plenty of developed areas nearby.	11/14/2024 11:11 PM
670	Leave it natural. The park was ruined already once by paving it all over.	11/14/2024 10:43 PM
671	Prefer 3 season structure verses an extra large outdoor picnic shelter.	11/14/2024 10:43 PM
672	Don't want much changed. Leave it natural.	11/14/2024 10:01 PM
673	Idk..please do Not develop this land!!! Let it remain natural! It's just the right thing to do!!!!	11/14/2024 9:46 PM
674	Would like more recreation options for families	11/14/2024 9:39 PM
675	Fewer development to save nature as it is.	11/14/2024 9:19 PM
676	No development, please.	11/14/2024 9:01 PM
677	Because it shouldn't be developed. We need more open/green space for wildlife. We don't need more people messing with the land	11/14/2024 8:59 PM
678	Need to use the land the public owns and make it accessible. If people can't wide open nature, there's plenty of it.	11/14/2024 8:54 PM
679	I want to keep this as "natural" as possible. Plus we live very close to the proposed site plan. In the summer Rosy Mound and the County Parking lot are over flowing, and very dangerous with people walking across Lakeshore with cars driving 55+. Shocked no on has been hurt in that area yet.	11/14/2024 8:48 PM
680	It would be nice to have some family areas to utilize. We are a community lacking areas for children. Also, the extra trails would surely be utilized.	11/14/2024 8:46 PM
681	Control development is a good thing	11/14/2024 8:40 PM
682	Minimal disturbance allows protection of the environment both flora and fauna but still allows trails for recreational experience.	11/14/2024 8:35 PM
683	I really don't want any development at all	11/14/2024 8:30 PM
684	I like to keep our natural areas natural.	11/14/2024 8:29 PM
685	As someone who walks Rosy Mound about once every other week, I would like as minimal negative impact to the natural forest, as well as as little construction going on at Rosy Mound as possible. I love it for what it is and would really enjoy more trails that are made with the same care. I am concerned the park would be under construction in the same way Ottawa Sands has been, which I think has been negative.	11/14/2024 8:25 PM
686	Minimal impact will allow for the possibility of future changes, if deemed appropriate. However, if we disrupt the natural environment now, there will be no opportunity to undo the damage.	11/14/2024 8:24 PM
687	Why not	11/14/2024 8:23 PM
688	Leave it alone. It's beautiful as is.	11/14/2024 8:20 PM
689	I like turtles	11/14/2024 8:14 PM
690	No development	11/14/2024 8:12 PM

691	No development	11/14/2024 8:08 PM
692	I like the use of the space for the community that it serves.	11/14/2024 8:07 PM
693	It should be left as an untouched natural area!	11/14/2024 7:56 PM
694	No development	11/14/2024 7:52 PM
695	Less development the better	11/14/2024 7:49 PM
696	Seemed right.	11/14/2024 7:48 PM
697	Less building on the site the better	11/14/2024 7:45 PM
698	No development	11/14/2024 7:33 PM
699	I prefer keeping the dune area to minimal impact to preserve wildlife and also to keep the area as natural as possible. More trails, more people creates more upkeep, more interference with the natural habitat.	11/14/2024 7:31 PM
700	No development	11/14/2024 7:31 PM
701	I think it's important to preserve the this endangered habitat and gem of a park as is to prevent further losses to our ecosystem.	11/14/2024 7:30 PM
702	No development	11/14/2024 7:28 PM
703	Part of the reason my family and I moved to this side of the state was because of the access to natural areas. If we take away more of gods land from the people and turn it into sellable land, what will we be leaving our children and grand children? Grocery stores and strip malls?	11/14/2024 7:23 PM
704	Less is more	11/14/2024 7:23 PM
705	No development	11/14/2024 7:16 PM
706	So much for the small town feel. Disappointed in grand havens choice to sell out. It's all about money. We lose our small town feel and our tourism all at once.	11/14/2024 7:15 PM
707	Not everything needs to make money. Not everything needs to be developed. Out should stay the way it is.	11/14/2024 7:09 PM
708	The best thing we can do for our natural areas is have a very conservative outlook on development. We don't need an interpretive center. We need native plant rehabilitation. Less impact is always better.	11/14/2024 7:05 PM
709	No Development	11/14/2024 7:00 PM
710	NO to DEVELOPMENT! We need to leave our natural areas alone!	11/14/2024 6:56 PM
711	Environmental impact	11/14/2024 6:53 PM
712	No development	11/14/2024 6:44 PM
713	Because you didn't provide a option to not develop the land adjacent to Rosy Mound. I do not support this devopment in any way.	11/14/2024 6:38 PM
714	I am not opposed to some trail development but want to leave land untouched as much as possible.	11/14/2024 6:38 PM
715	No development	11/14/2024 6:28 PM
716	I do not want this area developed	11/14/2024 6:20 PM
717	I don't like any of them. If I have to vote I started with the least amount to be done. I vote no!	11/14/2024 6:15 PM
718	NO DEVELOPMENT NEEDED, perfect the way it is	11/14/2024 6:08 PM
719	The parks are committed to protecting rare plant communities and the population of pitchers thistle is in the middle of the highly developed area. We should be focused on conserving these communities instead of destroying them for parking lots	11/14/2024 6:07 PM
720	Ottawa County is taking away all our beautiful parks and developing them. It's sad. Wildlife is loosing their homes, shared off by camping and people, noise from construction. No longer	11/14/2024 6:01 PM

	having bald eagles, and other beautiful creatures to observe and photograph.	
721	Preserve wildlife	11/14/2024 6:00 PM
722	No development	11/14/2024 5:59 PM
723	Leaving it as natural as possible is always a better option. There are enough options at other Ottawa co. Parks for choices of amenities.	11/14/2024 5:55 PM
724	There should be no development.	11/14/2024 5:55 PM
725	Don't need development	11/14/2024 5:47 PM
726	i would like to keep rosy as natural as possible. there's no need to continuously add to our gorgeous parks and ruin the area. not to mention the animals will be pushed out of there more.	11/14/2024 5:43 PM
727	NO DEVELOPMENT-Leave it alone!	11/14/2024 5:42 PM
728	No development	11/14/2024 5:35 PM
729	I don't want it changed	11/14/2024 5:31 PM
730	Need to protect lakeshore for wildlife and natural environment. Minimize human impact.	11/14/2024 5:29 PM
731	No development is best. Leave it a nature preserve	11/14/2024 5:28 PM
732	Developing the areas where sensitive plants that are endangered grow is deplorable.	11/14/2024 5:24 PM
733	No development!	11/14/2024 5:15 PM
734	Finding a middle ground to allow residents access to the park balances preservation with recreational uses.	11/14/2024 5:13 PM
735	I don't want it developed at all!!!!	11/14/2024 5:03 PM
736	There is nothing else similar to this in Grand Haven. Hofma is nice but this is on Lake Michigan. Just needs to be done with quality and little impact on nature, the best you can. Plant endangered trees if trees need to be planted.	11/14/2024 4:49 PM
737	Leave the animals and plants alone	11/14/2024 4:42 PM
738	Little to NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 4:40 PM
739	No development at all is my preference None. The dunes are critical to our ecosystem and wildlife	11/14/2024 4:34 PM
740	Keep Mother Nature at peace	11/14/2024 4:34 PM
741	It should be accessible, but not to #3 extent	11/14/2024 4:33 PM
742	Should remain as natural as possible and intended for locals to escape the business of tourism season. ... also, far too much of the lakeshore has been lost to development. The future is too important to lose more of it.	11/14/2024 4:28 PM
743	Protection of plant and animal habitat as well as dune preservation.	11/14/2024 4:26 PM
744	No development	11/14/2024 4:20 PM
745	The land needs to be protected and preserved. We have a beautiful county and the way to undo that is to build on natural habitats	11/14/2024 4:13 PM
746	Best of both worlds. Less impact on wildlife. Better utilization for more to enjoy.	11/14/2024 4:09 PM
747	We do not need to develop that land. We need to leave it as is. We are and have been bushing the wilderness our far to long.	11/14/2024 4:06 PM
748	I want the Rosy Mound area to remain as minimally developed as possible to preserve the natural beauty of this area and to protect endangered plants such as Pitchers Thistle.	11/14/2024 4:04 PM
749	Less development. Keep nature wild	11/14/2024 3:56 PM
750	This Rosy Mound area should be left undeveloped. It's an opportunity to provide a pristine natural area for animals. What a gift that would be.	11/14/2024 3:51 PM

751	No development!!	11/14/2024 3:49 PM
752	Want no development and ruin habitat	11/14/2024 3:48 PM
753	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 3:46 PM
754	No development	11/14/2024 3:41 PM
755	No development!	11/14/2024 3:41 PM
756	I would prefer minimal impact to the natural habitats and honestly prefer no development. Even minimal development will have devastating impacts on the wildlife Anna their fragile habitats.	11/14/2024 3:39 PM
757	No development	11/14/2024 3:38 PM
758	NO DEVELOPMENT!	11/14/2024 3:37 PM
759	Love the development ideas. New parking restrooms, upgraded trails will help set the stage for the future!!	11/14/2024 3:31 PM
760	Keep it natural as possible	11/14/2024 3:27 PM
761	The Land should remain as-is with no development done on it. If there has to be development it should absolutely be minimal.	11/14/2024 3:24 PM
762	I don't think any of the land around the current park should be done. No development!	11/14/2024 3:22 PM
763	Would like to see this area remain undeveloped.	11/14/2024 3:18 PM
764	No development! The land should be left alone to help preserve the precious homes of plants and animals, especially considering the endangered species inhabiting the area.	11/14/2024 3:16 PM
765	I choose none of the concepts. I do not want to see our ever depleting natural resources become developed in any way, even if it is for a restroom and small parking lot. Our resources along the lake shore such as dunes and wetlands have taken enough abuse and we need to protect what we have left. Shame.	11/14/2024 3:14 PM
766	No development	11/14/2024 3:12 PM
767	No development!	11/14/2024 3:10 PM
768	I like full utilization better.	11/14/2024 3:08 PM
769	Do not harm the nature of Rosy mound natural area	11/14/2024 3:07 PM
770	I like the concepts	11/14/2024 3:05 PM
771	I do not want to see development on that land.	11/14/2024 3:01 PM
772	We have enough developed areas for recreation in our area. Some space needs to just be left natural	11/14/2024 3:00 PM
773	Because we need to stop taking the land from the animals that live there. Grand haven is overpopulated already!	11/14/2024 2:57 PM
774	I don't want anything developed, nature over money, the people will speak up every step of the process	11/14/2024 2:56 PM
775	I want the minimal impact plan but would love to see additional trails as shown in the other concepts. I don't think it is necessary to have THAT much parking when there is already going to be the existing parking lot that is rarely full.	11/14/2024 2:51 PM
776	I didn't want to see development	11/14/2024 2:50 PM
777	No DEVELOPMENT!!! WHERE'S THAT OPTION!??	11/14/2024 2:49 PM
778	Hard to know exactly what each plan involves. While I would like to see some development, not sure how much. Possibly start with the smaller plan first and later add the larger plans?	11/14/2024 2:48 PM
779	I love to utilize our county parks but feel there needs to be a bit of balance to preserve the natural setting	11/14/2024 2:46 PM
780	No development please	11/14/2024 2:44 PM

781	The less development the better. Leave the land untouched. Allow our local wildlife and plant life a chance to flourish. We have already taken too much.	11/14/2024 2:43 PM
782	No development necessary, keep the land natural	11/14/2024 2:42 PM
783	The Monarch butterfly is at risk, as are many pollinators, and impacting this area would take away many native plants, which serve as the only way for Monarch's to lay their caterpillars.	11/14/2024 2:41 PM
784	I believe the area should be kept as natural as possible.	11/14/2024 2:41 PM
785	I think option 2 is the best option without being too intrusive	11/14/2024 2:33 PM
786	I like Rosy Mound the way it is and would prefer there is no development plan.	11/14/2024 2:25 PM
787	We need to preserve the habitat for the wildlife. More building and developing is NOT improving or advancing the community. I'm from Varkston and have seen first hand what happens when "improvements" are made.	11/14/2024 2:24 PM
788	No development	11/14/2024 2:22 PM
789	I feel there should be minimal impact on the land let nature take care of itself	11/14/2024 2:14 PM
790	No development	11/14/2024 2:03 PM
791	Be cause having a beautiful area for hiking and being in nature is important.	11/14/2024 1:59 PM
792	I prefer to keep it as natural as possible. Though I also like the idea of allowing campfires and cooking fires.	11/14/2024 1:59 PM
793	I don't think that we need a whole lot more... Seems like we can spend money on what is instead of what could be...	11/14/2024 1:54 PM
794	No development!	11/14/2024 1:54 PM
795	I like new trails to hike with the family.	11/14/2024 1:54 PM
796	Need more wild, native spaces	11/14/2024 1:52 PM
797	No development!	11/14/2024 1:49 PM
798	Want to preserve the landscape and wildlife as much as possible	11/14/2024 1:47 PM
799	Leave nature alone for those of us who love it. Not for those who just think they deserve access to every single inch of shoreline and natural area	11/14/2024 1:46 PM
800	NO DEVELOPMENT!!!	11/14/2024 1:44 PM
801	No development! Protect the Land and protect endangered flora and fauna.	11/14/2024 1:38 PM
802	Prefer No Development; leave it natural!	11/14/2024 1:38 PM
803	Less destruction to the habitat the better, but having additional hiking trails to enjoy with restrooms would be nice	11/14/2024 1:37 PM
804	I would like to see the area kept in its wild state, without any further development	11/14/2024 1:31 PM
805	Less development	11/14/2024 1:31 PM
806	Minimal impact will allow plant and wildlife the best opportunity to continue to thrive here. The other two concepts are excessive and unnecessary.	11/14/2024 1:31 PM
807	Less is better.	11/14/2024 1:30 PM
808	The playscape feels like a great way to welcome the next generation into the outdoors. Public spaces should benefit all ages, so I celebrate spaces that unite age groups and ability ranges.	11/14/2024 1:30 PM
809	NO DEVELOPMENT!!!	11/14/2024 1:28 PM
810	Leave beauty alone	11/14/2024 1:27 PM
811	We don't need any further development on our natural preserves. Wildlife is already heading to neighborhoods and in the streets due to displacement.	11/14/2024 1:24 PM
812	Little development as possible, preferably none at all!	11/14/2024 1:23 PM

813	best bang for the buck (grant and tax dollars)	11/14/2024 1:22 PM
814	No development	11/14/2024 1:21 PM
815	No development	11/14/2024 1:19 PM
816	Prefer to see land left alone!	11/14/2024 1:18 PM
817	We don't need more development.	11/14/2024 1:17 PM
818	Because native wildlife needs areas left as undisturbed as possible.	11/14/2024 1:16 PM
819	I want it to remain natural and undistrubed.	11/14/2024 1:15 PM
820	No development!!	11/14/2024 1:15 PM
821	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 1:14 PM
822	Leave natural!	11/14/2024 1:13 PM
823	Prefer minimal plan to retain as much wild space as possible and minimize human impact.	11/14/2024 1:11 PM
824	NO DEVELOPMENT. This is a bell that can't be unrung. Stop developing. Let nature thrive.	11/14/2024 1:11 PM
825	No development. Leave the land natural!!	11/14/2024 1:09 PM
826	Rosy Mound is a natural area that does not exist solely for human pleasure. I understand that making it more accessible is a plus, but not at the expense of the wildlife that makes their homes there.	11/14/2024 1:07 PM
827	I want the land left as natural as possible. I would rather have no development at all.	11/14/2024 1:07 PM
828	Don't like any of them	11/14/2024 1:04 PM
829	I think the Hybrid offers a good balance of expanding offered amenities while having the lease impact to the natural landscape. In my opinion, I think the minimal impact has too small of impact to the useability of the space to be worthwhile. I think the Full utilization is overbuilt and unnecessary. I think it takes away too much of the natural landscaping and doesn't feel as much like "preservation".	11/14/2024 1:04 PM
830	I do not wish to see the land developed	11/14/2024 1:04 PM
831	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 1:03 PM
832	I would like to see the park stay as natural as possible to accommodate the animal life that lives there now. Ottawa Sands is overdeveloped, shouldn't be in the hotel business!	11/14/2024 1:03 PM
833	No development is the best option. Period. You have 3 options but none of those are what's best for the land, animals, plants that will be ruined, displaced and destroyed by these plans.	11/14/2024 1:03 PM
834	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 1:02 PM
835	Would like to see the area kept as natural as possible. Rosy Mound is a quiet, peaceful place for residents, tourists and wildlife to enjoy, we don't need it to become a major tourist attraction like Grand Haven State park.	11/14/2024 1:02 PM
836	No development	11/14/2024 1:02 PM
837	This land needs to be protected, the animals, plants ect.	11/14/2024 1:00 PM
838	No development	11/14/2024 12:59 PM
839	The modern bathroom and nature playscape along with a larger parking lot are higher priorities to me.	11/14/2024 12:57 PM
840	No development!!!	11/14/2024 12:57 PM
841	No development!	11/14/2024 12:57 PM
842	I am in favor of minimal or no development, and restoration of the natural dune environment.	11/14/2024 12:56 PM
843	No development	11/14/2024 12:53 PM
844	Because I believe the land should remain as natural as possible	11/14/2024 12:53 PM

845	No development	11/14/2024 12:53 PM
846	No development. Please keep this area untouched as there is too little untouched areas in Ottawa County.	11/14/2024 12:53 PM
847	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 12:53 PM
848	No development	11/14/2024 12:52 PM
849	I think adding a 200-car parking lot would be incredibly unnecessary and not beneficial to the area's ecosystem. Having a natural restoration area directly next to the proposed lot and facilities is problematic as there would be a high volume of foot traffic. I love all of the new trail additions but don't think any new facilities or parking lots should be installed.	11/14/2024 12:52 PM
850	I'd support minimal disturbance to natural habitat and ecosystems currently existing in the area.	11/14/2024 12:52 PM
851	I do not want development	11/14/2024 12:51 PM
852	There is enough development in the area as it is. Let's leave some of the still remaining natural areas, natural.	11/14/2024 12:51 PM
853	No development	11/14/2024 12:50 PM
854	I don't want to see the area over developed. Minimal to no development is preferred.	11/14/2024 12:48 PM
855	The least impact to nature. Already have a large park system with plenty of amenities for group gatherings, events etc.	11/14/2024 12:48 PM
856	No development	11/14/2024 12:48 PM
857	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 12:47 PM
858	There is a need for additional parking given the popularity of the park. Also, I like the idea of it being more accessible and the access trail connecting the existing parking lot to the proposed parking area in the full utilization plan	11/14/2024 12:47 PM
859	Keep it as natural as possible. It's great to provide trails and basic access, but no need for anything more.	11/14/2024 12:47 PM
860	No development	11/14/2024 12:47 PM
861	No development	11/14/2024 12:46 PM
862	NO DEVELOPMENT. keep this land the way it is.	11/14/2024 12:46 PM
863	That land is important dune habitat for animals and I'm so sick of every inch of gh getting developed	11/14/2024 12:46 PM
864	To protect indigenous plants and animals.	11/14/2024 12:44 PM
865	I think the minimal impact plan is the best, as it preserves our natural spaces while still making them accessible.	11/14/2024 12:43 PM
866	I think the land should be left as untouched as possible	11/14/2024 12:42 PM
867	I believe in good stewardship of the natural resources in Ottawa County.	11/14/2024 12:41 PM
868	Full utilization plan would result in many trees being cut down for no reason	11/14/2024 12:41 PM
869	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 12:40 PM
870	Minimal impact to the ecosystem that is currently in place should be the focus	11/14/2024 12:39 PM
871	There should be no development, we have so little natural area left.	11/14/2024 12:38 PM
872	I have major concerns that we are turning a "Natural Area" into a park. I would like to see this area maintained as a natural area that allows minimal recreation opportunities but also still allows wildlife to stay wild. This habitat is critical migratory and breeding bird habitat and protecting that in our county is a high priority to me.	11/14/2024 12:37 PM
873	I do. It support development on this critically important and sensitive land.	11/14/2024 12:37 PM

874	I think we should minimize the destructive impact and leave nature natural. The one thing i would like to see is the full trail system from the Full Utilization plan as part of the other two concepts.	11/14/2024 12:37 PM
875	No development	11/14/2024 12:37 PM
876	No development	11/14/2024 12:36 PM
877	I would love more trails with minimal added facilities and environmental impact.	11/14/2024 12:36 PM
878	NO DEVELOPMENT AT ALL!!!	11/14/2024 12:34 PM
879	No Development!	11/14/2024 12:33 PM
880	Please do not develop, preserve our natural resources and native flora and fauna.	11/14/2024 12:33 PM
881	No development please and thank you	11/14/2024 12:31 PM
882	Full utilization has the greatest impact for different uses for all different types of "users"	11/14/2024 12:31 PM
883	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 12:29 PM
884	No development!	11/14/2024 12:27 PM
885	No development	11/14/2024 12:27 PM
886	The nature there is important and beautiful. Please make as few man made changes as possible to have the lowest impact on the existing ecosystem.	11/14/2024 12:27 PM
887	I want no development	11/14/2024 12:25 PM
888	Rosy Mound functions as a crucial wildlife corridor and nature preserve for this area. The more it is developed and the bigger the crowds, the less it can function in those ways. There are already plenty of places for people, for kids, for families - keep Rosy Mound for nature. PLEASE!	11/14/2024 12:22 PM
889	Parks are supposed to be kept natural. Not developed on	11/14/2024 12:22 PM
890	Im not at all in favor of any development of this property	11/14/2024 12:22 PM
891	It should stay the way it is. Developing the natural areas drives out the wildlife.	11/14/2024 12:21 PM
892	Doesn't need to be a "beach" spot. Not too many places to enjoy the dunes as dunes.	11/14/2024 12:21 PM
893	Desire to keep the natural habitat as much as possible	11/14/2024 12:21 PM
894	No more development, leave God's beauty as is. Our wildlife was created too and need space!	11/14/2024 12:20 PM
895	I don't want it developed. Please leave it wild.	11/14/2024 12:19 PM
896	I believe preservation of as much natural space as possible is important while also making the land accessible and usable for the public, so I think the hybrid plan is a great idea. The minimal plan is also a great idea. I am not a fan of the full utilization plan for that particular piece of property.	11/14/2024 12:18 PM
897	No more overdevelopment. Please consider all of the wildlife that would be put out.	11/14/2024 12:17 PM
898	It needs to be done right the first time. You'll only get one shot at it.	11/14/2024 12:17 PM
899	More trails, more room for more visitors.	11/14/2024 12:16 PM
900	The least amount of impact on environment is important	11/14/2024 12:15 PM
901	I like the additional connecting trails on Hybrid and Full, but dislike the paved/hard infrastructure that will be unused by humans most of the year, but have a negative impact on the natural area year-round.	11/14/2024 12:14 PM
902	More development of parks is always better. More activities as the population continues to grow.	11/14/2024 12:14 PM
903	I don't want to see more parking spaces. I'd rather see more nature.	11/14/2024 12:14 PM
904	No development. Protect the native plants and animal's that call this area home. Yes, I want to enjoy it but with minimal impact to the wildlife and plants.	11/14/2024 12:13 PM

905	PROTECT OUR NATURAL RESOURCES AND NATIVE SPECIES. STOP LETTING CORPORATIONS RUIN THIS PLANET FOR SELFISH GAIN, WE NEED THIS LAND HEALTHY FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS. THANK YOU, GOD BLESS.	11/14/2024 12:13 PM
906	hybrid solution improves park and keeps natural feel	11/14/2024 12:11 PM
907	Maximize the trails while implementing the minimum structures. Ideally, I'd like to see the hybrid concept with the east connection trail added to it.	11/14/2024 12:11 PM
908	The least disruption to the wild life in the area the better. It's good to have trails like coast guard park/ hoffmaster but too much can really alter the wild-life. A lot of people have already had encounters with coyotes and fox in Ottawa sands and it's only because it has been close to public for so long. I would imagine this area would be the same.	11/14/2024 12:11 PM
909	Impact to wildlife/natural landscape	11/14/2024 12:09 PM
910	Best option is to leave the land as is or with minimum affect on the property.	11/14/2024 12:09 PM
911	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 12:08 PM
912	I would like Rosey Mound to be kept as natural as possible. It is a beautiful area and I would like it to stay that way.	11/14/2024 12:08 PM
913	The more outdoor recreation spaces, the better!	11/14/2024 12:08 PM
914	Full development of the site respects the wildlife and the beauty of the area while also making the land more accessible to the public. The minimal impact plan does not sufficiently support public access is unnecessarily limited.	11/14/2024 12:07 PM
915	Because I don't want the eco system and the animals that live in the area to be pushed out their home for a park.	11/14/2024 12:07 PM
916	I like the concept of minimalization. I think the more parking spaces we have, the busier it will be. The less buildings, the more natural the landscape will be. Some of our parks should strive for minimization in keeping with the natural beauty. Additional buildings are not necessary. Parking, hiking trails, nature education posts, and restroom facilities. That's all that is needed.	11/14/2024 12:07 PM
917	Keep as natural land for wildlife	11/14/2024 12:07 PM
918	Preservation of the rare dune ecosystem is my priority. Minimization of human impact	11/14/2024 12:06 PM
919	Do not want much development	11/14/2024 12:06 PM
920	Please NO DEVELOPMENT! Keep this area pristine and free from concrete and development. This area is home to several types of vegetation and wildlife. Let them thrive!	11/14/2024 12:06 PM
921	The area is not currently being utilized to its full potential, as long as studies are done to verify minimal impact to ecosystems	11/14/2024 12:04 PM
922	It's incredibly important to maintain natural habitats and do what we can to protect the natural landscapes in an area that's already far too developed. We're losing our dunes and beaches at an alarming rate as it is. Putting 200 parking spaces and an event space is the opposite of any of that.	11/14/2024 12:03 PM
923	I want to stay natural land and not be developed- okay for some trails but leave it for nature	11/14/2024 12:03 PM
924	No development	11/14/2024 12:03 PM
925	There's enough of natural areas being developed, it'd be nice to keep this land as close to natural as possible.	11/14/2024 12:01 PM
926	I prefer the less invasive parking of the minimal and hybrid plans vs the full utilization which places the parking in the middle.	11/14/2024 12:01 PM
927	Important to preserve the natural landscape and habitats. Completing no more than the hybrid system allows for that.	11/14/2024 12:00 PM
928	It's perfect the way it is. Keeping the nature intact with no development is my request.	11/14/2024 11:59 AM
929	Minimize the impact of endangered and native plants/species	11/14/2024 11:59 AM
930	Because I want as little development as possible. Protect the environment and let things stay	11/14/2024 11:57 AM

	wild and free.	
931	Do not develop this sacred land. It is home to endangered plants!! We don't need more development	11/14/2024 11:57 AM
932	We've over developed and hybrid developed enough of our once totally natural and beautiful parks. We don't need any further development done here	11/14/2024 11:56 AM
933	No development	11/14/2024 11:55 AM
934	DO NOT DEVELOP	11/14/2024 11:55 AM
935	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 11:55 AM
936	Rosy Mound should remain in as natural of a state as possible to continue to protect and give sanctuary to wildlife and natural flora.	11/14/2024 11:54 AM
937	Want to save the natural habitats for plants and animals with the smallest amount of development.	11/14/2024 11:53 AM
938	Please do not develop this area. Leave it as it is. There are enough developed natural areas already.	11/14/2024 11:52 AM
939	No development. Leave it as is.	11/14/2024 11:52 AM
940	No development	11/14/2024 11:51 AM
941	I don't want to see it developing.	11/14/2024 11:50 AM
942	I enjoy the current Rosy mound natural area and would love to have more parking availability and picnic space.	11/14/2024 11:50 AM
943	I don't want ANY development adjacent to rosy mound	11/14/2024 11:49 AM
944	No development would be best.	11/14/2024 11:49 AM
945	More availability for family outings.	11/14/2024 11:49 AM
946	I don't want rosy mound to change at all it's beautiful as is	11/14/2024 11:48 AM
947	No development at all!!	11/14/2024 11:48 AM
948	Because it needs to stay as a natural area and minimally changed.	11/14/2024 11:48 AM
949	I prefer minimal development is such beautiful delicate areas.	11/14/2024 11:48 AM
950	This natural area should be kept natural and not developed at all. Too many wild places are being destroyed as it is. Humans have enough space, leave space for the animals and plants that are slowly being pushed out of any spaces they could call theirs.	11/14/2024 11:48 AM
951	Keep it natural	11/14/2024 11:47 AM
952	No Development would be preferable. We have lots of parks and it is nice to have untouched areas	11/14/2024 11:47 AM
953	Want to keep it natural	11/14/2024 11:47 AM
954	Time to make this great place a destination to enjoy for many more.	11/14/2024 11:46 AM
955	This land should remain natural.	11/14/2024 11:46 AM
956	I want as little development as possible to keep the land as close to the way it is now.	11/14/2024 11:46 AM
957	Less impact is always the best choice.	11/14/2024 11:46 AM
958	I do not think it should be developed.	11/14/2024 11:46 AM
959	I truly prefer no development in that area. We have a sufficient amount of parks and recreation areas to tend to now and many are under utilized. If given the option, I say no to any development of Rosy Mound.	11/14/2024 11:45 AM
960	Connection into existing park to maximize trails.	11/14/2024 11:44 AM
961	Prefer to keep it natural	11/14/2024 11:44 AM

962	No developments	11/14/2024 11:44 AM
963	Preserving the land in its natural state and protecting important habitats is important to me.	11/14/2024 11:44 AM
964	Hybrid seems good compromise, full would be too much	11/14/2024 11:44 AM
965	No development	11/14/2024 11:44 AM
966	We need to protect this land as a community. This piece of property is extremely unique as the entire lakeshore is developed on some level or another. Save our Michigan shoreline. Let's stop taking and start preserving. Public parks lead to erosion, littering, destruction of ecosystems and take homes from local wildlife that already has almost no where to live. Sick of seeing deer and other animals walking the streets of residential areas.	11/14/2024 11:43 AM
967	I would rather it not be developed at all	11/14/2024 11:42 AM
968	No development	11/14/2024 11:42 AM
969	No development	11/14/2024 11:42 AM
970	Keep it as undeveloped as possible	11/14/2024 11:41 AM
971	DO NOT DEVELOP	11/14/2024 11:41 AM
972	I don't want the park to be developed	11/14/2024 11:40 AM
973	I prefer no development because these are unique lands near the lake that are home to special plants and wildlife. The waterfronts are vital for these things. People don't need to utilize it just because a govt agency owns it.	11/14/2024 11:40 AM
974	No development	11/14/2024 11:40 AM
975	Please do not ruin that natural beauty with these upgrades	11/14/2024 11:40 AM
976	The land proposed for further development is incredibly important to our wildlife.	11/14/2024 11:39 AM
977	No development	11/14/2024 11:39 AM
978	want as little development as possible out there to keep the natural beauty	11/14/2024 11:38 AM
979	This is a natural area - you don't need playscapes and event shelters. Ridiculous.	11/14/2024 11:38 AM
980	I'd like to see full modern accessible amenities for all to enjoy	11/14/2024 11:38 AM
981	NO DEVELOPMENT!	11/14/2024 11:37 AM
982	Prefer no development so minimal is as close to that as possible.	11/14/2024 11:37 AM
983	Please, no development for the Rosy Mound area.	11/14/2024 11:37 AM
984	DO NOT DEVELOP THIS WILDLIFE RESERVE	11/14/2024 11:36 AM
985	No development	11/14/2024 11:36 AM
986	No development!	11/14/2024 11:36 AM
987	I'd prefer to keep as much of the natural habitat intact and untouched as possible.	11/14/2024 11:35 AM
988	No development	11/14/2024 11:35 AM
989	No Development please!!!	11/14/2024 11:34 AM
990	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 11:34 AM
991	I'd like to see this park be more usable than how it is currently, and more than the minimal impact plan. I feel the full utilization plan may have too much of a negative impact on the wildlife and natural feel of the park.	11/14/2024 11:34 AM
992	No Development.	11/14/2024 11:34 AM
993	I believe in protecting the natural environment and allowing it to be open to all. It was hard to fully view all the concept plans. I hope we do limited damage or change to the natural environment and provide everyone an opportunity to view our wonderful nature here.	11/14/2024 11:33 AM

994	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 11:33 AM
995	No development	11/14/2024 11:33 AM
996	Minimal will be better for the wildlife	11/14/2024 11:33 AM
997	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 11:33 AM
998	I don't want ANY DEVELOPMENT!	11/14/2024 11:32 AM
999	There should be NO development	11/14/2024 11:32 AM
1000	There are plenty of access areas already within the Rosy Mound park. Minimal impact is the most desirable.	11/14/2024 11:32 AM
1001	I enjoy increased opportunities at all county parks but understand the desire to keep some parts of the area undisturbed. Thus, I support a hybrid plan most.	11/14/2024 11:32 AM
1002	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 11:32 AM
1003	I think the plan with the least amount of detriment to the animals who live there would be the best.	11/14/2024 11:31 AM
1004	I am very opposed to the development of this land, we are already lacking in these beautiful, natural areas. If it is minimally destructive/invasive and it is as simple as creating pathways or small areas where the land can be better appreciated, I can appreciate that. We do not need to lose any more natural habitats. The area in question is one of the reasons I love living here and I like nothing about a large overhaul of this area.	11/14/2024 11:31 AM
1005	There should be no development. Period	11/14/2024 11:30 AM
1006	No development	11/14/2024 11:30 AM
1007	No development period	11/14/2024 11:29 AM
1008	The less impact the better	11/14/2024 11:29 AM
1009	We want to preserve our limited natural resources before they are all gone and Rosy Mound has many plants and animals that call it home that many citizens like to visit	11/14/2024 11:29 AM
1010	Minimal impact is always best	11/14/2024 11:29 AM
1011	No development	11/14/2024 11:29 AM
1012	No development wanted	11/14/2024 11:29 AM
1013	Revenue for the area per build concept	11/14/2024 11:28 AM
1014	No development	11/14/2024 11:28 AM
1015	I really enjoy Rosy Mound as is	11/14/2024 11:28 AM
1016	No development	11/14/2024 11:28 AM
1017	In order to fully enjoy the space, some accommodations need to be made.	11/14/2024 11:27 AM
1018	No development	11/14/2024 11:27 AM
1019	less disturbing to wildlife	11/14/2024 11:27 AM
1020	Go big or regret having to do it later at a higher total cost.	11/14/2024 9:58 AM
1021	We already have too much development in Ottawa county	11/14/2024 7:30 AM
1022	No development	11/14/2024 6:44 AM
1023	Leave it alone!	11/14/2024 5:07 AM
1024	This land is precious and sacred land to many. Any type of development on this land will ruin that. I am asking that you do not develop this land.	11/13/2024 10:39 PM
1025	The full utilization because of the eastern connection between both trailheads and the hybrid as it has a lot but could have more and then minimal impact could also have a lot more.	11/13/2024 10:15 PM

1026	No Development	11/13/2024 9:52 PM
1027	Rather no development and leave the land to the wildlife it belongs to	11/13/2024 9:32 PM
1028	Keep the area natural do not develope	11/13/2024 8:58 PM
1029	NO development.	11/13/2024 8:29 PM
1030	This land is already over-developed and I don't want any more negative impact to the wildlife	11/13/2024 8:11 PM
1031	Overcrowding the park will rob Rosy of what makes it so unique and special.	11/13/2024 7:48 PM
1032	No development!	11/13/2024 7:05 PM
1033	I prefer saving natural areas. Once paved over, they are lost forever. I am OPPOSED to the hybrid plan. There are over 100 Pitcher's thistle in the location of the proposed parking lot. I have flagged the location of the plants with orange flags on 11/09/2024. These are a federally listed Threatened Plant. The have a conservation value on 10.	11/13/2024 7:01 PM
1034	Beuase there should be no more development	11/13/2024 5:59 PM
1035	No development.	11/13/2024 5:56 PM
1036	I like the option of being able to undertake a bigger loop trail from either of the 2 parking areas, but I am not a big fan of full on development. I think that would detract from the more "pristine" sort of wilderness feel to this place.	11/13/2024 4:36 PM
1037	No development should occur here	11/13/2024 4:10 PM
1038	we need to preserve the earth as it is without leaving any human imprint that would remain as a reminder of our presence	11/13/2024 2:07 PM
1039	the work done at ottawa sands is what I would call a "full utilization plan. I am not sure that the topography of the new 127 acres lends itself to that much development. These are after all dunes. They can be used recreationally and educationally but too many people over time can do damage to the natural environment.	11/13/2024 1:47 PM
1040	Should leave rosy mound as natural as possible . Doesn't need a playground , definitely doesn't need an event center	11/13/2024 1:47 PM
1041	I think we need to pay attention to keeping some spaces as natural as possible.	11/13/2024 1:39 PM
1042	No development	11/13/2024 1:29 PM
1043	No Development!!! This land is beautiful and sacred and needs to remain intact as much as possible. This development is totally out of touch with the rare and sensitive plant and animal communities that thrive in this small section that is proposed to be developed with buildings and crushed rock trails. This would significantly damage the ecológy of this already damaged and over used area. Preserve what's left, don't develop the land!!!	11/13/2024 1:22 PM
1044	None of the land should be developed. There are several species of flora and fauna that enjoy that area and man getting his grubby paws in it will ruin it in the long run.	11/13/2024 1:21 PM
1045	I prefer it as more of a preserve, but still would like to be in it. The full utilization plan seems to invasive	11/13/2024 12:58 PM
1046	No development. Let the natural environment be.	11/13/2024 11:09 AM
1047	NO DEVELOPMENT! THE ECO SYSTEM THERE IS SO IMPORTANT	11/13/2024 10:54 AM
1048	I would love to have it maintain all the different life of bugs, plants and animals that live there. We need to make sure as the world changes we leave space for nature to be nature.	11/13/2024 10:41 AM
1049	No development	11/13/2024 10:37 AM
1050	No development!!	11/13/2024 10:17 AM
1051	Really it is a tough call. I prefer the hybrid however I really like the idea of the one trail nearest Lakeshore on the full plan	11/13/2024 9:26 AM
1052	I prefer smaller, well kept trails that keep the crowds down. 250 parking spaces is way too much and takes away from the feeling of being in nature.	11/13/2024 8:03 AM

1053	No development	11/13/2024 7:12 AM
1054	I like something in the middle, keep the natural beauty but add some usage that makes sense. The full development felt like a carnival, too much going on and not enough ways to take in natural beauty of the land and nature.	11/12/2024 11:31 PM
1055	I think it's important for visitors to be able to access this space, but also to preserve and sustain the natural environment.	11/12/2024 10:22 PM
1056	No development, preserve the land	11/12/2024 9:50 PM
1057	I would like to see a blend of one and two but not such a big asphalt parking lot as shown on 2.	11/12/2024 9:01 PM
1058	I would prefer that the wildlife be undisturbed	11/12/2024 5:47 PM
1059	I don't want the project to cost so much such that it takes years to fund and complete, so I ranked the minimal impact plan first. The feature I ran the highest is building decks with benches overlooking the lake like there used to be!	11/12/2024 5:38 PM
1060	To do the least amount of damage to the area	11/12/2024 5:07 PM
1061	I would be happy with more trails but do not want more structures /buildings	11/12/2024 3:30 PM
1062	Full utilization takes out too much of the existing natural flora. So that's no good. Minimal impact is a much better choice because it minimizes interference with the natural ecosystem that's there. The hybrid plan is the best because it welcomes a larger amount of people into the area. It has a play space for kids. It's got a boardwalk, a multi-tiered overlook, and a number of other features that make the park worth a visit.	11/12/2024 3:23 PM
1063	Both the hybrid concept plan and the minimal concept plan seem to be good uses of the park without significantly impacting the natural area. The full utilization plan uses too much of the natural area for parking and development. I like that the hybrid concept plan provides a space that could lead to community development activities and likely could bring in funding/rentals to help offset the costs of the park. The minimal impact concept is also good and in keeping with the current Rosy Mound trail, which we love. It would be nice if there were sufficient parking for busy days, although the option of parking at Rosy Mound School and walking over isn't bad. Please don't over-develop this gem.	11/12/2024 1:42 PM
1064	As a natural area, we should limit the amount of human impact and prioritize native plants and animals in the space. There are other beach parks that can take the abuse of overuse that no longer provide a ideal habitat while this section the park should focus on restoration habitat improvement in minimal human impact	11/12/2024 1:12 PM
1065	I don't think we need to increase access to this natural area. Adding a ton of parking will bring a ton of people. Better to leave it undeveloped.	11/12/2024 12:50 PM
1066	the park should stay as natural as possible	11/12/2024 12:45 PM
1067	No development preferred	11/12/2024 11:53 AM
1068	I like the more developed hybrid park than the minimal one but the full utilization is too big	11/12/2024 11:51 AM
1069	I don't want any development!	11/12/2024 10:48 AM
1070	The location is spectacular and the beauty needs to be experienced.	11/12/2024 10:47 AM
1071	Some modern amenities are nice, but too much takes away from the natural experience. Hybrid has enough parking, where as minimal will likely have capacity issues.	11/12/2024 10:40 AM
1072	least impact seems best to me. can always expand if really needed.	11/12/2024 10:35 AM
1073	Conserve the area.	11/12/2024 10:31 AM
1074	Prefer max utilization plan... ranking numbers could be misleading. This survey is flawed.	11/12/2024 10:28 AM
1075	From its acquisition, Rosy Mound has been designated and managed as a Natural Area park. Support for this acquisition by The Nature Conservancy and other environmental groups was based on the preservation of the dune system in its natural state. The minimal concept plan best aligns with that vision	11/12/2024 10:23 AM
1076	Reduce stress on the dune, reduce maintenance, encourage use of trails and wilderness, not pavilions.	11/12/2024 10:00 AM

1077	No development!!	11/12/2024 9:25 AM
1078	Because 200 or 250 additional parking spaces is too many. This is Rosy Mound "Natural Area," not Rosy Mound "City Beach."	11/12/2024 9:17 AM
1079	It does not need to be over developed. We love it the way it is.	11/12/2024 9:01 AM
1080	Cost	11/12/2024 8:33 AM
1081	Prefer to save natural undisturbed habitats for wildlife	11/12/2024 8:20 AM
1082	Leave it natural no more development, leave some areas alone what you did at Ottawa Sanda is bad enough	11/12/2024 8:15 AM
1083	Thoughtful use of space that builds access and protects key areas	11/12/2024 7:58 AM
1084	No development preferred	11/12/2024 6:32 AM
1085	The taxpayers deserve to make good use of the property we own together. Full utilization makes the Rosy Mound expansion accessible and useful to the greatest number of visitors.	11/12/2024 6:31 AM
1086	I don't want to see rosy mound expanded. I would prefer it left how it already is. It does not need to be further developed!!! There is limited space for the wildlife who live in the area to go and we do not need to develop any more of the land, especially by expanding upon parking or putting in a plaza.	11/12/2024 4:58 AM
1087	No development.	11/12/2024 4:52 AM
1088	Less is more	11/12/2024 3:18 AM
1089	Don't want too much wild life impact.	11/12/2024 1:47 AM
1090	No development	11/12/2024 12:55 AM
1091	Full plan is too much busy. Minimal is small but easy to improve later on. Hybrid is a nice compromise. Just my personal preference.	11/11/2024 11:27 PM
1092	Keep it as natural as possible	11/11/2024 10:41 PM
1093	Rosy Mound is magical as it is currently. It's not often to find a well taken care of park and dune to enjoy nature and the beautiful scenery. Once changed, we can't restore nature to its original form. Please extend the current trail system and improve the current parking. Keep this gem as is as long as possible.	11/11/2024 10:26 PM
1094	No development	11/11/2024 10:20 PM
1095	Minimal impact is important to restore the dunes and protect on further damage.	11/11/2024 10:11 PM
1096	Natural is better, and more rare. There are plenty of overbuilt parks in the area.	11/11/2024 10:01 PM
1097	I believe there are a should be as untouched as possible.	11/11/2024 9:59 PM
1098	No development	11/11/2024 9:42 PM
1099	I want to be able to enjoy the most from the expansion	11/11/2024 9:17 PM
1100	Keep the landscape as is	11/11/2024 9:08 PM
1101	more access and opportunities	11/11/2024 9:07 PM
1102	No development!	11/11/2024 8:57 PM
1103	all of the planned features are nice, but I like that more is left natural/less paved parking with hybrid or minimal	11/11/2024 8:53 PM
1104	We have a number of parks that provide full facilities and access. Protecting this critical ecosystem in full seems more important at this time.	11/11/2024 8:22 PM
1105	This is a peaceful quiet place. There are wildlife and plants to be protected. Ottawa County has the fastest depletion of natural area and farms than any county in MI. Leave it.	11/11/2024 8:07 PM
1106	More parking is needed but not too much. I wouldn't want to see the park overly developed.	11/11/2024 8:06 PM

1107	No development!	11/11/2024 8:03 PM
1108	Minimal development allows the animals and wildlife to be better preserved and undisturbed.	11/11/2024 7:55 PM
1109	I don't want to see Rosy mind developed at all!	11/11/2024 7:23 PM
1110	Do not disturb the natural life that is there	11/11/2024 7:18 PM
1111	I think accessibility is important, and that it should be more than just circling a large parking lot. It is also a super popular park as is and to add so much more parking just invites more and more heavy use. I also think that the natural surface trails are an important feature to get away from the city sounds. Those dunes back there are so quiet. I'd hate to see the experience changed by adding a huge, loud parking lot and event space.	11/11/2024 7:00 PM
1112	I want no development	11/11/2024 6:57 PM
1113	The nature area should be minimally impacted, I prefer no development.	11/11/2024 6:55 PM
1114	To protect nature.	11/11/2024 6:49 PM
1115	Most amount of barrier free trail	11/11/2024 6:48 PM
1116	The appeal of Rosy Mound is that it's not built up. We have great parks that are built up. We also need quiet trails.	11/11/2024 6:43 PM
1117	No development! Ottawa County Parks is known for its NATURAL beauty. Please stop developing our natural landscapes. Protect it	11/11/2024 6:34 PM
1118	Our county population is rising. We need enough infrastructure in our parks to handle more people. If we don't build it, the natural areas will be destroyed anyway. I'd rather see wide trails, big bath houses, and big parking lots now, rather than run down, overcrowded parks later.	11/11/2024 6:25 PM
1119	The hybrid has more trails than the minimal impact concept. Thee full utilization plan is just too much.	11/11/2024 6:13 PM
1120	No development	11/11/2024 6:04 PM
1121	There should be little change to this area. No development would be ideal	11/11/2024 6:00 PM
1122	No development	11/11/2024 5:39 PM
1123	I believe it's very important to leave undeveloped land the way it is to preserve our precious ecology. Rosy Mound is a very special place (I got engaged there!) and I think it should stay that way.	11/11/2024 4:09 PM
1124	I want to be able to appreciate Rosy Mound for what it best offers: a scenic, natural West Michigan coastal dune system without any excess and over development.	11/11/2024 4:08 PM
1125	Fragile ecosystem that already sees a lot of traffic	11/11/2024 3:26 PM
1126	Preserve as much of natural beauty as possible	11/11/2024 1:37 PM
1127	Rosy mound currently is a great gem, to avoid destruction of current nature only found in the great lakes area. I think a minimal approach is great for the rare landscape. Could help with animal and insect populations. Also with more people moving to the area, its great to set aside more undeveloped land, which include benefits to mental and physical health of people that visit.	11/11/2024 1:27 PM
1128	keep it simple, expand the south end if you want, there is not enough money to maintain larger area	11/11/2024 1:25 PM
1129	The survey is flawed. There should be an option for NO FUTURE DEVELOPMENT.	11/11/2024 11:31 AM
1130	I don't like the loop drive of the full utilization. However, I do like the trail on the east side. I like the location of the parking area of the hybrid and it provides more parking than the minimal plan.	11/11/2024 11:28 AM
1131	Full Utilization has the most trails.	11/11/2024 11:27 AM
1132	The traffic outside of Rosy Mound Elementary is already very congested and dangerous during certain times of the day. To have increased traffic both to the North and the South of the school will cause enormous issues with traffic backups especially during school drop off and	11/11/2024 11:20 AM

pick up times. Lakeshore drive and Rosy Mound Elementary are already not set up to adequately handle the current amount of car traffic.

1133	Our impact should be as little as possible. We shouldn't turn the park into a giant parking lot.	11/11/2024 11:04 AM
1134	A trail for access and natural beauty are really all that we need.	11/11/2024 10:50 AM
1135	I grew up in this area and I would rather no development be created. Each time I go back home, I watch as one of my favorite hiking and natural areas is developed and the nature destroyed. It's heartbreaking. Ottawa County instead should be preserving these areas, preserving the nature and natural wildlife. At this rate, the only "ecological areas" left will be untouched private property.	11/11/2024 10:37 AM
1136	I would prefer that the new park area have minimum development.	11/11/2024 10:30 AM
1137	Leave it alone. Leave it natural. The park doesn't need bells and whistles.	11/11/2024 10:08 AM
1138	Prioritizing both the protection of dunes and community access.	11/11/2024 9:26 AM
1139	More trails create less temptation to go off trail.	11/11/2024 7:52 AM
1140	Rosy mound is great just the way it is. There are plenty of other hiking options for tourists and if you limit the people you can limit the damage to the beauty that is there.	11/11/2024 7:30 AM
1141	The area has already been altered due to the mining of the sand. The area can use more park areas for the growing population to enjoy nature. Using this area to provide this seems to make sense as the natural environment has already been altered.	11/11/2024 7:13 AM
1142	I like the added trails in the hybrid concept. The full utilization seemed really similar but with a longer driveway. I would rather leave less ground disturbed and park closer to the road. I also don't mind the minimal plan.	11/11/2024 6:47 AM
1143	Maintain natural resources while still making some options for enjoyment	11/11/2024 6:40 AM
1144	No development	11/11/2024 12:23 AM
1145	Leave it be	11/10/2024 10:09 PM
1146	Preservation, location, traffic	11/10/2024 9:22 PM
1147	I like to keep nature as untouched as possible, but also know that parking is often a not available at the current park. I would prefer to keep it somewhere in the middle or less parking. One thing that is nice is even when the park is busy currently, it is big enough not to feel overrun by people.	11/10/2024 8:42 PM
1148	No development.	11/10/2024 8:40 PM
1149	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/10/2024 7:50 PM
1150	Rosy Mound is an exceptional natural area that will naturally heal and should be kept that way	11/10/2024 7:16 PM
1151	Why would you develop a natural area?	11/10/2024 6:58 PM
1152	Better walking trails than concept 1. Don't see a reason for a group picnic shelter in concept 3	11/10/2024 6:30 PM
1153	I like the additional trails in the hybrid model but don't feel like developing too much.	11/10/2024 4:45 PM
1154	More options make the park attractive and valuable to more people, while maintaining the beauty and natural environment. And more parking is essential.	11/10/2024 4:36 PM
1155	I know the area well. Why ruin its natural character with more hardscape? You should just expand the existing developed area rather than breaking up the environment with a second developed area.	11/10/2024 4:26 PM
1156	I prefer the minimal impact plan because it is minimal. The other two plans have a large human footprint and keeping the park more serene and natural are important.	11/10/2024 3:47 PM
1157	Maximum availability for public use	11/10/2024 2:33 PM
1158	We need to provide spaces for people who are in wheel chairs or older to access lake or at least see from a paved trail. We are blessed with many parks but few are handicap accessible.	11/10/2024 2:16 PM
1159	Because I don't want you to ruin the environment	11/10/2024 2:03 PM

1160	There are many wonderful parks in our area. Rosy Mound is special because of how it currently is. No bikes zooming by. No electric bikes can get there, which would be prevalent if there were paved trails. I love biking. I appreciate electric bikes. But just not at Rosy Mound. Ottawa Sands lost its special appeal with the change. I'd hate to see Rosy Mound follow suit.	11/10/2024 12:53 PM
1161	I want to see as much of this land undeveloped as possible. It is vital to the native plants and animals.	11/10/2024 12:15 PM
1162	I don't want the natural features (flora and fauna) disturbed.	11/10/2024 11:59 AM
1163	The area has already been disturbed and will likely continue to change through time due to wind erosion. In addition, people already think they can do whatever they want here (even though it's trespassing), so you might as well take full advantage of the site.	11/10/2024 11:42 AM
1164	Minimal impact on natural environment!	11/10/2024 11:33 AM
1165	I would love to see this park not be overrun	11/10/2024 11:29 AM
1166	Take it slow I like natural nature . It has rare plant . I grew up near Rosie Mound . And like it how it is .	11/10/2024 11:17 AM
1167	Hybrid provides good utilization of land without too much impact on the natural feel	11/10/2024 11:13 AM
1168	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/10/2024 11:11 AM
1169	No Development!!!!	11/10/2024 11:06 AM
1170	We need more natural areas left to be natural. Especially along the lakeshore.	11/10/2024 11:03 AM
1171	No development!	11/10/2024 10:47 AM
1172	I don't think the land should be developed. It's impacts the animals and wildlife in the park. If you are going to do anything with the adjacent land keep it minimal	11/10/2024 10:29 AM
1173	More natural area	11/10/2024 10:02 AM
1174	The area should be left as undisturbed as possible	11/10/2024 9:27 AM
1175	Expansion can take place later, we should preserve nature as long as possible.	11/10/2024 9:27 AM
1176	Minimal impact in the area is the highest so visitors can experience and explore the most natural parts of the park.	11/10/2024 9:04 AM
1177	Want the plan that limits the amount of people at one time, thus controlling how many feet are tromping the eco systems. This may keep people focused on keeping the park nice and respecting the natural areas while still enjoying the beauty around us.	11/10/2024 9:00 AM
1178	The hybrid make the most sense to me, although I prefer the minimal impact. After seeing all the work done at Ottawa Sands, it's great, but a lot of blacktop.	11/10/2024 8:54 AM
1179	I do not want that land developed at all. That land is beautiful as is, and home to species of plants and animals that will be endangered eventually.	11/10/2024 8:49 AM
1180	3rd has a more added trail and added parking. It's downfall is more road compared to 2nd. 2nd with the added trail would make that my 1.	11/10/2024 8:42 AM
1181	I would prefer the area to stay as natural and undeveloped as possible.	11/10/2024 8:40 AM
1182	I want the least amount of development	11/10/2024 8:22 AM
1183	Keeping the wildlife areas as wild as possible is essential for our survival. We have enough human recreation areas.	11/10/2024 8:15 AM
1184	I like some amenities but not too much	11/10/2024 8:01 AM
1185	I prefer the minimal utilization - keep it natural - but prefer the connected trails. All we need are the hiking trails	11/10/2024 7:24 AM
1186	I think maintaining the area as minimally developed as possible is the best gift to give future generations.	11/10/2024 6:49 AM
1187	Don't do it	11/10/2024 3:50 AM

1188	People in will enjoy the new park regardless of a picnic area or additional paved parking. Leave the park more in its natural state so there's more park to enjoy not just pavement and structures. People come to Rosymound not for a picnic area or for visitors centers. They come to enjoy nature and the heavily forested terrain and the natural dune area. Other area parks already have picnic & visitor buildings. . Sometimes less is more in the long run.	11/10/2024 3:46 AM
1189	I like the extra trail on the east end to the existing park and larger picnic area	11/10/2024 1:37 AM
1190	Please Keep our natural lakeshore undeveloped for the creatures and future generations.	11/9/2024 11:50 PM
1191	Equity of access is important. But maintaining the integrity of the landscape is also important.	11/9/2024 10:55 PM
1192	Minimal impact is the only option here, as the area should be left in as natural of a state as possible!	11/9/2024 10:43 PM
1193	Minimal impact to this fragile ecosystem is paramount	11/9/2024 10:12 PM
1194	Minimal impact should be the only option to this natural area.	11/9/2024 10:08 PM
1195	To fully utilize the property would benefit more community members rather than just those who like to walk in nature.	11/9/2024 9:54 PM
1196	So the land is not over developed	11/9/2024 9:52 PM
1197	Rosy Mound is beautiful park in a beautiful, serene setting. The trails on great. Expanding this would be great.	11/9/2024 9:47 PM
1198	I like as many trails as possible, but do not care for so many buildings and paved areas.	11/9/2024 9:29 PM
1199	Please do NOT develop that area	11/9/2024 9:18 PM
1200	This place is a gem to hike on & walk along the beach- or access the area by boat & hang out for The day.	11/9/2024 8:41 PM
1201	I feel the hybrid keeps the infrastructure close to the road and has less impact on the property.	11/9/2024 8:15 PM
1202	Because we need more parking but I also want to preserve the nature	11/9/2024 8:11 PM
1203	Do you guys even know the land? There's rare plants and animals that utilize that little section of undeveloped dune area. Your minimal proposal is not minimal enough. I am furious with you guys and your pattern of overdevelopment. Rare and sensitive plants and fungi thrive in the dunes, coyotes have their babies where the new trails are proposed, turkey, deer, fox and even a bobcat utilize these lands. Stop this nonsense. I will pushback hard and strong against this ridiculous overdevelopment of beautiful, pristine, sacred and holy land. Your level of eagerness to overdevelop this land as others with such little regard for wildlife is astounding and disgusting! Do better.	11/9/2024 7:35 PM
1204	I feel it should not be overdeveloped.	11/9/2024 6:19 PM
1205	Generally I prefer minimal impact to the natural identity of the area. However I know parks are very popular these days since Covid as a great healthy minimal cost outdoor activity. So the parks are busy. The parking will get used and 3 seasons is nice in the hybrid without having too much development like the full.	11/9/2024 6:11 PM
1206	I think people who use this property appreciate it's natural beauty and the limited public access. The trail system is difficult for the general public. I often see the trails used by walkers and runners who enjoy the many grade changes to achieve a advanced workout. Increasing the parking area will only encourage more congestion on the trails and destroy the seclusion of this natural gem.	11/9/2024 4:40 PM
1207	I prefer the "full" plan. It seems more accessible for all types of people I don't get the play area in the 2nd plan. The whole nature center is a play area.	11/9/2024 3:57 PM
1208	Trying to keep the land as it is but also providing more use... middle of the road approach	11/9/2024 3:44 PM
1209	The hybrid option provides the best amenities while still maintaining a natural and untouched space	11/9/2024 11:28 AM
1210	Less main parking and modernization of the area.	11/9/2024 11:07 AM
1211	You MUST leave this land as natural as possible! The existing park already had parking and developed trails, why must humans develop nature? Leave all the existing trails AS IS, there	11/9/2024 10:36 AM

zero need for further development. I have never seen the existing parking lot full, so why add more parking? I fully support access for those with limited mobility, but if you have a walker or a wheelchair or similar, your NOT hiking sand dunes!!! My real vote is for NONE OF THEM!!!!

1212	I dont mind the first 2 options but dont care for a big parking lot, which takes away from nature. Not sure about a play area either. I go out there for the peace/quiet of nature not to hear screaming kids.	11/9/2024 10:27 AM
1213	I hate to see natural areas disturbed. Without knowing more information about this property and it's past use though, I can still assume some restoration of wildlife habitat will help in the area. Planning ahead, but not too wildly assuming use will be overly busy (esp. since it is mostly a season park) will be important. Leaving as much in a natural habitat space is critically important for the future.	11/9/2024 10:21 AM
1214	We love the natural beauty of the current park & area. Love adding additional land for preservation as natural space. Like perhaps the additional trails of the Hybrid plan - but 200 parking spots seems like too much. I fear the park will be overrun with people and buses. Perfer to keep it as natural as possible for hikers and nature lovers. There is already a lot of foot traffic on weekends which can take away from the natural space. Not sure about big tour buses coming through with weekend tourists.	11/9/2024 10:20 AM
1215	The Hybrid plan offers more access with less impact than Full Utilization	11/9/2024 9:24 AM
1216	Preserve natural state. People are awful to public places	11/9/2024 8:58 AM
1217	Let's take advantage of our beautiful green spaces for generations to enjoy	11/9/2024 8:37 AM
1218	I believe reducing the impact to the dune area as much as possible is the correct path.	11/9/2024 7:57 AM
1219	I'd like to see the existing area easily connected to the new one for hiking options, but the full plan seems overkill.	11/9/2024 7:42 AM
1220	I love the vast amounts of trails in the full utilization plan. We go to Rosy for the hiking	11/9/2024 7:41 AM
1221	I think having a few amenities is great for families and preserving a lot of the natural beauty is just as important.	11/9/2024 7:23 AM
1222	Value in minimal impact and preservation of this gem.	11/9/2024 7:09 AM
1223	I believe that the magic of rosey is the lack of infrastructure. Ottawa has many other great parks, but not many natural areas along the beach	11/9/2024 6:52 AM
1224	The Hybrid plan opens the area to more use while keeping much of the area as is. Between having more utilization of the space or less, I feel as population increases the in the area the need for outdoor areas increases and as such the full utilization would be the better choice over minimal utilization.	11/9/2024 6:27 AM
1225	Keep Rosy Miund small with little changes.	11/9/2024 3:12 AM
1226	Full use of the park for the public while preserving natural beauty	11/8/2024 10:51 PM
1227	Although I love viewing nature i don't feel it necessary for us to have access to viewing every inch of it. Granting us access but still basing it off what we already have and not doing too much more sounds great!	11/8/2024 10:43 PM
1228	Don't disturb land.	11/8/2024 10:43 PM
1229	I felt that the hybrid concept balanced new development and access with maintaining the areas rustic, natural aesthetic.	11/8/2024 10:38 PM
1230	My family and I love actively exploring the existing Rosy Mounds, and this more expanded fully utilized map appears to have so much more to actively explore.	11/8/2024 9:47 PM
1231	The key words here which must be kept at the forefront are "natural area". Expanding is fantastic, but not if it comes at the expense of the primary purpose of the area, which is to preserve it as undeveloped and as natural as possible.	11/8/2024 8:50 PM
1232	Dunes need protection.	11/8/2024 7:33 PM
1233	I love Rosy Mound and the way the dunes turn to forests and back to dunes. The natural beauty of the land is unmatched. The first option maintains that spirit and let the land speak for	11/8/2024 7:24 PM

itself. It also costs less and creates less need for maintenance. The second two options go too far and change the character of this land permanently. I feel like there are so few areas on the water in West Michigan that are publicly accessible and have such a natural character- (that is, not overly developed with parking lots, paved trails etc. etc.).

1234	Would be nice to have more parking and make it handicap accessible so more people can enjoy the park	11/8/2024 5:28 PM
1235	The more the use the better!	11/8/2024 5:22 PM
1236	Rosy Mound should stay mostly natural with minimal development.	11/8/2024 5:13 PM
1237	The top two give the public to most access in order to enjoy the dunes. I like the full utilization plan, but worried about concerned about the affect it would have on the dunes. However, after answering the questions below, I'm definitely leaning more toward the full unitization plan	11/8/2024 5:01 PM
1238	Full utilization plan has better access, better trails and better educational facilities.	11/8/2024 4:30 PM
1239	I think the third option is too much development. It's important to keep it as natural as possible.	11/8/2024 4:26 PM
1240	Balancing amenities with disruption to the natural park landscape.	11/8/2024 3:56 PM
1241	I would like to see lots of trails, with minimal buildup keeping the land as natural as possible.	11/8/2024 3:17 PM
1242	To limit people's access	11/8/2024 3:12 PM
1243	Smallest intrusion on dune and lake	11/8/2024 3:09 PM
1244	I love all the trail system options in the full utilization plan. The connections from the original property to the addition are crucial for max utilization of space. Multiple trails will be beneficial so that visitors to the park can stay on planned pathways to maintain the integrity of the natural spaces around them!	11/8/2024 3:06 PM
1245	Would be nice for some expansion without losing too much of the natural feel	11/8/2024 2:48 PM
1246	We welcome the new parks and trails. However, current enjoyment of our park system is not limited by the number of parking spaces or picnic shelters or nature centers. This new park area should not compete with our existing nature center at Hemlock Crossing.	11/8/2024 2:39 PM
1247	I like the trail layout in the full utilization plan, especially the Lakeshore Ave. trail. Do not like the large parking lots/large shelter in the full and hybrid plans. Would like to see it remain more of a natural area.	11/8/2024 2:37 PM
1248	Why do we have to develop every piece of public land? Let it be	11/8/2024 1:15 PM
1249	The minimal impact plan provides the most serenity and solitude by minimizing the parking to 50 spaces. This is the least invasive plan to the existing natural beauty and offers a beach experience that is not packed with people. I have no interest in providing parking for an event center. This seems totally contrary to the Rosey Mound we know and love. Though I would prefer limiting motorized vehicles penetrating the park, I understand the drop off loop may make Rosey accessible to school children and the elderly, a important consideration. I totally support all restoration and educational activities.	11/8/2024 12:15 PM
1250	Cost potential and unknown usage information.	11/8/2024 12:04 PM
1251	The best part about Rosy Mound is the wild nature of it. The Hybrid and Full plans will increase traffic and change the spirit of the park.	11/8/2024 11:23 AM
1252	Trails are important with minimal impact elsewhere.	11/8/2024 11:03 AM
1253	Like to make some use of the new area, but not fill the area and keep some natural	11/8/2024 11:01 AM
1254	This land needs to be left alone. The lakeshore is home to unique and threatened species that are constantly being moved out of their homes because of development. Very little lakeshore is left for conservations sake. The lakeshore already has plenty of trails and recreation areas. Under the Endangered species federal act if there are any endangered species on this potential development land it is strictly prohibited to build here.	11/8/2024 10:57 AM
1255	My family and I will mostly like the extra trails, but the natural kids playscape area is nice in the hybrid design	11/8/2024 10:49 AM

1256	The hybrid and full utilization plans are too sprawling and appear to have more parking than is needed for the site. By keeping improvements closer to the road, it allows more of the site to be peaceful and undeveloped for passive recreational enjoyment.	11/8/2024 10:04 AM
1257	Rosy Mound currently has such a special, relaxing, preserved feeling. I think integrating the new property in the most minimal way would feel most consistent with the spirit of Rosy Mound, and restore/protect habitat for wildlife in the area.	11/8/2024 10:00 AM
1258	Attempt to retain as many natural features with the fewest impacts.	11/8/2024 9:44 AM
1259	I think it is important to have a lot of access/parking, but balance that with not overdeveloping. I think the hybrid plan accomplishes that well.	11/8/2024 9:25 AM
1260	More trails. Want to be able to explore as much of the park as possible.	11/8/2024 9:05 AM
1261	More people will be able to use the park	11/8/2024 8:41 AM
1262	I like some of the features presented in the hybrid plan. The idea of an overlook sounds neat. I like the feel of the minimal impact plan. Less might be more.	11/8/2024 8:38 AM
1263	Smaller is better... no development of the park is even better	11/8/2024 8:31 AM
1264	I think that minimal impact best adheres to the Ottawa County Parks mission statement.	11/8/2024 8:15 AM
1265	Provides for increased parking, ample day use facilities and minimizes impact on duneland. Puts day use facilities all in highly disturbed area. Allows for naturalization of a greater portion of the disturbed area.	11/8/2024 8:12 AM
1266	Multiple reasons including balancing parking capacity, environmental impact, cost, and recreational value.	11/8/2024 8:03 AM
1267	I believe in more of a minimalist solution for the park. Less money spent, less impact on the environment.	11/8/2024 7:56 AM
1268	Strongly believe closer kept to nature the better. Fully developed parks can be placed away from such naturally unique areas.	11/8/2024 6:41 AM
1269	Because I very much dislike what was done at Ottawa Sands I don't want to see the same/similar thing happen with the Rosy Mound property.	11/8/2024 6:39 AM
1270	I prefer less pavement and a less aggressive approach as to the impact on the land now and for the future maintenance that the infrastructure would require.	11/8/2024 12:14 AM
1271	Minimally invasion or nothing at all	11/7/2024 11:03 PM
1272	Rosy Mound is an extraordinary piece of property tucked closely to the busy hustle of daily living. Large expansive natural green spaces are rather limited in this part of Ottawa County. Limiting the hard surfaces and limiting the disruption to wild flora and fauna offers a super refreshing, quiet restorative experience for body and mind. This quiet space is a special place to to reset one self. Most people seek parks for a quiet and freeing reset. This proximate location can provide limited intrusions to nature for restorative mental well being of our community. Its no surprise that this park is very popular... for all these reasons... please respect and retain this park's feature.	11/7/2024 9:58 PM
1273	The natural trails are so beautiful at Rosy Mound, that natural beauty should be maintained	11/7/2024 9:53 PM
1274	To let nature remain as pristine as possible while allowing public access.	11/7/2024 9:33 PM
1275	I hate to see too much parking and risk over-use. Also not sure if there would be enough activity options to attract the larger groups	11/7/2024 9:14 PM
1276	I love the addition of the natural playscape in the hybrid plan. I didn't choose full utilization because of my concern for fewer and fewer undisturbed natural areas as habitat for native plants and animals, especially in sand dune ecosystems.	11/7/2024 9:13 PM
1277	Nice how it is. Need to add park space in the middle & East side of county	11/7/2024 9:10 PM
1278	The park should minimize car parking.	11/7/2024 8:28 PM
1279	Less impact	11/7/2024 8:19 PM
1280	This is a beautiful area and the less that is done to disrupt the natural beauty and wildlife, the	11/7/2024 7:50 PM

	better.	
1281	Too much human impact on our treasured dunes	11/7/2024 7:43 PM
1282	I love the more natural, less developed look and feel to the space.	11/7/2024 7:42 PM
1283	This land should be completely left alone to preserve natural habitats and wildlife in the Grand Haven Area. There is overdevelopment already in the county forcing animals out of the beautiful natural lakeshore. There is already plenty of accessible natural areas for locals to enjoy so this land does not need to be and should not be interfered with.	11/7/2024 7:31 PM
1284	More trails and natural playscape in hybrid. Want a nice mix of development and natural areas. Like an open natural area where kids can run and play and climb and explore freely.	11/7/2024 7:24 PM
1285	Little disruption to plants and animals	11/7/2024 7:23 PM
1286	I would prefer more parking but less disruption to nature.	11/7/2024 7:23 PM
1287	I think a balance of the ideas is best. While the high development option has too much, the low development doesn't have enough. A building for programming to operate out of and minimal dune/coastal corridor interpretive displays would be ideal. But 200+ parking lot seems high. I also would like to see the trail connecting parking areas be included in the hybrid. This allows an easier flow of pedestrian traffic around the park without doubling back.	11/7/2024 7:22 PM
1288	Main features are the shore line and the natural areas. Don't believe that a park with lots of vehicles and shelter/event fit with the natural area.	11/7/2024 7:21 PM
1289	Keep the natural beauty	11/7/2024 7:18 PM
1290	All other options are too expensive and destructive to the environment.	11/7/2024 7:14 PM
1291	I like smaller parks.	11/7/2024 7:10 PM
1292	Minimal impact, in my opinion, is the ONLY viable option. The dune area where the sand mine used to be is a beautiful, natural dune area FILLED with wildlife and vegetation. It would be a tragedy to our county to disrupt it with boardwalks and parking lots and picnic areas and "lookouts". I do NOT understand why this would be necessary less than 1/2 mile away from the Rosy Mound Park that ALREADY contains these things! I attended the open house and was furious about the number of people who didn't even understand where this "park" would be located. The dunes, plants and wildlife should be protected and most people who are commenting on what you should do have NEVER BEEN to this area! I spend a LOT of time there. A simple sand trail is perfect, there are enough developed trails in the surrounding areas, PLEASE can we leave ONE AREA alone and maintain its beautiful natural state??? Please stop this planning and BE BETTER HUMANS to our environment! Thank you.	11/7/2024 7:08 PM
1293	I think large event space will be good for the county	11/7/2024 7:00 PM
1294	I love the idea of multiple buildings and the natural playscape. I would like to see the road extended up near the Dune Perch and the additional trail that runs near the road added to the Hybrid. We have older parents that would love to see the beauty from up high that that extension would allow. There aren't many parks that people that can't get around as well can see the beautiful views. I love the building concept to allow many people to experience the park and open up opportunities to make money on the rentals. I love multiple trails.	11/7/2024 6:59 PM
1295	Developed but Not too much	11/7/2024 6:59 PM
1296	Looking for user friendly space and possibly a few less steps to the water.	11/7/2024 6:58 PM
1297	Full utilization is too buildup	11/7/2024 6:55 PM
1298	Allows easy access for all	11/7/2024 6:52 PM
1299	I would like to see the stages to go slowly and see minimal impact on the natural environment.	11/7/2024 6:52 PM
1300	Minimize impact to environment.	11/7/2024 6:52 PM
1301	I want the area to remain more wild and natural.	11/7/2024 6:44 PM
1302	I've been back there, I don't think building boardwalks, pathways, and buildings on some of those tall dunes is a good idea (Full utilization plan). But the park could use more parking however, so therefore I think the hybrid plan would be the most efficient.	11/7/2024 6:42 PM

1303	I love the idea of handicapped people being able to see the top of the dune.	11/7/2024 6:41 PM
1304	It's difficult to spend that much money and only make it accessible to part of our aging population	11/7/2024 6:39 PM
1305	Good balance between the options	11/7/2024 6:37 PM
1306	Less done the better but if your going to do larger project then do it large	11/7/2024 6:27 PM
1307	Cost or economic impact as well as impact on the environment. I feel money should be spent saving in the Hemlock trees rather than building a large parking lot	11/7/2024 6:17 PM
1308	Strikes the right balance	11/7/2024 5:32 PM
1309	I love the existing park, more of it would be enough.	11/7/2024 5:31 PM
1310	The 2nd and 3rd are quite similar, but the second doesn't involve as much change as the third.	11/7/2024 5:26 PM
1311	Start with a small plan and then consider expanding features later.	11/7/2024 3:09 PM
1312	Why fully build out what is so beautiful as is? More trails is awesome, but I feel it should be more natural.	11/7/2024 2:24 PM
1313	This is one of the few parks that truly leaves nature untouched and allows people to experience the dunes and the lakes without the spoil of public consumption. Lets try to let the public enjoy this park without taking it so far!	11/7/2024 1:12 PM
1314	Prefer to protect as much of the natural area as possible while still making it accessible to the public. Would encourage a connecting trail between the existing and new parking areas for loop walking.	11/7/2024 1:03 PM
1315	Minimal hardly seems worth it, the hybrid makes the most sense and the full seems a bit overboard.	11/7/2024 12:26 PM
1316	Natural areas are increasingly rare! They offer a restorative space for people and needed habitat for plants and wildlife.	11/7/2024 12:05 PM
1317	Because more buildings and use equals more people tramping around off trail leaving garbage everywhere	11/7/2024 11:48 AM
1318	Basically for the large amount of parking. Massive amounts of people will want to be at Rosy mound specifically during the summer months of June-august, especially with the 127ac expansion, and there will be a parking issue if you do not go big enough. They park crazily at Winds Nest during the summer and could have doubled that parking lot. It would be nice to have an event space for graduations and weddings or reunions. Make Rosy Mound "THE" place to go for outdoor adventure.	11/7/2024 11:22 AM
1319	Minimal plan only a 2 because does not utilize the high ridge connecting to existing trail. I like the hybrid because it has connecting trails to existing trails and utilizes the ridges of the elevation and views, my favorite type of trail.. Just do not think 200 parking places are needed, thus a 2. Full plan is overkill and much more expense, likely.	11/7/2024 10:51 AM
1320	Take advantage of trails and nature with less cost and impact to site	11/7/2024 10:36 AM
1321	I don't feel there is the need for a full on second parking lot and restroom facilities, but there wasn't an option for that. I really like the trail plans on the full and hybrid utilization plans. I do like adding a barrier free trail loop for disabled persons.	11/7/2024 10:10 AM
1322	Like the developed plan with outdoor overlook and perch. What an amazing location for this. Love the bike repair station and drinking fountains and restrooms. Seems like best plan to lead us into the future for this amazing space.	11/7/2024 10:07 AM
1323	I think that the space should be kept as natural as possible with minimal hardspaces such as buildings and paving. keeping the limited lakefront space natural should be of the utmost Department of NATURAL Resources goal.	11/7/2024 9:33 AM
1324	I like the trail for those with handicaps so they can experience outdoors as well.	11/7/2024 8:54 AM
1325	I like the county parks best that are the least developed. The recent "improvements" at Ottawa Sands have ruined the park for my enjoyment. I would like to see the parks kept as natural as possible - minimal paving, no buildings/structures, no utilities.	11/7/2024 8:40 AM

1326	I love keeping natural spaces as natural as possible. I'm on board with providing access to these spaces in a reasonable fashion. However, I don't love the idea of creating a large recreation area with oversized parking as this often ruins the quiet nature of the...nature. It would be great to keep it minimal, while also having more trails to explore the area.	11/7/2024 8:36 AM
1327	Less impact is better	11/7/2024 8:32 AM
1328	The parking area being closer to the road and further from the beach in the Hybrid plan feels truer to the existing park, which I would like to maintain. This feels like the "spirit" of the existing park and the new park would be more aligned.	11/7/2024 8:32 AM
1329	I'm a local that uses this area for regular exercise. I currently have to walk the same loops twice to get four miles out of the park. It gets repetitive. The hybrid concept adds significant amount of trails for variety and additional distance. I think the hybrid is the best of both worlds. I am not a fan of the full utilization plan. That turns Rosy Mound into a Wally World theme park full of tourists.	11/7/2024 8:26 AM
1330	County parks should preserve nature in its most pristine state, allowing ecosystems to thrive without unnecessary human interference. Keeping these areas untouched not only maintains biodiversity but also protects delicate habitats from the stress of development, which can disrupt native plants and wildlife. Natural spaces in parks act as crucial carbon sinks, helping combat climate change while offering an authentic wilderness experience for visitors. I feel leaving this natural area as untouched as possible, would provide a refuge for people to connect with the natural world, fostering a sense of responsibility to protect the environment for future generations.	11/7/2024 8:23 AM
1331	Go slow with developing the undeveloped parts of this property. Make it assessable and available but don't change the rustic character of this land. You won't be able to go back and make it rustic again. Use great care please.	11/7/2024 8:19 AM
1332	Trying to anticipate future needs and preserve natural habitat as much as possible.	11/7/2024 8:07 AM
1333	Any intrusion takes away from Nature. The only thing I would like to see added would be bathroom facility along the hiking trail.	11/7/2024 7:55 AM
1334	Best use of property without taking away too much from current beauty of the area	11/7/2024 7:51 AM
1335	We have multiple parks available across the county so I don't see the need to have "full utilization" for every single park.	11/7/2024 7:25 AM
1336	less is more	11/7/2024 6:39 AM
1337	I prefer the full utilization plan.	11/7/2024 6:36 AM
1338	I think it is important to try to keep the area as natural as possible and not load up with parking areas and numerous walkways - too much too soon	11/7/2024 6:34 AM
1339	Parks do not need to be overdeveloped. There is greater value in just preserving the greenspace for birds and other wildlife and providing people access.	11/6/2024 10:01 PM
1340	not sure a shelter for 250 people would be best utilized by the most of the population	11/6/2024 9:51 PM
1341	i would like to see as much as possible keep it natural beauty	11/6/2024 9:36 PM
1342	Concepts 2 and 3 I like because it connects the trails with the existing rosie mound trails at the top of the first set of steps. Concept 3 I like because it is brings you farther into the property, where you park and it looks like it has better overflow parking, which i think you'll need in the summer.	11/6/2024 9:27 PM
1343	Best use of a large area for various uses.	11/6/2024 8:22 PM
1344	I believe a more natural, minimalist approach is most complementary to the existing site and surroundings	11/6/2024 8:19 PM
1345	I like the balance of creating functional access and connecting trails together to create loops but I don't love the idea of over developing	11/6/2024 7:37 PM
1346	This is an iconic piece of land and beach for the public. Thank you for pursuing the acquisition. I live in West Olive and always take visitors to Rosey Mound for the beautiful, well-crafted walk to the beach and pine grove, beautiful. The current park is underutilized, and I vote for the Full Utilization as this land area is a cornerstone to Ottawa Counties vision of recreation and	11/6/2024 7:04 PM

	attracting visitors. Please take full advantage. Many thanks for letting me provide input. Be well.	
1347	Love the boardwalk loop options.	11/6/2024 6:51 PM
1348	The more natural the better. As an example, Ottawa Sands is over developed with the asphalt path around lake. I believe less is more.	11/6/2024 6:47 PM
1349	I think hybrid is the best compromise to all 3 concepts	11/6/2024 6:19 PM
1350	I like preserving the natural landscape with minimal impact as well as enough parking to accommodate community members.	11/6/2024 6:02 PM
1351	The more trails, the better!	11/6/2024 6:01 PM
1352	I like the connection trails between the two sections, but don't think we need to have people driving so far into the property. Less expensive too.	11/6/2024 5:26 PM
1353	Rosy mound is a sensitive natural area and I like to see the dunescape preserved. The extra amenities like the nature center don't make much sense to me when we're so close to Hemlock Crossing. I don't mind the playground, though. In all three concepts, I'm glad for the extra parking and the bike trail connection.	11/6/2024 5:04 PM
1354	It's a nature preserve and the minimal impact concept plan makes the most sense in order to maintain conservation efforts.	11/6/2024 4:30 PM
1355	I didn't rank....the image was too small to appreciate the differences between designs	11/6/2024 4:08 PM
1356	I do like the minimal impact concept plan but fear that 50 parking spaces is not enough	11/6/2024 3:53 PM
1357	Parking area has smaller impact on environment. Lessens the number of people on trails making it more enjoyable.	11/6/2024 3:43 PM
1358	I like the variety in concept 3	11/6/2024 3:41 PM
1359	I think the minimal plan is too minimal to engage people to use it. I voted the hybrid to save funds yet, build a wonderful addition. The full play looks costly and I was not sure if the budget.	11/6/2024 3:40 PM
1360	The minimal impact is my favorite, but doesn't provide enough parking which is a common problem for the existing site. Full buildout detracts from the charm of rosey mound which is all about being in the wilderness while still close to town.	11/6/2024 3:36 PM
1361	I don't think that overdevelopment of a natural area is the best way to utilize the space. Minimal development while still giving residents and visitors is something I support.	11/6/2024 3:36 PM
1362	I do not think the Minimal Impact plan has enough parking. Because of this, it went right to the bottom of the list. I have seen the elementary school parking lot full with overflow parking. I like the Hybrid plan over the Full Utilization plan due to the full parking lot, the playscape, and the nature/event center. I do not like the road and loop to the Dune Perch in the full utilization plan, this seems to cut too much into the natural area.	11/6/2024 3:35 PM
1363	It's natural beauty should not be disturbed. There are similar amenities at other parks along the lakeshore, so more is not needed.	11/6/2024 3:24 PM
1364	More parking! And love to see it all used.	11/6/2024 3:23 PM
1365	The full utilization plan appears to have a great trail network expansion, along with some really high-quality developed additions. Of course this comes with the downside of cost and natural area impact, but I think this would be an excellent resource for the community. The hybrid plan felt like it had excessive developed features without some of the trail network additions- if I were personally creating a hybrid plan I would keep the built structures minimal while adding the full trail system rather than the other way around. The minimal impact plan seems like a great backup since it would be relatively simple to implement and clearly much lower cost.	11/6/2024 3:20 PM
1366	keep it simple for maintenance and supervision	11/6/2024 3:18 PM
1367	I think the hybrid is a good compromise between access and maintaining some 'open' space. Plus, the full plan looks very expensive!	11/6/2024 3:15 PM
1368	Hybrid is a good mix of leaving it in its natural state and providing access	11/6/2024 3:09 PM

1369	I like keeping the area as natural as possible, but with some parking.	11/6/2024 2:58 PM
1370	Rather than pick away at adding pieces over a long span of time, it seems less impactful to just do it all together.	11/6/2024 2:55 PM
1371	Additional parking spaces to allow plenty of access. Event space would likely be popular for rentals.	11/6/2024 2:55 PM
1372	I ranked it this way to keep the dune area as natural as possible with minimal human traffic.	11/6/2024 2:49 PM
1373	To keep as much natural area as possible.	11/6/2024 2:38 PM
1374	We have always loved this park because of its natural beauty and we feel that it should be kept in its most natural state possible to protect the natural beauty and habitat.	11/6/2024 2:38 PM
1375	I enjoy the natural landscape and minimal impact to the existing ecosystem...Keep the peaceful experience in nature and not make it commercialized	11/6/2024 2:35 PM
1376	Additional parking and usage is important with how busy existing rosy mound access is.	11/6/2024 2:34 PM
1377	I think the existing facilities are adequate, and there is no need to add more to the new property. If you need more parking or more bathrooms, I suggest you expand/update the existing facilities instead of adding new ones. Keep the new property as close to natural as possible.	11/6/2024 2:29 PM
1378	I like the idea of having more trails, but I don't like the idea of huge parking lots and nature centers.	11/6/2024 2:29 PM
1379	There are other parks with ampe parking. I would like to keep this park minimally invasive and a nature lover park rather than an entertainment park with playgrounds, etc.	11/6/2024 2:24 PM
1380	I would like to see the area kept as natural as possible, along with environmental restoration activities. Our lakeshore parks are getting too crowded. I go there to meditate and get away from the crowds.	11/6/2024 2:21 PM
1381	Parks are for everyone, especially families with children	11/6/2024 2:09 PM
1382	DO IT RIGHT THE FIRST TIME	11/6/2024 2:06 PM
1383	I don't feel it's necessary to do anything to the area. There are plenty of parks not being fully used for people to go to. And at what cost to the tax payers?	11/6/2024 2:00 PM
1384	The additional accessibility options (allowing access closer to the shoreline for those who have mobility limitations, in addition the barrier free loop trail) in addition to the enhanced connection to the existing parking and trail head amenities. I am an environmentalist with disabilities and appreciate the balance between conservation and enhanced accessibility and recreation possibilities.	11/6/2024 1:57 PM
1385	If you are adding 127 acres you should be able to add many more trails. Some assessible. Do we really need a covered venue area...just wondering the cost vs use. More miles of trails.	11/6/2024 1:45 PM
1386	Just makes the most sense to me	11/6/2024 1:40 PM
1387	As a former Lakeshore Parks Seasonal worker who loves OCP, my first inclination is to be as minimally invasive as possible, and also think at least a little about the folks who maintain the site. Having said that, however, I also believe it is our duty to help promote and share the beauty of our parks with as many people as we reasonably can. Therefore, I believe a full utilization of the property (adhering, of course, to our role as protectors of the environment) is both practical and just.	11/6/2024 1:39 PM
1388	I celebrate the expansion of the parks, and am drawn to the full utilization plan because it will lengthen trails and ensure the lakeshore habitat is protected for years to come.	11/6/2024 1:38 PM
1389	common sense	11/6/2024 1:37 PM
1390	More parking, larger shelter, additional trails, multiple overlook opportunities.	11/6/2024 1:35 PM
1391	I like the idea of a 250 capacity event center best.	11/6/2024 1:34 PM
1392	I like the minimum impact - protect our dunes.	11/6/2024 1:34 PM
1393	This is an opportunity to better appreciate our natural environment.	11/6/2024 1:31 PM

1394	The hybrid approach gives the best of both worlds, but if that one doesn't succeed- I'd prefer Minimal over Full Util. I'd like to see RM keep it's charm and not become something super corporate and busy.	11/6/2024 1:27 PM
1395	Thank you for the opportunity to give input. The full utilization plan would involve high traffic in a natural park area that has been valued its peaceful lakeshore hiking experience. The full plan would fundamentally change Rosy Mound Natural Area. The lakeshore has many options for hiking and viewing the lake from Muskegon through Ottawa and into Allegan. Additional hiking and water access options are available inland at Pottawatomie, in Spring Lake, and other locations. The full utilization plan seems unnecessary given the many options available. Creating a large parking lot and busy trail system is not consistent with a positive hiking experience. If people want busy, they have the state beach and boardwalk. Increasing traffic on Lakeshore Drive, especially near the elementary school, will create problems for residents. I live in a neighborhood off Lakeshore not far from the current trailhead. I and my neighbors do not relish the idea of more traffic on the two-lane road. I see the traffic problems in the summer along Harbor Drive. We don't want that with 200 new parking spaces along with the spaces at the existing trailhead. There are many bikers along Lakeshore and it creates a hazard for them too. Additionally, I have visited many of the hiking and park areas along the lakeshore and in Grand Haven over the past 20 years. I can't imagine that 200 parking spaces will be a good investment and worth paving over the habitat area. A minimal option can create a wonderful opportunity for residents and visitors to enjoy the lakeshore without overdoing it. I support a small expansion and appreciate the efforts to acquire the new land. This will create more opportunities for people to enjoy the area. Hopefully, it can be a thoughtful expansion that does not detract from the longstanding beauty and peace of Rosy Mound Natural Area.	11/6/2024 1:26 PM
1396	Hybrid seems like it strikes the balance between minimally invasive but still practical	11/6/2024 1:26 PM
1397	Full utilization discourages future consideration of potential return to private ownership of property.	11/6/2024 1:24 PM
1398	In hope it stays as natural as possible	11/6/2024 1:24 PM
1399	I like the full utilization for the ability to connect the new property with the existing Rosy Mound park.	11/6/2024 1:18 PM
1400	I like the additional trails on all the concepts, but prefer the minimal plan for parking and restrooms and leaving more of the natural area.	11/6/2024 1:14 PM
1401	The third design allows access to more people with varying health/mobility issues. I like the dune perch and a nature center (I imagine something along the lines of Hemlock Crossing). Grand Haven and the Grand Haven Township would benefit from something this beautiful so close. It's a smaller parking lot (150 vs. 200) with more road, so we'd lose more of the property, mainly in the 55 acres of highly disturbed mining-damaged dunes.	11/6/2024 1:04 PM
1402	Llimiting capacity for visitorship at any one time will lead to a better overall visiting experineece for those who do visit the park. More parking = more people = more problems	11/6/2024 1:02 PM
1403	Prefer natural areas	11/6/2024 1:00 PM
1404	Preservation of the dunes in a minimalist way.	11/6/2024 12:59 PM
1405	Invest in full improvements	11/6/2024 12:57 PM
1406	amenities	11/6/2024 12:54 PM
1407	I like the balance the hybrid plan brings, it allows for parking and added trails with less road surface into the new area.	11/6/2024 12:53 PM
1408	I love more trails and boardwalks, but I don't want huge parking or picnic areas. Part of what makes Rosy Mound fantastic is that it's not always filled with people. The land is quiet and serene. It doesn't need to hold hundreds of people	11/6/2024 12:52 PM
1409	Keep it as nature intended	11/6/2024 12:48 PM
1410	links the two parks, old with the new.	11/6/2024 12:47 PM
1411	To retain as much natural space as possible	11/6/2024 12:44 PM
1412	Would enjoy having more trails	11/6/2024 12:43 PM

1413	I think the park should remain in a natural state to the highest extent possible. Additional trail heads and a natural play area are great, but avoid additional impervious surface or making it an event center.	11/6/2024 12:41 PM
1414	Full utilization makes the park more accessible	11/6/2024 12:39 PM

Q3 Are there any park features you would like to see that are not shown on any of the concepts?

Answered: 1,213 Skipped: 296

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Restroom	11/22/2024 7:45 AM
2	Deer fencing to grow more natives	11/22/2024 7:06 AM
3	No, leave the natural habitat alone!	11/22/2024 6:32 AM
4	Natural dirt trail	11/22/2024 6:32 AM
5	N/a	11/22/2024 6:31 AM
6	No	11/22/2024 6:17 AM
7	No	11/22/2024 5:58 AM
8	I'm not familiar with the area, but spots to watch birds and nature along the trail would be nice. No dogs. Native plants only. Little educational signs for feature native plants in the area.	11/22/2024 5:29 AM
9	No	11/21/2024 11:59 PM
10	Disc golf	11/21/2024 11:36 PM
11	More benches and pull out areas	11/21/2024 11:29 PM
12	Natural material workout checkpoints along trails, keeping the surroundings as they are as much as possible though as well	11/21/2024 11:04 PM
13	No dogs and no kids signs	11/21/2024 11:01 PM
14	Back country camping. 3-5 sites	11/21/2024 10:26 PM
15	No. Leave it alone	11/21/2024 10:05 PM
16	Larger playground but still more natural	11/21/2024 10:03 PM
17	No	11/21/2024 9:54 PM
18	No	11/21/2024 9:48 PM
19	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/21/2024 9:47 PM
20	No	11/21/2024 9:45 PM
21	no	11/21/2024 9:44 PM
22	Disc golf course	11/21/2024 9:37 PM
23	No	11/21/2024 9:33 PM
24	None.	11/21/2024 9:33 PM
25	*Perhaps bark rather than crushed grave. *Little to no lighting ... consider "sky pollution" *Since my property borders the north side, I would like to see fencing &/or signage stating that it is private property. *Visibility of the entrance/exit is very poor when coming from the south... need flashing sign or something to slow traffic *Consider that picnic/food can end up being a mess & will attract resident wildlife.	11/21/2024 9:25 PM
26	Kids area to learn	11/21/2024 9:23 PM
27	All I like seeing is a parking lot and some trails	11/21/2024 9:22 PM
28	No	11/21/2024 9:22 PM

29	None that come to mind	11/21/2024 9:15 PM
30	Picnic benches along trails	11/21/2024 9:09 PM
31	Playgrounds on all	11/21/2024 9:03 PM
32	Looking at the photos on my phone so hard to see the tiny words - but some sort of play feature using natural elements similar to the Outdoor Discovery Center would be really neat!	11/21/2024 8:21 PM
33	No	11/21/2024 8:12 PM
34	No	11/21/2024 8:04 PM
35	playground	11/21/2024 7:58 PM
36	Additional restrooms	11/21/2024 5:45 PM
37	Dog owners should be allowed to bring their dogs as they formerly were able, to Rosy Mound.	11/21/2024 4:03 PM
38	Nothing to add. Prefer minimal disturbance	11/21/2024 3:58 PM
39	Nothing to add, except keep larger tracts undisturbed.	11/21/2024 3:58 PM
40	None	11/21/2024 3:28 PM
41	No	11/21/2024 3:06 PM
42	Allow for dog and owners again with an annual fee, possibly a seasonal window for entry. Unfortunate how it went down.	11/21/2024 2:55 PM
43	Please allow dogs to visit the park. It's very important to dog owners to have fair and reasonable access to the Rosy Mound property.	11/21/2024 2:52 PM
44	N/a	11/21/2024 2:38 PM
45	Open space off leash for dogs	11/21/2024 2:37 PM
46	There are not, as I wish for No Development of that land. Please leave the homes to many native plants and animals.	11/21/2024 2:35 PM
47	A foot wash station?	11/21/2024 2:31 PM
48	No	11/21/2024 2:17 PM
49	No	11/21/2024 2:06 PM
50	Less development, more natural features	11/21/2024 1:51 PM
51	No	11/21/2024 1:39 PM
52	No	11/21/2024 1:32 PM
53	Allow leashed dogs again.	11/21/2024 1:28 PM
54	Leave it alone	11/21/2024 1:24 PM
55	Camping	11/21/2024 1:21 PM
56	My ideal setup would be to add some of the overlooks from the hybrid plan into the minimal impact plan, I like the idea of having more unique places to view the park's scenery.	11/21/2024 1:18 PM
57	No	11/21/2024 1:09 PM
58	No. Leave it natural.	11/21/2024 12:32 PM
59	No	11/21/2024 12:23 PM
60	No.	11/21/2024 12:22 PM
61	All of the open sand trail options are very built up (boardwalks, crushed gravel, steps). It would be nice to have more natural surface trails in some of the open areas (aka loose sand singletrack trail) for those looking for a more immersive experience in the open dune areas.	11/21/2024 12:22 PM
62	No.	11/21/2024 11:55 AM

63	No	11/21/2024 11:47 AM
64	Maybe a roller hockey rink at one of the parks!	11/21/2024 11:41 AM
65	No, playgrounds	11/21/2024 11:35 AM
66	No	11/21/2024 11:00 AM
67	N/A	11/21/2024 10:55 AM
68	No	11/21/2024 10:54 AM
69	No. I like it that way it is.	11/21/2024 10:53 AM
70	No	11/21/2024 10:33 AM
71	It'd be nice to have paved walkways for roller skating	11/21/2024 10:19 AM
72	More bench's, more Overlooks, less steep trails	11/21/2024 10:13 AM
73	More trash cans and less littering.	11/21/2024 10:08 AM
74	no	11/21/2024 9:56 AM
75	No	11/21/2024 9:55 AM
76	More natural surface trails when and where possible.	11/21/2024 9:51 AM
77	Nothing. Leave it alone	11/21/2024 9:49 AM
78	N/a	11/21/2024 9:25 AM
79	No.	11/21/2024 9:23 AM
80	No	11/21/2024 9:22 AM
81	No	11/21/2024 9:17 AM
82	Equestrian and snowmobile trails, could be the same trail used during different seasons	11/21/2024 9:14 AM
83	n/a	11/21/2024 8:59 AM
84	No	11/21/2024 8:52 AM
85	Leave it alone	11/21/2024 8:43 AM
86	More parking	11/21/2024 8:43 AM
87	Mountain bike loop with a kids easy area too	11/21/2024 8:35 AM
88	No	11/21/2024 8:35 AM
89	none	11/21/2024 8:34 AM
90	An education room	11/21/2024 8:32 AM
91	I go to parks and trails that allow dogs. It would be nice to see Rosy mound allow dogs. If I go to Rosy mound, it's without my dog and I feel guilty leaving him but I would go there more often if I could bring him because I love it there.	11/21/2024 8:13 AM
92	Chimney Swift Roosting Tower	11/21/2024 8:10 AM
93	No	11/21/2024 8:09 AM
94	No	11/21/2024 8:08 AM
95	Yes, us leaving it the hell alone.	11/21/2024 7:46 AM
96	Rain capture	11/21/2024 7:43 AM
97	Minimal is good	11/21/2024 7:41 AM
98	Ensuring trashcans throughout trails	11/21/2024 7:41 AM
99	No	11/21/2024 7:24 AM

100	no	11/21/2024 7:14 AM
101	Leave it alone. Its a natural area.	11/21/2024 7:13 AM
102	No	11/21/2024 7:03 AM
103	Keep it natural, a place where wildlife will want to reside	11/21/2024 6:59 AM
104	No	11/21/2024 6:55 AM
105	No	11/21/2024 6:42 AM
106	please have a playground for the kiddos!	11/21/2024 6:24 AM
107	No	11/21/2024 6:18 AM
108	Nope! I come to rosy mound about every other month for the variety of scenery and lake Michigan views.	11/21/2024 5:58 AM
109	No	11/21/2024 5:45 AM
110	Only what was stated in question #2 - ski access to the large NE facing dune	11/21/2024 5:40 AM
111	No	11/21/2024 5:32 AM
112	No	11/21/2024 5:32 AM
113	can live with none of it.	11/21/2024 4:53 AM
114	No change needed to current status	11/21/2024 4:08 AM
115	N/a	11/21/2024 3:20 AM
116	No park	11/21/2024 2:29 AM
117	Well marked trails would be a huge positive	11/21/2024 2:11 AM
118	Some kind of active area, like an outdoor gym and yoga space maybe? Or workout things along the trails? Stretch station's and a steep incline to go up and down whether that's stairs or an open dune area designated for this activity. Maybe some of this was on there but it was hard to see on my phone	11/21/2024 1:15 AM
119	No new features	11/21/2024 1:04 AM
120	-	11/21/2024 12:42 AM
121	N/A	11/21/2024 12:17 AM
122	A nature build park for kids to have fun and be educated	11/20/2024 11:45 PM
123	I would like to see the minimal impact concept with the playground	11/20/2024 11:18 PM
124	no	11/20/2024 11:11 PM
125	No	11/20/2024 10:52 PM
126	No development!	11/20/2024 10:44 PM
127	no	11/20/2024 10:30 PM
128	The playground described above - one with features that can be used by all ages and all abilities.	11/20/2024 10:26 PM
129	Running trails! Hiking is great, but longer flowing options would be awesome.	11/20/2024 10:23 PM
130	Nope! Love it simple.	11/20/2024 10:17 PM
131	No	11/20/2024 9:53 PM
132	N/A - love the design presented!	11/20/2024 9:42 PM
133	A out door work out gym	11/20/2024 9:38 PM
134	No	11/20/2024 9:38 PM

135	Sand foot washing station!!!	11/20/2024 9:38 PM
136	Don't develop. It's a mess. We already have enough that was taken from grand haven, we don't need more land developed.	11/20/2024 9:35 PM
137	No	11/20/2024 9:33 PM
138	No	11/20/2024 9:32 PM
139	No	11/20/2024 9:28 PM
140	No	11/20/2024 9:21 PM
141	No	11/20/2024 9:13 PM
142	One additional trail connection to the existing natural area, in between both	11/20/2024 9:08 PM
143	No	11/20/2024 9:07 PM
144	I think a larger parking lot where the current lot is and the new trails start from there would be nice, less impact on the area more untouched area to see would be really nice.	11/20/2024 9:07 PM
145	No	11/20/2024 9:05 PM
146	I want them to leave it alone	11/20/2024 9:05 PM
147	I would like to see a plan put in place where basically nothing is built on the site. Habitat restoration should be considered, invasive species removal would be beneficial to the natural communities present on the site. Minimal trails should be built and animals like horses and dogs should not be allowed across the whole space, a small designated animal area would be ok.	11/20/2024 9:03 PM
148	Less than is shown. Don't do anything	11/20/2024 9:02 PM
149	I feel that keeping it minimal with some trails is best. Nothing else is needed.	11/20/2024 9:01 PM
150	No buildings. No development	11/20/2024 8:58 PM
151	At minimum, I would like to walk my dog on the trails (leashed), and would be great to have beach access for dog (at least at specified times or parts of beach).	11/20/2024 8:53 PM
152	No	11/20/2024 8:53 PM
153	More dirt trails like Saugatuck dunes	11/20/2024 8:47 PM
154	Suspended bridges	11/20/2024 8:44 PM
155	No	11/20/2024 8:29 PM
156	No	11/20/2024 8:25 PM
157	No. Do not do any development on the land.	11/20/2024 8:12 PM
158	No	11/20/2024 7:50 PM
159	No	11/20/2024 7:38 PM
160	No I like the park as it is.	11/20/2024 7:16 PM
161	Boot brushes! (Although you'd probably put those in anyway)	11/20/2024 7:12 PM
162	Dune restoration and protection.	11/20/2024 6:42 PM
163	No	11/20/2024 6:34 PM
164	Na	11/20/2024 6:31 PM
165	Not that I can think of	11/20/2024 6:14 PM
166	Beach access	11/20/2024 6:07 PM
167	No	11/20/2024 6:01 PM
168	None that I can think of	11/20/2024 5:47 PM

Ottawa County Parks

SurveyMonkey

169	N/A	11/20/2024 5:45 PM
170	No	11/20/2024 5:43 PM
171	No	11/20/2024 5:41 PM
172	Would like to have opportunity to purchase a memorial bench, and hoping the expansion allows for that opportunity	11/20/2024 5:34 PM
173	yeah, not putting anything there	11/20/2024 5:32 PM
174	No	11/20/2024 5:30 PM
175	A bike trail (just dirt, not paved) would be nice. It could double as a cross country trail in the winter.	11/20/2024 5:20 PM
176	I like the east trail near Lakeshore Drive connecting to the existing trailhead (from the full utilization plan). This would be nice for the hybrid plan also. I prefer dirt trails to gravel trails. I'm a road less traveled type of hiker and believe people would appreciate the natural appeal to the dirt trails. Plus animals wouldn't feel as threatened (Less construction and land manipulation)	11/20/2024 5:18 PM
177	Quiet empty dunes and forest with sounds of birds.	11/20/2024 5:06 PM
178	No	11/20/2024 4:58 PM
179	No.	11/20/2024 4:57 PM
180	No	11/20/2024 4:48 PM
181	Creation of habitat that encourages ephemeral ponds in the spring.	11/20/2024 4:41 PM
182	No	11/20/2024 4:15 PM
183	No,	11/20/2024 3:53 PM
184	No	11/20/2024 3:40 PM
185	Tribal nation & land acknowledgment feature that informs about the Native land that it is on. The responsibility we have then too as visitors to the land and the park, to be respectful stewards.	11/20/2024 3:31 PM
186	No. Keep motorized vehicles out, except in parking areas.	11/20/2024 3:24 PM
187	Less buildings, more nature	11/20/2024 3:22 PM
188	I would like to see a play feature for kids in the full utilization plan.	11/20/2024 3:21 PM
189	No	11/20/2024 3:16 PM
190	No	11/20/2024 3:14 PM
191	Tow rope for sledding, skiing, snowboarding.	11/20/2024 2:33 PM
192	More trails	11/20/2024 2:33 PM
193	Basic showers or sprayer to rinse off sandy feet	11/20/2024 2:07 PM
194	A few nature type benches (places to sit) on one or two trails for those needing a tiny rest.	11/20/2024 1:51 PM
195	no	11/20/2024 1:34 PM
196	No	11/20/2024 12:54 PM
197	Not that I can think of.	11/20/2024 12:44 PM
198	Yes. NONE of them	11/20/2024 12:42 PM
199	No. The less is the best. I want a natural area	11/20/2024 12:39 PM
200	I have no bright ideas	11/20/2024 11:59 AM
201	No	11/20/2024 11:52 AM
202	No	11/20/2024 11:50 AM

203	none, do not add a parking lot. the residents of your city, town and county do NOT want this.	11/20/2024 11:48 AM
204	Just leave it untouched	11/20/2024 11:47 AM
205	No	11/20/2024 11:46 AM
206	Open it up to Dogs on leashes.	11/20/2024 11:31 AM
207	I don't want to see anything on the maps.	11/20/2024 11:27 AM
208	Less is best.	11/20/2024 11:23 AM
209	Free parking. More public beach access.	11/20/2024 11:16 AM
210	NONE LEAVE IT	11/20/2024 11:00 AM
211	No	11/20/2024 10:53 AM
212	Just benches, groomed natural trails and a basic restroom, maybe some picnic tables. And no cost to Ottawa county residents!!!	11/20/2024 10:24 AM
213	Nope	11/20/2024 10:22 AM
214	no	11/20/2024 10:02 AM
215	No	11/20/2024 9:49 AM
216	mountain bike trails	11/20/2024 9:45 AM
217	No	11/20/2024 9:37 AM
218	No	11/20/2024 9:24 AM
219	No	11/20/2024 9:12 AM
220	I can't think of any.	11/20/2024 8:57 AM
221	Leave it alone let's not take habitat from our local wildlife.	11/20/2024 8:47 AM
222	No	11/20/2024 8:43 AM
223	Mountain bike trails	11/20/2024 8:35 AM
224	None I can think of.	11/20/2024 8:25 AM
225	No development	11/20/2024 8:23 AM
226	ADA trail to the beach	11/20/2024 8:15 AM
227	Too hard to read on cell phone	11/20/2024 8:09 AM
228	Na	11/20/2024 7:55 AM
229	No, keep it natural as possible.	11/20/2024 7:29 AM
230	Even less done. Keep it more minimal while including it in the current Rosy landscape	11/20/2024 7:12 AM
231	I'd prefer we keep it totally natural!	11/20/2024 7:10 AM
232	No. I think plans are good though I do worry about people and bikes crossing Lakeshore Dr	11/20/2024 5:02 AM
233	no	11/20/2024 4:59 AM
234	No	11/20/2024 4:02 AM
235	Disc golf	11/20/2024 2:42 AM
236	Nope.	11/19/2024 11:34 PM
237	Not at this time	11/19/2024 11:16 PM
238	No	11/19/2024 11:01 PM
239	N/A	11/19/2024 10:58 PM

240	Nature play area for young and older kids	11/19/2024 10:31 PM
241	No	11/19/2024 10:30 PM
242	No	11/19/2024 10:29 PM
243	No.	11/19/2024 10:28 PM
244	Dog access	11/19/2024 10:22 PM
245	Natural shade solutions for a place to cool off away from the water. Sand doesn't make it easy, though	11/19/2024 10:11 PM
246	No	11/19/2024 10:06 PM
247	No	11/19/2024 10:04 PM
248	N/A	11/19/2024 9:53 PM
249	Untouched	11/19/2024 9:39 PM
250	No	11/19/2024 9:24 PM
251	No - I think the main feature should be the natural features and the emphasis on restoration.	11/19/2024 9:22 PM
252	Yurts	11/19/2024 9:18 PM
253	Not that I can think of.	11/19/2024 9:13 PM
254	No	11/19/2024 9:07 PM
255	Disc Golf course	11/19/2024 8:56 PM
256	No	11/19/2024 8:40 PM
257	A playscape in the full utilization would make it ideal	11/19/2024 8:33 PM
258	No	11/19/2024 8:31 PM
259	None	11/19/2024 8:25 PM
260	No	11/19/2024 8:24 PM
261	No	11/19/2024 8:21 PM
262	Na	11/19/2024 8:20 PM
263	No	11/19/2024 8:17 PM
264	No	11/19/2024 8:15 PM
265	No	11/19/2024 8:11 PM
266	Nah it already has beautiful views	11/19/2024 8:05 PM
267	No	11/19/2024 7:59 PM
268	None. No parking. No paying. Just a trailhead and a map	11/19/2024 7:44 PM
269	No that I can think of.	11/19/2024 7:42 PM
270	Nothing do nothing to it	11/19/2024 7:30 PM
271	Mountain bike trail.	11/19/2024 7:20 PM
272	N/A	11/19/2024 7:19 PM
273	no	11/19/2024 7:19 PM
274	No	11/19/2024 7:15 PM
275	No.	11/19/2024 7:07 PM
276	No, love Rosy Mound as is.	11/19/2024 6:51 PM
277	No	11/19/2024 6:38 PM

278	No I like everthing in the minimum/hybrid concepts.	11/19/2024 6:10 PM
279	Dog paths	11/19/2024 5:48 PM
280	Doggie bag stations	11/19/2024 5:21 PM
281	No	11/19/2024 5:13 PM
282	Pet friendly	11/19/2024 4:34 PM
283	None	11/19/2024 4:16 PM
284	Warming hit for winter?	11/19/2024 4:02 PM
285	Dog friendly areas	11/19/2024 4:02 PM
286	No	11/19/2024 3:50 PM
287	No	11/19/2024 3:47 PM
288	I'd like dogs to be able to go on trails on leash.	11/19/2024 3:43 PM
289	Nope, these plans are all very beautiful and well thought out! Can't wait to see how the land gets used :)	11/19/2024 3:00 PM
290	No	11/19/2024 2:06 PM
291	No.	11/19/2024 2:00 PM
292	No	11/19/2024 1:48 PM
293	Looks goid	11/19/2024 1:43 PM
294	No	11/19/2024 1:24 PM
295	No	11/19/2024 1:16 PM
296	Several benches along the trails so hikers can rest and enjoy the sounds of nature.	11/19/2024 1:10 PM
297	No	11/19/2024 1:09 PM
298	Educational signage on *why* habitat restoration is vital.	11/19/2024 1:04 PM
299	Disc Golf	11/19/2024 12:55 PM
300	N/a	11/19/2024 12:53 PM
301	Is there going to be a park for kids in the hybrid one?	11/19/2024 12:51 PM
302	Ada accessible	11/19/2024 12:50 PM
303	The existing trails kept. Lookouts connecting is always helpful if possible. Like the way there is a view from like a ridge currently. Seeing the water is nice.	11/19/2024 12:49 PM
304	Hiking trails	11/19/2024 12:35 PM
305	No	11/19/2024 12:28 PM
306	No	11/19/2024 12:25 PM
307	No. I like the natural playscape included in the hybrid plan.	11/19/2024 12:25 PM
308	Please do not allow dogs in this park.	11/19/2024 12:24 PM
309	XC ski trail. Bird watching windows	11/19/2024 12:10 PM
310	Dog park	11/19/2024 12:10 PM
311	No	11/19/2024 12:04 PM
312	No	11/19/2024 11:56 AM
313	No	11/19/2024 11:51 AM
314	Allow dogs :)	11/19/2024 11:36 AM

Ottawa County Parks

SurveyMonkey

315	No	11/19/2024 11:34 AM
316	None	11/19/2024 11:25 AM
317	No	11/19/2024 10:58 AM
318	No leave the park alone	11/19/2024 10:48 AM
319	Not that this isn't called out, but focus on natural trails vs boardwalks (in wooded areas at least) will be the most beneficial.	11/19/2024 10:48 AM
320	Minimal impact beach volleyball court or beach soccer to accommodate the older teens / young adults	11/19/2024 10:45 AM
321	More stairs and walking paths small but longer that can stretch across rosy. Though they are taking up some space, there would not be a lot of buildings covering up important areas of land meant for already thriving animals and ecosystems currently thriving there.	11/19/2024 10:28 AM
322	No	11/19/2024 10:19 AM
323	No	11/19/2024 10:09 AM
324	More restrooms	11/19/2024 9:56 AM
325	can't read the concepts, suggest a public bathroom regardless of plan	11/19/2024 9:31 AM
326	bathrooms and wood pathways.	11/19/2024 9:30 AM
327	More benches or stopping areas to just enjoy the views or for people who need to take a break	11/19/2024 9:14 AM
328	try to keep the area somewhat natural for playground equipment	11/19/2024 9:12 AM
329	No	11/19/2024 9:10 AM
330	Nope	11/19/2024 9:10 AM
331	Sledding hill	11/19/2024 8:55 AM
332	No	11/19/2024 8:42 AM
333	None	11/19/2024 8:40 AM
334	More hunting opportunity.	11/19/2024 8:16 AM
335	No	11/19/2024 8:07 AM
336	No.	11/19/2024 8:03 AM
337	I wish rosy mound allowed dogs!	11/19/2024 7:56 AM
338	No	11/19/2024 7:55 AM
339	No	11/19/2024 7:52 AM
340	N/a	11/19/2024 7:44 AM
341	I do like the natural path idea connect to the south park in someway only if possible without harming natural area.	11/19/2024 7:40 AM
342	No	11/19/2024 7:34 AM
343	Invasive species boot cleaners	11/19/2024 7:32 AM
344	Not that I can think of.	11/19/2024 7:29 AM
345	No	11/19/2024 7:25 AM
346	No	11/19/2024 7:23 AM
347	No	11/19/2024 7:15 AM
348	Bike trail	11/19/2024 7:05 AM
349	Greater ADA accessibility. Concern for those that are still mobile & walking yet deal with decreased feeling in feet for the unstable surface potential in the 1 mile loop.	11/19/2024 7:00 AM

350	No	11/19/2024 6:58 AM
351	No	11/19/2024 6:55 AM
352	No	11/19/2024 6:40 AM
353	No	11/19/2024 6:05 AM
354	It's very hard to see details on mobile, but I can't think of anything.	11/19/2024 6:02 AM
355	No.	11/19/2024 5:49 AM
356	No	11/19/2024 5:41 AM
357	The less at the park the better	11/19/2024 5:16 AM
358	No.	11/19/2024 1:44 AM
359	Perhaps boot scrape stations and an informational sign to educate folks on local native vs. invasive plants and animals.	11/19/2024 1:32 AM
360	No	11/19/2024 1:27 AM
361	I like how it is	11/19/2024 1:01 AM
362	No	11/19/2024 12:41 AM
363	Dark sky section?	11/18/2024 11:19 PM
364	It would be cool to add some informational signs about the dunes in general (history of the site, animal habitat, why dunes are important, etc) specifically along the loop trail	11/18/2024 11:09 PM
365	Less parking	11/18/2024 11:01 PM
366	Bike trail	11/18/2024 10:49 PM
367	No	11/18/2024 10:46 PM
368	dispersed camping spots for tent/hammock camping only would be a nice feature.	11/18/2024 10:46 PM
369	No	11/18/2024 10:41 PM
370	Plaques that describe native plants.	11/18/2024 10:39 PM
371	No	11/18/2024 10:23 PM
372	Better changing room/bathroom along beach	11/18/2024 10:22 PM
373	I like the attention to needs of people with mobility issues and would like to see more.	11/18/2024 10:21 PM
374	No	11/18/2024 10:13 PM
375	No	11/18/2024 10:11 PM
376	No. The minimal plan offers all that is necessary.	11/18/2024 10:08 PM
377	Some of the features are hard to see on the photos when viewing from a phone, so I'm unsure. It would have been nice to have had a bullet point list sharing all the features—especially those who might not be able to see the photos at all or use a text reader on their phone. Updated restrooms and a natural playscape for kids is huge. It would be nice if there was a longer paved path that was wheelchair or disability accessible to an overlook if the terrain could allow for it.	11/18/2024 10:02 PM
378	More parking in the minimal plan	11/18/2024 9:55 PM
379	Hunting zones	11/18/2024 9:48 PM
380	I would love a fat tire bike loop, bathrooms and interpretive signage.	11/18/2024 9:46 PM
381	would love to see an area for ADA access	11/18/2024 9:45 PM
382	No	11/18/2024 9:38 PM
383	No	11/18/2024 9:35 PM

384	It's really hard to see the details of each of the concepts in the survey on my iPhone.	11/18/2024 9:34 PM
385	An off leash dog area. Fenced or not.	11/18/2024 9:32 PM
386	No	11/18/2024 9:32 PM
387	Mountain bike trails	11/18/2024 9:29 PM
388	Water bottle refill station?	11/18/2024 9:28 PM
389	No	11/18/2024 9:28 PM
390	No	11/18/2024 9:24 PM
391	Possible cross country ski trails.	11/18/2024 9:22 PM
392	None that I can think of at the moment.	11/18/2024 9:21 PM
393	No	11/18/2024 9:19 PM
394	DONT DO ANY OF IT	11/18/2024 9:18 PM
395	More beach access	11/18/2024 9:08 PM
396	Pickle ball	11/18/2024 9:04 PM
397	No	11/18/2024 8:59 PM
398	No	11/18/2024 8:52 PM
399	Drop toilet in case restroom cannot be maintained year-round.	11/18/2024 8:39 PM
400	N/a	11/18/2024 8:32 PM
401	No	11/18/2024 8:31 PM
402	I would like to ensure that a natural playground is added to all the plans	11/18/2024 8:29 PM
403	I think hiking paths only and possibly restrooms	11/18/2024 8:27 PM
404	No	11/18/2024 8:24 PM
405	A nice set of restrooms is all you need	11/18/2024 8:23 PM
406	A monument for Ottawa Impact!	11/18/2024 8:22 PM
407	A single loop for disabled users doesn't seem like a lot. Would like to see more adaptive features in general	11/18/2024 8:20 PM
408	No	11/18/2024 8:17 PM
409	dog park	11/18/2024 8:13 PM
410	It was hard to see the words in my phone, but an area for foraging would be good.	11/18/2024 8:10 PM
411	Playground for kids	11/18/2024 8:07 PM
412	Can't tell for sure if it's specified but would love more off leash dog trails and beach area.	11/18/2024 7:59 PM
413	Bathrooms at the south end of the park. Will the current entrance be closed?	11/18/2024 7:58 PM
414	Could there be a discounted fee for a seasonal pass instead of a daily fee?	11/18/2024 7:58 PM
415	No	11/18/2024 7:56 PM
416	Gazebo seating, overlook path and seating for the beach, clear areas for volleyball or beach volleyball set ups	11/18/2024 7:51 PM
417	No	11/18/2024 7:48 PM
418	Nope	11/18/2024 7:47 PM
419	No	11/18/2024 7:44 PM
420	No	11/18/2024 7:42 PM

421	Some of the connecting trails from the hybrid plan would be a nice addition to the minimal impact plan.	11/18/2024 7:34 PM
422	No	11/18/2024 7:31 PM
423	No	11/18/2024 7:30 PM
424	Dog friendly areas	11/18/2024 7:28 PM
425	A nature center with information on the dunes and their preservation.	11/18/2024 7:21 PM
426	No	11/18/2024 7:19 PM
427	No	11/18/2024 7:18 PM
428	More trails.	11/18/2024 7:09 PM
429	No	11/18/2024 7:06 PM
430	Avoid paving/concrete	11/18/2024 6:56 PM
431	If we have to go park there, make it free And make it so there's not much parking at all To discourage people from going to it	11/18/2024 6:54 PM
432	Hopefully it incorporated more wheelchair accessibility.... but all the Lakeshore parks need better maintenance for sand overtaking the sidewalk and stairs. The main ones at Kirk have been terribly buried	11/18/2024 6:52 PM
433	More accessible trails	11/18/2024 6:45 PM
434	Existing Beach access point repairs, as the dunes have shifted stairs have been buried and platforms now have about a 4 foot drop to the beach.	11/18/2024 6:42 PM
435	Zero features. Do nothing. Spend no tax dollars on this property.	11/18/2024 6:41 PM
436	No	11/18/2024 6:40 PM
437	Bathrooms that are open year round not pit toilets	11/18/2024 6:40 PM
438	Access for a wheelchair to be able to get down to the beach via boardwalk trails over RuneScape to connect to existing boardwalk.	11/18/2024 6:33 PM
439	No	11/18/2024 6:29 PM
440	No	11/18/2024 6:28 PM
441	No.	11/18/2024 6:15 PM
442	Limits on pet access. No dogs please!	11/18/2024 5:54 PM
443	No	11/18/2024 5:14 PM
444	No	11/18/2024 4:13 PM
445	Rustic/primitive/ backpacking campsites (must hike to camp)	11/18/2024 4:06 PM
446	No	11/18/2024 3:59 PM
447	Nope	11/18/2024 3:44 PM
448	NONE!	11/18/2024 3:35 PM
449	No.	11/18/2024 3:20 PM
450	Not really	11/18/2024 3:12 PM
451	Remove park features and maintain as a natural dune	11/18/2024 2:12 PM
452	No development.	11/18/2024 1:02 PM
453	Na	11/18/2024 12:11 PM
454	I would like to see less unnatural things; I think there should not be pavement but more dirt road/parking, more trash receptacles for both recycle and non recyclables, and accessible dog waste stations. Litter is a huge concern and also people causing damage to public property.	11/18/2024 12:06 PM

455	No	11/18/2024 11:52 AM
456	No	11/18/2024 10:43 AM
457	No	11/18/2024 10:03 AM
458	No	11/18/2024 8:24 AM
459	No	11/18/2024 6:31 AM
460	The preference of OIAS is the minimal impact option with the exception that the additional trails/overlook options could be provided from the hybrid option to allow for more hiking opportunities and hawk watching viewing points.	11/18/2024 4:59 AM
461	No added Bus parking Addressing accessibility and disability by creating welcoming and inclusive spaces. Fostering support areas for both individuals with physical and cognitive issues	11/18/2024 4:59 AM
462	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/18/2024 2:07 AM
463	I would like to see the area remain as natural as possible. No development	11/17/2024 9:58 PM
464	No I don't feel like anything is missing.	11/17/2024 8:57 PM
465	Trails that do not allow dogs	11/17/2024 8:56 PM
466	Can't say off hand.	11/17/2024 5:07 PM
467	Additional restroom closer to lake area (porta-potty minimally)	11/17/2024 3:23 PM
468	Concepts appear very thorough.	11/17/2024 3:11 PM
469	no, trail access is plenty	11/17/2024 3:09 PM
470	Better bathrooms near the water	11/17/2024 2:58 PM
471	It seems quite thoughtfully planned out. I do like the concept of more barrier free trail accesses.	11/17/2024 2:17 PM
472	Not overdeveloped, and add a few new parking spots	11/17/2024 1:40 PM
473	No. Please minimize development of this beautiful area. We want to preserve the beauty of the space for families.	11/17/2024 12:55 PM
474	No	11/17/2024 10:32 AM
475	Perhaps a pathway to view the park	11/17/2024 10:24 AM
476	No development	11/17/2024 7:04 AM
477	No development	11/17/2024 6:59 AM
478	The minimal impact concept needs a trail to connect the two parking lots, so walkers can complete a large loop without the need to double back to get to their car. This will also minimize foot traffic on the bike path, which otherwise could get dangerous for bikers because of inattentive walkers.	11/17/2024 6:52 AM
479	No	11/17/2024 3:13 AM
480	Less development	11/17/2024 2:01 AM
481	I would like to see the least intrusive park features possible.	11/17/2024 1:39 AM
482	No	11/16/2024 10:50 PM
483	No	11/16/2024 9:54 PM
484	No	11/16/2024 9:48 PM
485	No.	11/16/2024 9:34 PM
486	No	11/16/2024 7:43 PM
487	No	11/16/2024 7:22 PM

488	Do not develop	11/16/2024 7:18 PM
489	None	11/16/2024 7:17 PM
490	No, no more developing.	11/16/2024 6:49 PM
491	I am fine with the ideas put forth by these three concepts, but keeping it pretty natural as is would, I believe, would encourage birds and other animals to consider this "home".	11/16/2024 5:24 PM
492	No	11/16/2024 4:52 PM
493	No	11/16/2024 4:38 PM
494	No	11/16/2024 3:39 PM
495	Honestly, no. I love it just as it is and so do friends and relatives from near and very far away.	11/16/2024 2:20 PM
496	A community garden for education, collaboration, and supporting local residents (I.e Love In Action's Food Co-op)	11/16/2024 1:46 PM
497	No	11/16/2024 1:04 PM
498	No	11/16/2024 12:43 PM
499	No	11/16/2024 11:23 AM
500	No development!	11/16/2024 11:17 AM
501	No development	11/16/2024 11:04 AM
502	No. Please. Less is more.	11/16/2024 9:59 AM
503	No trespassing sign. Leave the land as is. Protect it and the animals living there.	11/16/2024 9:28 AM
504	No others to suggest	11/16/2024 9:07 AM
505	Perhaps signage that indicates a variety of loops with estimated mileage. It can be challenging for hikers to calculate how far they are traveling if one of their motivations is for exercise. So in addition to the standard "point A to B = .2 mi" perhaps including a few loops that provide total mileage and difficulty.	11/16/2024 9:03 AM
506	No	11/16/2024 8:27 AM
507	NO DEVELOPMENT!	11/16/2024 8:27 AM
508	No	11/16/2024 8:23 AM
509	No.	11/16/2024 6:27 AM
510	Ensure bike racks are also included to encourage bikers.	11/16/2024 5:35 AM
511	Leave it alone	11/16/2024 1:28 AM
512	No	11/15/2024 10:33 PM
513	Extra bathrooms, potable water spigots	11/15/2024 10:18 PM
514	Playground space, swings?	11/15/2024 9:49 PM
515	NO DEVELOPMENT!	11/15/2024 9:49 PM
516	No	11/15/2024 9:46 PM
517	No	11/15/2024 9:42 PM
518	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/15/2024 9:26 PM
519	No development!	11/15/2024 9:08 PM
520	No	11/15/2024 8:45 PM
521	Keep it natural.	11/15/2024 8:09 PM
522	Water feature	11/15/2024 8:08 PM

Ottawa County Parks

SurveyMonkey

523	No	11/15/2024 8:04 PM
524	No	11/15/2024 7:33 PM
525	No	11/15/2024 5:21 PM
526	No leave it how it is	11/15/2024 4:36 PM
527	Nature preserve	11/15/2024 3:02 PM
528	No	11/15/2024 2:13 PM
529	no	11/15/2024 1:37 PM
530	No development	11/15/2024 1:32 PM
531	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/15/2024 12:44 PM
532	No	11/15/2024 12:27 PM
533	The photos were small and difficult to read, so I am unsure. I prefer minimal development.	11/15/2024 12:27 PM
534	No	11/15/2024 11:33 AM
535	Maybe a less invasive path (closer to the road) that connects the two areas.	11/15/2024 11:27 AM
536	No	11/15/2024 11:19 AM
537	No development	11/15/2024 10:47 AM
538	No	11/15/2024 9:50 AM
539	None	11/15/2024 9:45 AM
540	Better access to the beach	11/15/2024 9:22 AM
541	No	11/15/2024 9:10 AM
542	No, less is more.	11/15/2024 8:55 AM
543	No development for the area!	11/15/2024 8:45 AM
544	No	11/15/2024 8:38 AM
545	It's a beautiful space, I love it the way it is.	11/15/2024 8:35 AM
546	Possible walking paths only	11/15/2024 8:31 AM
547	To many now	11/15/2024 8:14 AM
548	I think the land should be kept as natural as possible.	11/15/2024 8:08 AM
549	None. Leave it alone.	11/15/2024 8:01 AM
550	Do not develop	11/15/2024 7:47 AM
551	No development	11/15/2024 7:40 AM
552	No	11/15/2024 7:39 AM
553	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/15/2024 7:34 AM
554	No	11/15/2024 7:07 AM
555	Yes, I would like to see Rosy Mound left natural to protect the delicate ecosystem that exists. If we take away all the wild places that line our shore we cease being the caretakers and become interlopers	11/15/2024 7:05 AM
556	No	11/15/2024 7:01 AM
557	No. It's perfect as it is.	11/15/2024 6:47 AM
558	No	11/15/2024 4:50 AM
559	None	11/15/2024 3:53 AM

Ottawa County Parks

SurveyMonkey

560	No.	11/15/2024 1:06 AM
561	No development	11/15/2024 12:35 AM
562	Nature, animals, no development	11/15/2024 12:05 AM
563	No	11/14/2024 11:23 PM
564	Leave the parks the way they are	11/14/2024 11:21 PM
565	Nope.	11/14/2024 11:11 PM
566	Just leave the trails that are already there	11/14/2024 10:43 PM
567	No	11/14/2024 10:43 PM
568	Map is too small to read	11/14/2024 10:01 PM
569	No	11/14/2024 9:46 PM
570	I couldn't see you very well on my phone but I'd like a kids small ropes course like the one at Lewis farms. Also a big playground. And Pickleball courts.	11/14/2024 9:39 PM
571	Left alone	11/14/2024 9:19 PM
572	Leave it alone.	11/14/2024 8:59 PM
573	A spot to walk dogs. We NEED a County park along the Lakeshore where responsible dog owners can walk and play with their dogs.	11/14/2024 8:48 PM
574	Outdoor showers to clean after visits to the beach. Water bottle filling stations.	11/14/2024 8:46 PM
575	Hard to read but more pickleball courts would be great	11/14/2024 8:40 PM
576	Signage that protects natural features	11/14/2024 8:35 PM
577	Leave the area natural! Why do people always want to develop every inch of property	11/14/2024 8:30 PM
578	I do not want additional features other than more natural trails	11/14/2024 8:25 PM
579	No	11/14/2024 8:24 PM
580	Mountain bike trail	11/14/2024 8:23 PM
581	No.	11/14/2024 8:20 PM
582	Parking garage	11/14/2024 8:14 PM
583	No	11/14/2024 8:12 PM
584	No development	11/14/2024 8:08 PM
585	N/A	11/14/2024 8:07 PM
586	No.	11/14/2024 7:56 PM
587	No development	11/14/2024 7:52 PM
588	No	11/14/2024 7:49 PM
589	No.	11/14/2024 7:48 PM
590	No	11/14/2024 7:45 PM
591	No	11/14/2024 7:33 PM
592	If anything maybe a tunnel from the parking area to the beach. It is a beautiful area but only extremely fit and younger adults can easily make the stairway trek.	11/14/2024 7:31 PM
593	No Development	11/14/2024 7:31 PM
594	No	11/14/2024 7:30 PM
595	No development	11/14/2024 7:28 PM
596	No	11/14/2024 7:23 PM

597	no	11/14/2024 7:23 PM
598	No development	11/14/2024 7:16 PM
599	Stop over developing grand haven. Don't develop this land!	11/14/2024 7:15 PM
600	No	11/14/2024 7:09 PM
601	Yes. Native plant rehabilitation. Maybe repair the stairs and boardwalks.	11/14/2024 7:05 PM
602	No development	11/14/2024 7:00 PM
603	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 6:56 PM
604	Treat it more like a nature preserve please	11/14/2024 6:53 PM
605	No development!	11/14/2024 6:44 PM
606	None	11/14/2024 6:43 PM
607	Please leave nature alone. There is too much over development in West Michigan.	11/14/2024 6:38 PM
608	No	11/14/2024 6:38 PM
609	No development	11/14/2024 6:28 PM
610	I do not want this area developed	11/14/2024 6:20 PM
611	No	11/14/2024 6:15 PM
612	NO DEVELOPMENT NEEDED, perfect the way it is	11/14/2024 6:08 PM
613	Rare plant communities	11/14/2024 6:07 PM
614	Green, natural spaces to hike and explore. LESS IS MORE!	11/14/2024 6:01 PM
615	No	11/14/2024 6:00 PM
616	No development	11/14/2024 5:59 PM
617	No	11/14/2024 5:55 PM
618	No	11/14/2024 5:55 PM
619	no, i would just like a small area for the bathroom and a parking lot.	11/14/2024 5:43 PM
620	Leave it natural!	11/14/2024 5:42 PM
621	Let Nature be.	11/14/2024 5:35 PM
622	None	11/14/2024 5:31 PM
623	No park at all. No development.	11/14/2024 5:29 PM
624	No development is best. Leave it a nature preserve	11/14/2024 5:28 PM
625	No development at all	11/14/2024 5:24 PM
626	No development!	11/14/2024 5:15 PM
627	No	11/14/2024 5:13 PM
628	No	11/14/2024 5:03 PM
629	No development	11/14/2024 4:58 PM
630	Handicap accessible trail. Just 1 would be nice. My mom is a full time walker and she can't do any of that with us anymore	11/14/2024 4:49 PM
631	Basic trails only	11/14/2024 4:42 PM
632	None	11/14/2024 4:40 PM
633	No further development	11/14/2024 4:34 PM

634	No dogs allowed	11/14/2024 4:34 PM
635	On leash dog paths with dog poop bins/bag availability	11/14/2024 4:28 PM
636	No	11/14/2024 4:26 PM
637	No development	11/14/2024 4:20 PM
638	No development	11/14/2024 4:13 PM
639	Yes, no development	11/14/2024 4:06 PM
640	No	11/14/2024 4:04 PM
641	N/a	11/14/2024 3:56 PM
642	New acquired adjacent Rosy Mound area brought back to its original natural environment and then left undeveloped. What a gift to the county this would be.	11/14/2024 3:51 PM
643	No development!	11/14/2024 3:49 PM
644	No gravel, no parking lot, no bathroom	11/14/2024 3:48 PM
645	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 3:46 PM
646	No development	11/14/2024 3:41 PM
647	No development!	11/14/2024 3:41 PM
648	Wildlife conservation efforts	11/14/2024 3:39 PM
649	No	11/14/2024 3:38 PM
650	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 3:37 PM
651	Love the water filling station idea too!	11/14/2024 3:31 PM
652	No	11/14/2024 3:27 PM
653	I'd just like to see areas left alone and if they do a minimal development project it should be something that actually is worthy like that educates others on wildlife and nature or things like that.	11/14/2024 3:24 PM
654	Yes, leaving it exactly as it is now. Any development will destroy the property that is home for many plants and animals. No development.	11/14/2024 3:22 PM
655	Less development	11/14/2024 3:18 PM
656	No development!	11/14/2024 3:16 PM
657	For all of the proposed features to be terminated and disappear.	11/14/2024 3:14 PM
658	No more development	11/14/2024 3:12 PM
659	No development!	11/14/2024 3:10 PM
660	No	11/14/2024 3:08 PM
661	No	11/14/2024 3:07 PM
662	No	11/14/2024 3:05 PM
663	No	11/14/2024 3:01 PM
664	No	11/14/2024 3:00 PM
665	No changes at all. Leave it the way it is!	11/14/2024 2:57 PM
666	NO NEW DEVELOPING	11/14/2024 2:56 PM
667	I hope that there will be a focus on native plants in the restoration area to support local wildlife.	11/14/2024 2:51 PM
668	Nothing	11/14/2024 2:50 PM
669	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 2:49 PM

670	No	11/14/2024 2:46 PM
671	No development please	11/14/2024 2:44 PM
672	An option to protect these lands instead.	11/14/2024 2:43 PM
673	N/a	11/14/2024 2:42 PM
674	No	11/14/2024 2:41 PM
675	No.	11/14/2024 2:41 PM
676	I think rosy mound is a great park. I also really like hemlock crossing.	11/14/2024 2:33 PM
677	No, leave it alone.	11/14/2024 2:25 PM
678	No	11/14/2024 2:24 PM
679	No development	11/14/2024 2:22 PM
680	No	11/14/2024 2:14 PM
681	No development	11/14/2024 2:03 PM
682	No	11/14/2024 1:59 PM
683	No.	11/14/2024 1:59 PM
684	none	11/14/2024 1:54 PM
685	No development!	11/14/2024 1:54 PM
686	No	11/14/2024 1:54 PM
687	NO development	11/14/2024 1:52 PM
688	No	11/14/2024 1:49 PM
689	No development at all	11/14/2024 1:46 PM
690	No	11/14/2024 1:38 PM
691	Allow leashed dogs. No development!	11/14/2024 1:38 PM
692	Leave it alone	11/14/2024 1:31 PM
693	No	11/14/2024 1:31 PM
694	No	11/14/2024 1:31 PM
695	No	11/14/2024 1:30 PM
696	Shoe cleaning spots where people can brush their shoes to loosen any invasive seeds before entering and upon exiting the park.	11/14/2024 1:30 PM
697	LEAVE IT ALONE!!!	11/14/2024 1:28 PM
698	Keep it natural so the next generations can have some natural beauty	11/14/2024 1:24 PM
699	No development	11/14/2024 1:23 PM
700	More overlooks	11/14/2024 1:22 PM
701	No development	11/14/2024 1:21 PM
702	No development	11/14/2024 1:19 PM
703	No	11/14/2024 1:18 PM
704	No	11/14/2024 1:17 PM
705	Signage reminding people not to disrupt the habitat and about the importance of wild areas.	11/14/2024 1:16 PM
706	No	11/14/2024 1:15 PM
707	No! Leave as is!!	11/14/2024 1:15 PM

708	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 1:14 PM
709	No	11/14/2024 1:13 PM
710	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 1:11 PM
711	No. Please leave the land as is.	11/14/2024 1:09 PM
712	no	11/14/2024 1:07 PM
713	Leave the land alone.	11/14/2024 1:07 PM
714	Yea let it alone	11/14/2024 1:04 PM
715	NONE	11/14/2024 1:03 PM
716	Less would be better.	11/14/2024 1:03 PM
717	No	11/14/2024 1:03 PM
718	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 1:02 PM
719	No.	11/14/2024 1:02 PM
720	No development	11/14/2024 1:02 PM
721	No	11/14/2024 1:00 PM
722	Better access to hiking trails	11/14/2024 12:57 PM
723	No development	11/14/2024 12:57 PM
724	Undisturbed tracts of land	11/14/2024 12:56 PM
725	No	11/14/2024 12:53 PM
726	No	11/14/2024 12:53 PM
727	Leave natural	11/14/2024 12:53 PM
728	None	11/14/2024 12:53 PM
729	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 12:53 PM
730	No development	11/14/2024 12:52 PM
731	A trail that allows people to walk their dogs on. Throw us a little bone :)	11/14/2024 12:52 PM
732	Native plantings to help support a biodiverse ecosystem and serve to benefit local wildlife and pollinators.	11/14/2024 12:52 PM
733	N/a	11/14/2024 12:51 PM
734	No	11/14/2024 12:51 PM
735	No Development	11/14/2024 12:50 PM
736	No development	11/14/2024 12:50 PM
737	Na	11/14/2024 12:48 PM
738	No	11/14/2024 12:48 PM
739	No development	11/14/2024 12:48 PM
740	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 12:47 PM
741	No	11/14/2024 12:47 PM
742	No	11/14/2024 12:47 PM
743	None. Keep it the way it is.	11/14/2024 12:46 PM
744	No it's good as is	11/14/2024 12:44 PM
745	No	11/14/2024 12:43 PM

746	Don't lock gate at night	11/14/2024 12:41 PM
747	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 12:40 PM
748	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 12:40 PM
749	No development	11/14/2024 12:39 PM
750	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 12:38 PM
751	I would like to see some of the additional trails/overlooks from the hybrid option potentially added to the minimal impact option with a focus on trying to minimize the impacts to wildlife.	11/14/2024 12:37 PM
752	No	11/14/2024 12:37 PM
753	More trails. I like the overlooks.	11/14/2024 12:37 PM
754	No development	11/14/2024 12:37 PM
755	No development	11/14/2024 12:36 PM
756	All the trails pictured in the full utilization model without all the extras	11/14/2024 12:36 PM
757	NO DEVELOPMENT AT ALL!!	11/14/2024 12:34 PM
758	No Development!	11/14/2024 12:33 PM
759	Please do not develop	11/14/2024 12:33 PM
760	Leave it natural	11/14/2024 12:31 PM
761	No	11/14/2024 12:31 PM
762	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 12:29 PM
763	No development!	11/14/2024 12:27 PM
764	No development	11/14/2024 12:27 PM
765	Nope. Minimal additions are best.	11/14/2024 12:27 PM
766	Preserve all plants and wildlife	11/14/2024 12:25 PM
767	Less is more.	11/14/2024 12:22 PM
768	Leave it natural	11/14/2024 12:22 PM
769	No development please	11/14/2024 12:22 PM
770	None	11/14/2024 12:21 PM
771	N/a	11/14/2024 12:19 PM
772	No	11/14/2024 12:17 PM
773	I would like to see it left alone	11/14/2024 12:15 PM
774	No	11/14/2024 12:14 PM
775	Playgrounds.	11/14/2024 12:14 PM
776	Honestly it would be nice to just fix some of the paths and make sure the animals are safe.	11/14/2024 12:14 PM
777	No	11/14/2024 12:13 PM
778	NO.	11/14/2024 12:13 PM
779	no development	11/14/2024 12:13 PM
780	Allow dogs in the park.	11/14/2024 12:11 PM
781	Natural path trails are priority. The crushed stone just makes it feel to unnatural/commercialized.	11/14/2024 12:11 PM
782	No	11/14/2024 12:09 PM

783	Leave it alone	11/14/2024 12:09 PM
784	No development	11/14/2024 12:08 PM
785	no - I am hoping for no development	11/14/2024 12:08 PM
786	Winter ice trail for skating	11/14/2024 12:08 PM
787	No, I trust the work you've done.	11/14/2024 12:07 PM
788	Off-leash dog hiking trail loop, historical marker.	11/14/2024 12:07 PM
789	No	11/14/2024 12:07 PM
790	Maintaining preservation of ecosystem in all aspects of design	11/14/2024 12:06 PM
791	No	11/14/2024 12:06 PM
792	No	11/14/2024 12:06 PM
793	Nope!	11/14/2024 12:04 PM
794	None that come to mind	11/14/2024 12:03 PM
795	Less "features" the better- more nature, less building and land disturbance	11/14/2024 12:03 PM
796	Keep the land as is.	11/14/2024 12:03 PM
797	Just trails with barriers where needed discouraging people from disturbing nature.	11/14/2024 12:01 PM
798	N/A	11/14/2024 12:00 PM
799	No	11/14/2024 11:59 AM
800	Allow dogs, more hiking trails that utilize the natural landscape vs creating new pathways	11/14/2024 11:59 AM
801	NO DEVELOPMENT! We don't need more stuff, let our environment stay protected	11/14/2024 11:57 AM
802	No leave it 100% natural	11/14/2024 11:57 AM
803	No, let your concepts be just that, concepts in your mind. Doesn't need to be developed	11/14/2024 11:56 AM
804	No development	11/14/2024 11:55 AM
805	DO NOT DEVELOP	11/14/2024 11:55 AM
806	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 11:55 AM
807	No Development!!	11/14/2024 11:55 AM
808	No	11/14/2024 11:54 AM
809	Fishing docks.	11/14/2024 11:53 AM
810	Do not develop this area.	11/14/2024 11:52 AM
811	Leave this to nature. No development.	11/14/2024 11:52 AM
812	Don't develop	11/14/2024 11:51 AM
813	Hiking trails	11/14/2024 11:50 AM
814	mountain bike trails or skills park	11/14/2024 11:50 AM
815	NONE. leave rosy mound and the land adjacent to it as is.	11/14/2024 11:49 AM
816	Keep asking much land as non disturbed.	11/14/2024 11:49 AM
817	No dont develop anymore	11/14/2024 11:48 AM
818	None! Leave nature alone!	11/14/2024 11:48 AM
819	No development.	11/14/2024 11:48 AM
820	No	11/14/2024 11:48 AM

821	None. Do not develop this land. Please.	11/14/2024 11:48 AM
822	Handicapped accessible pathway	11/14/2024 11:47 AM
823	No	11/14/2024 11:47 AM
824	No	11/14/2024 11:47 AM
825	Great work	11/14/2024 11:46 AM
826	I would like it to remain natural.	11/14/2024 11:46 AM
827	No	11/14/2024 11:46 AM
828	No	11/14/2024 11:46 AM
829	No	11/14/2024 11:45 AM
830	Dog friendly trails. Doesn't have to be full park, but a portion of it would be nice.	11/14/2024 11:44 AM
831	None	11/14/2024 11:44 AM
832	No development	11/14/2024 11:44 AM
833	No	11/14/2024 11:44 AM
834	No development	11/14/2024 11:44 AM
835	Leave the land undeveloped.	11/14/2024 11:43 AM
836	No development	11/14/2024 11:42 AM
837	No	11/14/2024 11:42 AM
838	Birds, animals, bugs	11/14/2024 11:41 AM
839	No development	11/14/2024 11:40 AM
840	Placards educating visitors of the unique environment the sands, dunes, and waterfront offer the present special plants, and the wildlife that exists and is getting squeezed out and cut off from the Lakeshore and sources of water.	11/14/2024 11:40 AM
841	No	11/14/2024 11:40 AM
842	No	11/14/2024 11:40 AM
843	No development.	11/14/2024 11:39 AM
844	No	11/14/2024 11:39 AM
845	no	11/14/2024 11:38 AM
846	Perhaps a little more parking for concept 1 but that is it.	11/14/2024 11:38 AM
847	gift shop with concessions	11/14/2024 11:38 AM
848	Minimum development. Dirt trails, otherwise leave as is.	11/14/2024 11:37 AM
849	No	11/14/2024 11:37 AM
850	ZERO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 11:36 AM
851	No development	11/14/2024 11:36 AM
852	No development	11/14/2024 11:36 AM
853	No development leave them alone	11/14/2024 11:35 AM
854	The lease amount of development possible. We have so many lovely parks already, please keep this space for those that have already inhabited it.	11/14/2024 11:34 AM
855	NO DEVELOPMENT! This area is bursting with species that need protection, not more destruction from construction.	11/14/2024 11:34 AM
856	No Development.	11/14/2024 11:34 AM

Ottawa County Parks

SurveyMonkey

857	N/A	11/14/2024 11:33 AM
858	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 11:33 AM
859	No development	11/14/2024 11:33 AM
860	Just leave the park as it is. It's beautiful	11/14/2024 11:33 AM
861	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 11:33 AM
862	NO DEVELOPMENT AT ALL	11/14/2024 11:32 AM
863	None	11/14/2024 11:32 AM
864	Natural hiking trails without intervention.	11/14/2024 11:32 AM
865	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 11:32 AM
866	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 11:31 AM
867	No development at all.	11/14/2024 11:31 AM
868	No park period	11/14/2024 11:30 AM
869	No development	11/14/2024 11:30 AM
870	No development	11/14/2024 11:29 AM
871	No	11/14/2024 11:29 AM
872	No development	11/14/2024 11:29 AM
873	Keep it as natural as possible	11/14/2024 11:29 AM
874	No developement	11/14/2024 11:29 AM
875	No	11/14/2024 11:29 AM
876	Nope	11/14/2024 11:28 AM
877	No development	11/14/2024 11:28 AM
878	No	11/14/2024 11:28 AM
879	No development	11/14/2024 11:28 AM
880	NA	11/14/2024 11:27 AM
881	No development	11/14/2024 11:27 AM
882	no	11/14/2024 11:27 AM
883	No development	11/14/2024 6:44 AM
884	Leave it alone!	11/14/2024 5:07 AM
885	No. It is perfect the way is.	11/13/2024 10:39 PM
886	Another lake overlook close to the lake similar to the existing one but further north in the park.	11/13/2024 10:15 PM
887	NO DEVELOPMENT!	11/13/2024 10:09 PM
888	No	11/13/2024 9:52 PM
889	Natural things. No development done. No disturbance to the area	11/13/2024 9:32 PM
890	Keep it natural	11/13/2024 8:58 PM
891	NO development.	11/13/2024 8:29 PM
892	No development	11/13/2024 8:11 PM
893	A defined and limited boat anchoring area.	11/13/2024 7:48 PM
894	No development!	11/13/2024 7:05 PM

895	Save natural features.	11/13/2024 7:01 PM
896	Please stop doing new developments and focus on upkeep and maintenance	11/13/2024 5:59 PM
897	You all destroying your homes and growing native plants and trees.	11/13/2024 5:56 PM
898	Not that I am aware of.	11/13/2024 4:36 PM
899	No development should occur	11/13/2024 4:10 PM
900	No development	11/13/2024 2:17 PM
901	no	11/13/2024 2:07 PM
902	I wonder if the modern bathroom is a necessity on the minimal impact plan. My husband and I are in our 70's. We regularly hike in Ottawa County parks. The pit toilets work just fine.	11/13/2024 1:47 PM
903	NA	11/13/2024 1:47 PM
904	I am not able to make the conceptual drawings large enough on my phone to see what features there are at all.	11/13/2024 1:39 PM
905	No development	11/13/2024 1:29 PM
906	No	11/13/2024 1:22 PM
907	No park features would be great! Don't develop it.	11/13/2024 1:21 PM
908	Not really. I like trails and I don't need accessibility. It is good that there is some accessibility for those who need it though,	11/13/2024 12:58 PM
909	I would like to see it remain a natural area	11/13/2024 11:09 AM
910	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/13/2024 10:54 AM
911	I would like it not to be developed but stay as it is.	11/13/2024 10:41 AM
912	No development	11/13/2024 10:37 AM
913	Leave it alone!	11/13/2024 10:17 AM
914	Not that I can think of	11/13/2024 9:26 AM
915	No	11/13/2024 8:03 AM
916	No development	11/13/2024 7:12 AM
917	I'm not able to view the maps very well on my phone, but I believe as many native plant habitats, educational spaces on ecosystems, and possibly very limited hike in camping spots by reservation only.	11/12/2024 10:22 PM
918	No development, preserve the beauty of the land	11/12/2024 9:50 PM
919	Nope	11/12/2024 9:01 PM
920	No	11/12/2024 5:47 PM
921	No	11/12/2024 5:07 PM
922	Xc ski trail option at existing flat areas	11/12/2024 3:30 PM
923	A Nature Center similar to the one at Hemlock Crossing.	11/12/2024 3:23 PM
924	A community fire pit near the 3 season facility. Lighted cross-country skiing trails to provide outdoor recreational opportunities in the winter for those working during daylight hours. It is challenging to evaluate the options without understanding their ballpark costs and effect on taxpayers.	11/12/2024 1:42 PM
925	Nope	11/12/2024 1:12 PM
926	No	11/12/2024 12:50 PM
927	No development	11/12/2024 11:53 AM
928	primitive bathrooms out towards the lake	11/12/2024 11:51 AM

929	no.	11/12/2024 10:48 AM
930	Overlook deck plans to include roofs, it would be great in the heat of the summer and rain/snow conditions.	11/12/2024 10:47 AM
931	Short term camp sites (small tent or under the stars) along the lake or in the dune grass. Waste disposal and damage to dune environment are concerns with having camp sites.	11/12/2024 10:40 AM
932	I'd really like to see a combination of 1 & 2. I like the smaller parking area, but like the trail being connected to the existing area to the south.	11/12/2024 10:35 AM
933	Pond near parking lot	11/12/2024 10:31 AM
934	Zip line, ropes course, sled hill, bike path to beach.	11/12/2024 10:28 AM
935	I would support developing the full trail system shown in the hybrid plan but otherwise following the minimal impact plan. Having a loop trail through the park would be a desirable feature.	11/12/2024 10:23 AM
936	Dog run. Overflow parking for Minimal plan.	11/12/2024 10:00 AM
937	No development!!!	11/12/2024 9:25 AM
938	The design of the boardwalk/steps that zig-zag across the open dune in the hybrid and high impact concepts would still be great to add to the low impact concept.	11/12/2024 9:17 AM
939	No	11/12/2024 9:01 AM
940	No	11/12/2024 8:33 AM
941	No	11/12/2024 8:20 AM
942	I would like to see zero park features LEAVE the area natural	11/12/2024 8:15 AM
943	Nature scape playground, shower off area (in bathrooms/near)	11/12/2024 7:58 AM
944	Amphitheater / bandshell	11/12/2024 6:31 AM
945	No. Leave the park as it already is please.	11/12/2024 4:58 AM
946	No development.	11/12/2024 4:52 AM
947	Open to archery hunting only for conservation of the land without disturbing the neighboring residents with gunfire.	11/12/2024 1:47 AM
948	Extended trails and improvements to current beach access stairs	11/11/2024 10:26 PM
949	No	11/11/2024 10:11 PM
950	Keep it minimal	11/11/2024 9:59 PM
951	No development	11/11/2024 9:42 PM
952	I can't zoom in enough to see the full details, so it's hard to tell. If there are no bike trails, it would be great to create a bike loop	11/11/2024 9:17 PM
953	No development	11/11/2024 9:12 PM
954	No	11/11/2024 9:08 PM
955	No development	11/11/2024 8:57 PM
956	Not that I can think of	11/11/2024 8:53 PM
957	No	11/11/2024 8:22 PM
958	No	11/11/2024 8:07 PM
959	No	11/11/2024 8:03 PM
960	No	11/11/2024 7:55 PM
961	No!	11/11/2024 7:23 PM
962	Stairs down to the beach	11/11/2024 7:18 PM

Ottawa County Parks

SurveyMonkey

963	No	11/11/2024 7:00 PM
964	No development	11/11/2024 6:57 PM
965	No	11/11/2024 6:49 PM
966	No	11/11/2024 6:43 PM
967	No	11/11/2024 6:34 PM
968	More boardwalks	11/11/2024 6:25 PM
969	Not sure if they are included on any of the plans, but I'd like to see a few benches along the trails like they have on the existing trails.	11/11/2024 6:13 PM
970	No development	11/11/2024 6:00 PM
971	No development	11/11/2024 5:39 PM
972	Leaving the area completely undeveloped.	11/11/2024 4:09 PM
973	A fenced on dog run area would be a nice addition.	11/11/2024 4:08 PM
974	No	11/11/2024 3:26 PM
975	No	11/11/2024 1:37 PM
976	change the pathways going into Terry trail, have the trails go south from the north stairs	11/11/2024 1:25 PM
977	There does not need to be an additional parking area and restroom. The existing parking area can be expanded with minimal impact and less cost.	11/11/2024 11:31 AM
978	Can't think of any.	11/11/2024 11:28 AM
979	Additional non-gravel trails.	11/11/2024 11:27 AM
980	Since the current Rosy Mound trails already provide ample walking paths, I'd rather see a biking trail on the new property. This would give people another option for recreation.	11/11/2024 11:20 AM
981	Expand current parking area to reduce cost and impact. No need to design build an entirely new development with parking and additional restrooms.	11/11/2024 11:04 AM
982	No	11/11/2024 10:50 AM
983	I don't want any park features period. I would rather the nature left alone, and protected.	11/11/2024 10:37 AM
984	None come to mind at the moment.	11/11/2024 10:30 AM
985	No	11/11/2024 9:26 AM
986	Watercraft access? Kayaks, surfboards and the like are too difficult to hike on dune trails.	11/11/2024 7:52 AM
987	N/a	11/11/2024 7:30 AM
988	Bike trails.	11/11/2024 7:13 AM
989	No. I enjoy that Rosy Mound is natural. I love being immersed in nature instead of man-made structures and amenities. (Excluding the paths/stairs/etc.)	11/11/2024 6:47 AM
990	No development	11/11/2024 12:23 AM
991	No	11/10/2024 10:09 PM
992	Leave the area alone.	11/10/2024 9:22 PM
993	No	11/10/2024 8:42 PM
994	No development	11/10/2024 8:40 PM
995	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/10/2024 7:50 PM
996	No. Trails that proved access and protection seems like a great use. Parking phased based on actual need is best than just going large all at once. Move gently with limited development	11/10/2024 7:16 PM
997	Kayak launch	11/10/2024 6:30 PM

998	No	11/10/2024 4:45 PM
999	A beach area restroom near the new trails.	11/10/2024 4:36 PM
1000	No, Ottawa Co. already has lots of developed parks with all these facilities. What we need more of is undeveloped natural areas that can continue to recover from deforestation.	11/10/2024 4:26 PM
1001	No.	11/10/2024 3:47 PM
1002	No	11/10/2024 2:33 PM
1003	Paved trails are much easier for elderly or physically challenged people to navigate.	11/10/2024 2:16 PM
1004	Don't develop the land	11/10/2024 2:03 PM
1005	No - all features are present.	11/10/2024 12:53 PM
1006	No	11/10/2024 12:15 PM
1007	No - leave things alone. This area is home to many endangered plants and animals!	11/10/2024 11:59 AM
1008	No	11/10/2024 11:42 AM
1009	No	11/10/2024 11:33 AM
1010	No	11/10/2024 11:29 AM
1011	no	11/10/2024 11:13 AM
1012	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/10/2024 11:11 AM
1013	No Development!!!!	11/10/2024 11:06 AM
1014	No.	11/10/2024 11:03 AM
1015	Yes, no development!	11/10/2024 10:47 AM
1016	No	11/10/2024 10:29 AM
1017	Deer blind	11/10/2024 10:02 AM
1018	No	11/10/2024 9:27 AM
1019	More reclaim areas	11/10/2024 9:27 AM
1020	No.	11/10/2024 9:00 AM
1021	Not at this time	11/10/2024 8:54 AM
1022	No. If patterned after the original Rosy Mound it will be a hit.	11/10/2024 8:42 AM
1023	No	11/10/2024 8:40 AM
1024	I would like no park features. Please consult ecological land management practices.	11/10/2024 8:15 AM
1025	None	11/10/2024 7:24 AM
1026	Leave our land alone!	11/10/2024 3:50 AM
1027	Encouragement of reforestation in areas that once were wooded habitats.	11/10/2024 3:46 AM
1028	Yes, convert one of the loop trails to paved for bikes, wheelchairs, strollers etc., like Ottawa Sands	11/10/2024 1:37 AM
1029	No.	11/9/2024 11:50 PM
1030	N/A	11/9/2024 10:55 PM
1031	Parking should be as close to the road as possible, so as not to disturb the interior of the parcel.	11/9/2024 10:43 PM
1032	Less development	11/9/2024 10:12 PM
1033	No. Please keep it simple and as natural as possible. People visit the park for peace.	11/9/2024 10:08 PM

1034	I can't view the images in a larger format. It won't let me click to enlarge so I can't say.	11/9/2024 9:54 PM
1035	Not sure	11/9/2024 9:52 PM
1036	Na	11/9/2024 9:47 PM
1037	No, but I would like every trail and overlook from the full plan, without the buildings and so much road.	11/9/2024 9:29 PM
1038	No	11/9/2024 9:18 PM
1039	No	11/9/2024 8:41 PM
1040	I haven't used rosy in a long time primarily because we can't bring our dogs. I hope this changes.	11/9/2024 8:15 PM
1041	Ya, it to function as a wildlife and nature preserve. No more crushed stone paths. No more development. Pitchers thistle is endangered and grows all over that sand mine area. You should cancel all these plans and leave the land pristine. You've done enough damage. Don't make this another Ottawa Sands folly, please!	11/9/2024 7:35 PM
1042	Family restrooms. More barrier free trails.	11/9/2024 6:19 PM
1043	Picnic area by one of the overlooks.	11/9/2024 6:11 PM
1044	Have a space for Yoga and meditation.	11/9/2024 4:40 PM
1045	More seat, pause, areas.	11/9/2024 3:57 PM
1046	I love all of it	11/9/2024 3:44 PM
1047	no	11/9/2024 11:28 AM
1048	I would like to see the connecting trails in the front and middle of the park like shown in the hybrid and fully utilized. More trails and less of the other stuff. Keep simple like existing park is just expand the trails.	11/9/2024 11:07 AM
1049	Yes, leave it as is!	11/9/2024 10:36 AM
1050	This applies to all of them (& the existing boardwalk) I would like to see 5 boards across instead of the 4 that are used now. Its too hard to pass people when you're walking, especially if theres 2 people walking side by side because some dont think they need to move to single file.	11/9/2024 10:27 AM
1051	Could there be a space for an organizational overnight camping area for groups to utilize? For school classes (like White Pines used to do), ODC, Scouts, sports teams, etc.	11/9/2024 10:21 AM
1052	Perhaps if there is a playground area - it can have it's own dedicated smaller parking space to allow for parents to come without competing with the hikers for parking. Also safer for little ones in a smaller lot & keep any playground area farther from hiking trails.	11/9/2024 10:20 AM
1053	Outdoor workout station potentially covered. Many park visitors go to run the steps at Rosy for fitness so would add value.	11/9/2024 9:24 AM
1054	Make the steps more difficult	11/9/2024 8:58 AM
1055	Dog-permitted areas	11/9/2024 8:37 AM
1056	No	11/9/2024 7:57 AM
1057	No, I think these are wonderful.	11/9/2024 7:41 AM
1058	Perhaps cross country skiing options for the winter months?	11/9/2024 7:23 AM
1059	No	11/9/2024 7:09 AM
1060	No	11/9/2024 6:52 AM
1061	A designated sledding hill would be a nice addition.	11/9/2024 6:27 AM
1062	No	11/9/2024 3:12 AM
1063	Allow a bike path to the front part of park north to south near Lakeshore Drive	11/8/2024 10:51 PM

1064	Nothing specific, I enjoy the parks in grand haven already and the features shown are great :)	11/8/2024 10:43 PM
1065	Modern bathroom by beach.	11/8/2024 10:43 PM
1066	No	11/8/2024 10:38 PM
1067	No	11/8/2024 9:47 PM
1068	An on-site security staff.	11/8/2024 8:50 PM
1069	No	11/8/2024 7:33 PM
1070	Less pavement and man made features....keep the natural trails.	11/8/2024 7:24 PM
1071	A small kids play area would be nice especially one that educates kids about the dunes and how they are formed etc	11/8/2024 5:28 PM
1072	Many benches throughout the whole walk. Picnic tables throughout the area too.	11/8/2024 5:22 PM
1073	Improve the beach area rest rooms.	11/8/2024 5:13 PM
1074	How do we get people to keep the dunes area looking as natural as possible, the way God created it and yet let people enjoy the beauty	11/8/2024 5:01 PM
1075	Two more Bathrooms closer to the lake, just east of the shoreline dunes with running water. One near the middle and one on the north end.	11/8/2024 4:30 PM
1076	No	11/8/2024 4:26 PM
1077	No	11/8/2024 3:56 PM
1078	I would like to see the addition of allowing dogs on leash in the park	11/8/2024 3:17 PM
1079	No	11/8/2024 3:12 PM
1080	No	11/8/2024 3:09 PM
1081	NA	11/8/2024 3:06 PM
1082	More trails	11/8/2024 2:48 PM
1083	No	11/8/2024 2:39 PM
1084	Would consider having a smaller (50 person) 3 season building for school groups.	11/8/2024 2:37 PM
1085	A compostable toilet close to the beach.	11/8/2024 12:15 PM
1086	Dog friendly areas	11/8/2024 12:04 PM
1087	No	11/8/2024 11:23 AM
1088	None. I would like to see no park features at all.	11/8/2024 10:57 AM
1089	If there is a barrier free loop trail in the east part of the site, couldn't it be paved to make it more accessible?	11/8/2024 10:04 AM
1090	Nope!	11/8/2024 10:00 AM
1091	none	11/8/2024 9:44 AM
1092	They look great.	11/8/2024 9:25 AM
1093	No	11/8/2024 8:38 AM
1094	No features are necessary.. keep the beautiful property natural	11/8/2024 8:31 AM
1095	No.	11/8/2024 8:15 AM
1096	I like the interpretive center where visitors are educated on the natural features of the park. One version did show such a center. Hoffmaster Park has a good interpretative center and a small auditorium for presentations which is a very useable feature.	11/8/2024 7:56 AM
1097	Again, the more rural/rustic/underdeveloped the better. Keep in mind why this area is of such interest.	11/8/2024 6:41 AM

1098	Not that I can think of	11/8/2024 12:14 AM
1099	No	11/7/2024 11:03 PM
1100	Perhaps a couple simple weather resistant "fitness" stations where a healthy walk can be complimented with pull ups, sit ups, planks and other plyometric type anaerobic exercises.	11/7/2024 9:58 PM
1101	No	11/7/2024 9:53 PM
1102	No	11/7/2024 9:14 PM
1103	no	11/7/2024 9:10 PM
1104	Add the trails in concept 3 to concept 1.	11/7/2024 8:28 PM
1105	No	11/7/2024 8:19 PM
1106	No	11/7/2024 7:50 PM
1107	Natural environments protected for butterflies eagles woodpeckers and more	11/7/2024 7:43 PM
1108	Nope	11/7/2024 7:42 PM
1109	No, the less the better :)	11/7/2024 7:31 PM
1110	Dispersed backpacker style camping by permit	11/7/2024 7:24 PM
1111	No	11/7/2024 7:23 PM
1112	No	11/7/2024 7:23 PM
1113	No I don't believe so.	11/7/2024 7:22 PM
1114	Adding a trail connecting the new and old parking area makes sense and would enable more hiking.	11/7/2024 7:21 PM
1115	No	11/7/2024 7:14 PM
1116	No	11/7/2024 7:10 PM
1117	Yes, an un-disrupted NATURAL area with simple back-country style sand trails that people can hike if they want, does not HAVE to be for EVERYONE! There is absolutely no reason to develop this area into another "park", I walk there almost daily because it's one of the few peaceful natural areas around. Would love to know how much money this would cost to develop a park - lights, bathrooms, pavement, steps, signs, etc? The dunes should be left alone, the wildlife left alone, the plants left alone. This is not at all what I expected the parks & rec commission to propose. We already have Rosy Mound developed park and trails, lets keep a rustic natural area as an extension of that park.	11/7/2024 7:08 PM
1118	No	11/7/2024 7:00 PM
1119	No	11/7/2024 6:59 PM
1120	No	11/7/2024 6:59 PM
1121	No. You did a thoughtful planning	11/7/2024 6:55 PM
1122	N/A	11/7/2024 6:52 PM
1123	No	11/7/2024 6:52 PM
1124	No, just the least development.	11/7/2024 6:44 PM
1125	Some sort of body of water I think would be nice for this expansion since the land is mostly all the same, dune grass and sand.	11/7/2024 6:42 PM
1126	No	11/7/2024 6:41 PM
1127	A beach changing/bathroom facility.	11/7/2024 6:39 PM
1128	No	11/7/2024 6:37 PM
1129	Bike trail and parking rack near the beach	11/7/2024 6:27 PM


1130	More signage about staying on trails and off dunes and bluffs.	11/7/2024 6:17 PM
1131	None	11/7/2024 5:32 PM
1132	None that I know of	11/7/2024 5:26 PM
1133	Each of the plans PRECLUDES the other 2! Perhaps start with the Hybrid's plan for road layout & a smaller version of its parking lot. In the future, that format could be expanded to offer additional amenities and parking.	11/7/2024 3:09 PM
1134	no	11/7/2024 2:24 PM
1135	Dogs welcome.	11/7/2024 1:12 PM
1136	Adding a trail to first plan as listed above.	11/7/2024 1:03 PM
1137	Can't think of anything at the moment	11/7/2024 12:26 PM
1138	no	11/7/2024 12:05 PM
1139	No	11/7/2024 11:48 AM
1140	Winter options, sledding, cross country skiing, snow shoeing (including the rentals), with event center open to the public with a fireplace during the winter, similar to Pigeon Creek Lodge. Maybe a trail for fat tire bikes?	11/7/2024 11:22 AM
1141	Use as many ridge trails as you can. More secure beach access stairways on existing and new section. Maybe another beach access near the old car in the pines trail. Otherwise, quite a distance between north new beach trail and the other existing beach access trails to the south. Mostly agree with Hybrid except make it a 75-100 parking area.	11/7/2024 10:51 AM
1142	No	11/7/2024 10:36 AM
1143	No	11/7/2024 10:10 AM
1144	More parking on the first plan. 50 is not enough. Love the larger sized picnic shelter idea. This location would benefit from the large one for any of the plans. The small one just doesn't make sense. I can see special events here and outdoor groups using this space often.	11/7/2024 10:07 AM
1145	no	11/7/2024 9:33 AM
1146	I think the more trails we can access the better. There are more areas in the existing park that could use trails that are narrower, but also sustainable and don't cause erosion. The trails don't all need to be very wide like the existing trails are. Consider creating single-track trails (one-person wide) that incorporate erosion control practices as well. I'd like to see an even more hybrid concept that includes more trails like concept 2, but without the extra amenities at the parking area.	11/7/2024 8:36 AM
1147	Please allow leashed dogs	11/7/2024 8:32 AM
1148	I think it might be nice for more of the trails to connect to create "loops" for jogging different distances.	11/7/2024 8:32 AM
1149	No.	11/7/2024 8:26 AM
1150	Nothing comes to mind as I feel leaving this space as natural as possible is the best route to take with this newly acquired land.	11/7/2024 8:23 AM
1151	No	11/7/2024 8:19 AM
1152	No	11/7/2024 8:07 AM
1153	No	11/7/2024 7:55 AM
1154	Good trail signage. Trail from north steps immediately turn south toward the current park.	11/7/2024 7:51 AM
1155	no	11/7/2024 7:25 AM
1156	no	11/7/2024 6:39 AM
1157	Allowance for dogs	11/7/2024 6:36 AM
1158	no	11/6/2024 10:01 PM

1159	I can't get the views large enough to see the words. I would like to see walking trails	11/6/2024 9:36 PM
1160	Not that I can think of at this time. It's a beautiful piece of land and I think any three concepts would be great.	11/6/2024 9:27 PM
1161	Dune climb like the path up the dune on Lakeshore.	11/6/2024 8:22 PM
1162	Clearly marked trails which navigate park goes away from private land/spaces	11/6/2024 8:19 PM
1163	Must have modern restroom facilities for the beach. Pit toilets just will not do. Need running water, flushing toilets, changing stations, etc.	11/6/2024 7:04 PM
1164	No	11/6/2024 6:47 PM
1165	None	11/6/2024 6:02 PM
1166	I come to Rosy Mound to hike, walk in nature, etc. I'd love some challenging climbs up and over the dunes, if possible.	11/6/2024 6:01 PM
1167	No	11/6/2024 5:26 PM
1168	No.	11/6/2024 4:30 PM
1169	It would be nice if this park...or any of the OC parks...had a concert bowl/area/stage	11/6/2024 4:08 PM
1170	small playstructure for kids, would be cool to do a nature theme like down at the Holland Outdoor discovery center	11/6/2024 3:53 PM
1171	No	11/6/2024 3:43 PM
1172	I would love to see more intentional experiences for our disabled population.	11/6/2024 3:41 PM
1173	No	11/6/2024 3:40 PM
1174	No	11/6/2024 3:36 PM
1175	I'd love to see a mountain bike trail in the Grand Haven area. 2-3 loops that allow for children/beginners to get out and do a shorter loop but also have the option to venture out onto a longer, more challenging flow trail would be awesome. Something like Mosquito Creek.	11/6/2024 3:36 PM
1176	I would love for the barrier free loop to be paved. This makes it much easier to push a stroller.	11/6/2024 3:35 PM
1177	Just the barrier free loop, which is included	11/6/2024 3:24 PM
1178	no	11/6/2024 3:18 PM
1179	No	11/6/2024 3:15 PM
1180	No	11/6/2024 3:09 PM
1181	no	11/6/2024 2:58 PM
1182	An indoor rental facility with a lake view would be most welcome.	11/6/2024 2:55 PM
1183	Outdoor classroom spaces	11/6/2024 2:55 PM
1184	no	11/6/2024 2:49 PM
1185	We would like a trail that drop down away from the Terry Trails neighborhood to prevent park guests from entering there by mistake.	11/6/2024 2:38 PM
1186	Larger bathroom building	11/6/2024 2:34 PM
1187	I would like to NOT have any paved surfaces (for cars or trails) or buildings added and would suggest that you let people hike around the dunes in that area instead. This is easier and cheaper to maintain long term, and gives the people the ability to enjoy the full property.	11/6/2024 2:29 PM
1188	no	11/6/2024 2:29 PM
1189	A pond would be nice. Too bad the pond from the mining operations was filled in.	11/6/2024 2:21 PM
1190	I like the added parking and play areas	11/6/2024 2:09 PM
1191	NO	11/6/2024 2:06 PM

1192	No.	11/6/2024 2:00 PM
1193	facilites near the water (or midway on the paths). It is hard to see the maps and what they offer.	11/6/2024 1:45 PM
1194	Connect bike patches to other parks	11/6/2024 1:40 PM
1195	I am always in favor of providing potable water on park properties whenever practicable.	11/6/2024 1:39 PM
1196	I'd like to see more focus on accessibility in the parks. I wonder if there are opportunities to expand trails while ensuring folks with mobility limitations and/or physical disabilities have access to the shoreline and park overlooks.	11/6/2024 1:38 PM
1197	less actually	11/6/2024 1:37 PM
1198	Changing facilities near the beach. They do not need to include restroom amenities.	11/6/2024 1:35 PM
1199	Windscape picnic areas much like those at White Sands Nat'l Park.	11/6/2024 1:34 PM
1200	A bus schedule to accommodate those persons without vehicles.	11/6/2024 1:31 PM
1201	I'd ask for dogs to be allowed, even if that is for Sept-May.	11/6/2024 1:27 PM
1202	No	11/6/2024 1:26 PM
1203	All three look well thought out	11/6/2024 1:24 PM
1204	N/A	11/6/2024 1:18 PM
1205	Not at this time.	11/6/2024 1:04 PM
1206	Dedicated school bus parking	11/6/2024 1:02 PM
1207	I love the look of the hiking trails	11/6/2024 12:59 PM
1208	No	11/6/2024 12:57 PM
1209	Utilizing permeable pathways and driving/parking surfaces where possible.	11/6/2024 12:53 PM
1210	Not that I can think of	11/6/2024 12:52 PM
1211	how are you going to address the traffic issues on lakeshore? can you add more signs or something to reduce the speeding?	11/6/2024 12:47 PM
1212	no	11/6/2024 12:43 PM
1213	I think the natural play area would be best included on the minimal impact plan.	11/6/2024 12:41 PM

Q4 Which Park features do you approve of in the Minimal Impact Plan? (Check all that apply)

Answered: 1,376 Skipped: 133



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Natural restoration/habitat areas	89.46%	1,231
50 space parking lot	45.42%	625
Picnic shelter accommodating 25 people	32.56%	448
Picnic shelter accommodating 50 people	22.53%	310
Modern restroom building	64.24%	884
Trail head with amenities such as drinking fountain, bike parking, bike repair station, signage and maps	58.50%	805
Barrier-free loop trail approximately one (1) mile long	58.14%	800
Natural surface trails	78.27%	1,077
Overlook decks	58.65%	807
Crushed stone trails and boardwalk	48.33%	665
Total Respondents: 1,376		

Q5 Please provide any additional comments specific to the Minimal Impact Plan.

Answered: 786 Skipped: 723

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	More native plants with deer fence protection	11/22/2024 7:06 AM
2	Please keep this as natural and the least disruptive on the ecosystem as possible	11/22/2024 6:32 AM
3	N/a	11/22/2024 6:31 AM
4	No dogs!!!	11/22/2024 5:29 AM
5	N/a	11/21/2024 11:29 PM
6	If hiking off course is okay than I am fine with the plan	11/21/2024 11:04 PM
7	Leave it alone	11/21/2024 11:01 PM
8	Don't do anything. Don't build shit. Leave it alone	11/21/2024 10:05 PM
9	Would love to see a larger playground	11/21/2024 10:03 PM
10	*Would prefer 25 people picnic shelter if there has to be one. *Would prefer to not have a trail all the way to the lake ...hook into the present trail in the South park ...less is more. The more trails (& structures) etc. created ... the more the property is altered.... and therefore the property becomes less natural. Man is once again invading nature to "preserve" it.	11/21/2024 9:25 PM
11	Just make it have a minimal impact. Its nature, not a shopping mall.	11/21/2024 9:22 PM
12	None in mind	11/21/2024 9:15 PM
13	Looks nice	11/21/2024 8:21 PM
14	Na	11/21/2024 8:12 PM
15	No buses?	11/21/2024 8:04 PM
16	More trails like the bigger impact plans would be nice.	11/21/2024 5:51 PM
17	Bring back the right of dog owners to walk dogs in Rosy Mound.	11/21/2024 4:03 PM
18	Add connector trail to the south from the parking area. Bikes should not be encouraged here due to erosion potential and habitat impact.	11/21/2024 3:58 PM
19	Please keep out bikes in this park. In Riley Trails and Upper Macatawa Natural Area we have almost been run over by bikers. Bikers are also making new trails through Riley Trails to further disturb habitat and also riding on "hiking trails only". The additional south trail/overlook options could be provided from the Hybrid Concept Plan to allow for more hiking opportunities and hawk watching viewing points.	11/21/2024 3:58 PM
20	None	11/21/2024 3:28 PM
21	To is would be a prudent plan to increase access, yet not spoil buffer.	11/21/2024 2:55 PM
22	Please allow dogs in Rosy Mound	11/21/2024 2:52 PM
23	I would not like this land developed.	11/21/2024 2:35 PM
24	n/a	11/21/2024 2:31 PM
25	-	11/21/2024 2:17 PM
26	~	11/21/2024 2:06 PM
27	None	11/21/2024 1:51 PM

28	Low impact and natural	11/21/2024 1:39 PM
29	Allow leashed dogs again	11/21/2024 1:28 PM
30	Leave alone	11/21/2024 1:24 PM
31	None	11/21/2024 1:21 PM
32	Leave it natural.	11/21/2024 12:32 PM
33	Keep it simple.	11/21/2024 12:23 PM
34	Na	11/21/2024 11:47 AM
35	N/A	11/21/2024 11:41 AM
36	Keep nature natural please	11/21/2024 11:35 AM
37	I like it	11/21/2024 11:00 AM
38	N/A	11/21/2024 10:55 AM
39	None	11/21/2024 10:54 AM
40	Update the bathrooms and fix what is broken if need be.	11/21/2024 10:53 AM
41	No asphalt please.	11/21/2024 10:33 AM
42	Not sure 50 parking spots is enough based on current use.	11/21/2024 10:33 AM
43	More parking!	11/21/2024 10:19 AM
44	Love the natural beauty currently have.	11/21/2024 10:13 AM
45	Less money spent on this park means more money spent elsewhere where it could be put to better use for the community.	11/21/2024 10:08 AM
46	Less is more	11/21/2024 9:56 AM
47	Na	11/21/2024 9:55 AM
48	N/A	11/21/2024 9:51 AM
49	Don't touch it	11/21/2024 9:49 AM
50	Lets allow the natural beauty to speak for itself. We have a parking lot "pandemic" if you will and I don't see the need for more. Let's get people on their bikes and or walking to this new park.	11/21/2024 9:25 AM
51	Nothing to add.	11/21/2024 9:23 AM
52	.	11/21/2024 9:22 AM
53	Water access	11/21/2024 9:17 AM
54	Less parking the better	11/21/2024 8:59 AM
55	Na	11/21/2024 8:52 AM
56	More natural surface trails and hiking	11/21/2024 8:35 AM
57	Concern this will become a beach access lot	11/21/2024 8:35 AM
58	Preferred	11/21/2024 8:32 AM
59	Na	11/21/2024 8:13 AM
60	I liked the improvements	11/21/2024 8:08 AM
61	We shouldn't be building anything here.	11/21/2024 7:46 AM
62	I really like the minimal plan. I think it just needs the connection to the original rosy mound	11/21/2024 7:43 AM
63	Excited to see it	11/21/2024 7:41 AM

Ottawa County Parks

SurveyMonkey

64	None	11/21/2024 7:41 AM
65	N/a	11/21/2024 7:24 AM
66	The minimal plan seems perfect	11/21/2024 6:42 AM
67	more parking ! and a play structure for kids	11/21/2024 6:24 AM
68	None	11/21/2024 6:18 AM
69	I like the simplicity of the current rosy mound park and don't know that we need a full build out Ottawa Sands style.	11/21/2024 5:58 AM
70	None	11/21/2024 5:45 AM
71	Making sure that most of the parking area development is done on the east end of the property	11/21/2024 5:40 AM
72	Again, land and natural dony return . Once it gone its gone	11/21/2024 5:32 AM
73	Leave natural	11/21/2024 5:32 AM
74	just ad trails and improve current park entrance.	11/21/2024 4:53 AM
75	N/a	11/21/2024 3:20 AM
76	NA	11/21/2024 2:29 AM
77	none	11/21/2024 1:04 AM
78	Na	11/21/2024 12:42 AM
79	N/A	11/21/2024 12:17 AM
80	Na	11/20/2024 11:45 PM
81	Add the playground! Bring more kids into nature!	11/20/2024 11:18 PM
82	Keep as natural as possible	11/20/2024 10:52 PM
83	Still keeps it natural but user friendly for everyone.	11/20/2024 10:30 PM
84	None	11/20/2024 10:26 PM
85	Please keep it simple! When it comes to places in nature such as these, less is appreciated!	11/20/2024 10:17 PM
86	None	11/20/2024 9:53 PM
87	N/A	11/20/2024 9:42 PM
88	None	11/20/2024 9:38 PM
89	None	11/20/2024 9:38 PM
90	NA	11/20/2024 9:38 PM
91	If you decide to go minimal, keep what's there and improve it.	11/20/2024 9:35 PM
92	Leave it be	11/20/2024 9:32 PM
93	Please keep in mind that with a modern restroom in a not very visible area (to police, fire trucks, etc) you may have individuals that decide to live there and use the facilities	11/20/2024 9:28 PM
94	Thanks	11/20/2024 9:21 PM
95	Keep it minimal.	11/20/2024 9:07 PM
96	I like this best there's very little untouched area near the lake and that's rare it would be nice to keep it that way.	11/20/2024 9:07 PM
97	Please leave the area as natural as possible	11/20/2024 9:05 PM
98	I don't want houses here	11/20/2024 9:05 PM
99	The less you do as far as building structures and trails, the better. Keep it as natural and undeveloped as possible. This type of space is becoming so rare, it's sad and depressing.	11/20/2024 9:03 PM

100	Keeping the area as natural as possible is preferred.	11/20/2024 9:01 PM
101	Keep as greenspace	11/20/2024 8:58 PM
102	need dog friendly area	11/20/2024 8:53 PM
103	No	11/20/2024 8:53 PM
104	Would prefer no development	11/20/2024 8:12 PM
105	This should be the only plan! Keep nature natural!	11/20/2024 7:50 PM
106	This one keeps with the character of existing rosy mound, which people love!	11/20/2024 7:12 PM
107	It is not necessary to have more than 50 parking spaces	11/20/2024 6:42 PM
108	None	11/20/2024 6:34 PM
109	Na	11/20/2024 6:31 PM
110	More beach access and parking	11/20/2024 6:07 PM
111	All set	11/20/2024 6:01 PM
112	This is the correct way to go	11/20/2024 5:47 PM
113	N/A	11/20/2024 5:45 PM
114	The dunes should stay as untouched as possible	11/20/2024 5:43 PM
115	N/A	11/20/2024 5:41 PM
116	Mine	11/20/2024 5:30 PM
117	The natural surface trails (which by description are not natural surface) will forever negatively alter the ecosystem of the northern part of the park. More people, less wildlife (except deer). No more fox dens.;-(Aren't there enough hiking trails in Hofma and Hoffmaster for woods hikes? Do we need to spoil this beautiful forest? Use the sand mined area since it has already been irreparably damaged. Protect the northern forest area.	11/20/2024 5:06 PM
118	N/a	11/20/2024 4:58 PM
119	I think this is a great plan.	11/20/2024 4:57 PM
120	.	11/20/2024 4:15 PM
121	None	11/20/2024 3:40 PM
122	KEEP THE ENVIRONMENT AS UNDISRUPTED AS POSSIBLE. GIVE THE WILDLIFE A CHANCE.	11/20/2024 3:31 PM
123	Make paths wheelchair accessible	11/20/2024 3:24 PM
124	No comment.	11/20/2024 3:22 PM
125	None	11/20/2024 3:21 PM
126	None	11/20/2024 3:14 PM
127	Keep it simple with minimal unnatural elements.	11/20/2024 2:33 PM
128	50 space parking lot is too small	11/20/2024 2:07 PM
129	.	11/20/2024 1:34 PM
130	No	11/20/2024 12:54 PM
131	Don't do anything	11/20/2024 12:42 PM
132	I want minimal.	11/20/2024 12:39 PM
133	I have nothing to add	11/20/2024 11:59 AM
134	n/a	11/20/2024 11:52 AM

135	The more minimal the better	11/20/2024 11:50 AM
136	Minimal to no impact is better	11/20/2024 11:47 AM
137	None	11/20/2024 11:46 AM
138	None	11/20/2024 11:31 AM
139	Rosie mound is ann area simply to get exercise steps in. The final destination of going over the dune looking at Lake Michigan is quite beautiful, but anything beyond that with paved trails with crushed stone or wooden planks to walk on tracks from the natural beauty of the area. Rosie mound with an additional parking area, keeps it in its natural state.	11/20/2024 11:23 AM
140	Na	11/20/2024 11:16 AM
141	Why not make the parking lot just stone, not paved. This would require alot less maintenance and cost and is more natural.	11/20/2024 10:24 AM
142	permeable surface parking lot instead of cement. cement will cause more runoff.	11/20/2024 10:02 AM
143	Na	11/20/2024 9:49 AM
144	this plan aligns with my envisioned use for the space. natural surface trails, even though that allow mountain biking, are minimal impact to the natural area.	11/20/2024 9:45 AM
145	I appreciate how open the natural restoration area is for this plan.	11/20/2024 9:37 AM
146	None	11/20/2024 9:24 AM
147	I would prefer the area be kept as is.	11/20/2024 9:12 AM
148	Love doing stairs	11/20/2024 8:43 AM
149	None	11/20/2024 8:25 AM
150	No development	11/20/2024 8:23 AM
151	Add the east trail connection from the full utilization to connect the parking lots	11/20/2024 8:15 AM
152	A crushed stone path in a sandy area seems difficult to maintain	11/20/2024 7:55 AM
153	Adding the east trail shown in the full plan would be a nice addition.	11/20/2024 7:29 AM
154	Keep it simple	11/20/2024 7:12 AM
155	This one is the best!	11/20/2024 7:10 AM
156	Just 'some' picnic tables. With a picnic shelter you definitely will need more parking. That will bring larger numbers of people in...not always ideal.	11/19/2024 11:16 PM
157	A 50 car parking lot is too large.	11/19/2024 10:58 PM
158	Love that it is accessible for all	11/19/2024 10:37 PM
159	None	11/19/2024 10:30 PM
160	NA	11/19/2024 10:29 PM
161	None.	11/19/2024 10:28 PM
162	50 person picnic shelter. That seems excessive. Is there data to show one that large is worth the investment?	11/19/2024 10:11 PM
163	A lot of the proposal is not minimal impact	11/19/2024 9:39 PM
164	I'd like it even more with a natural playscape.	11/19/2024 9:13 PM
165	We don't need anything beyond designated trails to keep the area as close to natural habitat as possible	11/19/2024 9:07 PM
166	Add a disc golf course.	11/19/2024 8:56 PM
167	Na	11/19/2024 8:31 PM
168	None	11/19/2024 8:25 PM

169	Prefer less pavement and gathering places, more nature and paths to explore	11/19/2024 8:24 PM
170	Minimal plans are best. Keep public spaces full of nature, it's what is best for our brains, and wildlife.	11/19/2024 8:21 PM
171	Excellent ideas.	11/19/2024 8:11 PM
172	None	11/19/2024 7:44 PM
173	NA	11/19/2024 7:42 PM
174	Leave it natural	11/19/2024 7:30 PM
175	Na	11/19/2024 7:15 PM
176	None	11/19/2024 7:07 PM
177	None	11/19/2024 5:21 PM
178	None	11/19/2024 5:13 PM
179	N/a	11/19/2024 4:16 PM
180	Dog park or dog friendly areas	11/19/2024 4:02 PM
181	No	11/19/2024 3:50 PM
182	N/a	11/19/2024 3:47 PM
183	None	11/19/2024 2:06 PM
184	None	11/19/2024 1:43 PM
185	I always feel like picnic shelters are sort of unnecessary. They get dirty and are difficult to maintain.	11/19/2024 1:24 PM
186	Benches	11/19/2024 1:10 PM
187	Needs a playground area near the shelter	11/19/2024 1:09 PM
188	Preference to keep as much foliage as possible.	11/19/2024 12:55 PM
189	N/a	11/19/2024 12:53 PM
190	Na	11/19/2024 12:50 PM
191	It would be fantastic if it was this with trailheads connected.	11/19/2024 12:49 PM
192	Love it!	11/19/2024 12:35 PM
193	None	11/19/2024 12:28 PM
194	I like the trail connections. I am okay with trail improvements that help prevent erosion and habitat degradation.	11/19/2024 12:25 PM
195	it looks good. I would like to see more habitat restoration if the minimal plan is the goal	11/19/2024 12:24 PM
196	N/A	11/19/2024 11:56 AM
197	Less is more	11/19/2024 11:48 AM
198	Less is more	11/19/2024 11:34 AM
199	I do not think this is a good site for biking. Water for drinking and clean-up and restrooms are good features.	11/19/2024 11:33 AM
200	None	11/19/2024 11:25 AM
201	Looking through this plan as I stated before the room for change and also space for current ecosystems to thrive is important and shown the most in this plan. I see the amount of incorporation of places for people to socialize for example, the trails but with that also maintaining natural space.	11/19/2024 10:28 AM
202	As a sault ste marie chippewa tribe, and ottawa tribe native. These natural places are	11/19/2024 10:09 AM

	increasingly important to my family and many others.	
203	We already have a barrier free trail at rosy mound that is really nice.	11/19/2024 9:10 AM
204	No bike trails, bikes tear up the land and bikers do not share the trails.	11/19/2024 8:52 AM
205	n/a	11/19/2024 8:42 AM
206	I like the size of the parking and picnic shelters in this plan.	11/19/2024 8:03 AM
207	Insufficient paved parking	11/19/2024 7:52 AM
208	thank you for preserving the space!	11/19/2024 7:40 AM
209	Consider a natural surface parking area to reduce runoff	11/19/2024 7:32 AM
210	I believe that all trails within the critical dune should be left natural sand surface	11/19/2024 7:30 AM
211	Looks good. With such large shelters accommodating so many people I do worry about trash.	11/19/2024 7:29 AM
212	N/A	11/19/2024 7:25 AM
213	None	11/19/2024 7:15 AM
214	We are spending a lot on parks, which we have a lot of already!	11/19/2024 7:08 AM
215	None	11/19/2024 7:05 AM
216	Adding birding options from the hybrid option would have limited impact to the wildlife and would allow for more observation of species during migration.	11/19/2024 6:58 AM
217	None	11/19/2024 6:55 AM
218	None	11/19/2024 6:40 AM
219	I like the natural restoration idea	11/19/2024 6:05 AM
220	Proper trash receptacles	11/19/2024 5:49 AM
221	Na	11/19/2024 5:41 AM
222	This is the ideal plan for parking lot size, but could use more trails.	11/19/2024 1:44 AM
223	As minimal as possible should be your number one priority, regardless of what people tell you on this survey. The majority of habitat in Eastern North America is either forever GONE or permananetly/indefinitely/dramatically ALTERED or damaged and fragmented. Invasives everywhere, and little bits of property gobbled up at an astronomical pace. MINIMAL should be the priority if you are to be serious about conservation, preservation, restoration, stewardship, and subsequently the education unto the public of all prior mentioned fundamental essentials of our reality.	11/19/2024 1:32 AM
224	I think this one has the least disturbance in mind, and i appreciate that	11/18/2024 11:09 PM
225	Less is more	11/18/2024 11:01 PM
226	Great but I love the new additions in the other plans.	11/18/2024 10:46 PM
227	None	11/18/2024 10:41 PM
228	N/a	11/18/2024 10:22 PM
229	I think 50 parking spaces are too many.	11/18/2024 10:21 PM
230	If going minimal, best to go as minimal as possible to allow locals to enjoy the area more	11/18/2024 10:15 PM
231	Na	11/18/2024 10:11 PM
232	Leave as much natural as possible.	11/18/2024 10:01 PM
233	Would like 75 parking spots and a beach bathroom	11/18/2024 9:55 PM
234	More trails. Less buildings. Nature nearby is the key.	11/18/2024 9:46 PM
235	Love it	11/18/2024 9:39 PM

236	I love that it seems to honor the simplicity of the park.	11/18/2024 9:38 PM
237	No	11/18/2024 9:28 PM
238	Make this low impact	11/18/2024 9:24 PM
239	DONT DO ANY OF IT	11/18/2024 9:18 PM
240	I like the idea of keeping the area as natural as possible. Ottawa county has a lot of great parks, especially in the area, such as Kirk Park that can accommodate family's and large groups of people. I don't think we need another park that eliminates nature to build new structures. I would love to see this plan enacted that keeps the landscape as similar to how it currently is as possible. One edit that I would add to this plan is to incorporate some more of the trails outlined in the hybrid model into this minimal impact plan. I want to see this area become a peaceful space with trails rather than a community gathering area.	11/18/2024 9:16 PM
241	Additional trails.	11/18/2024 9:15 PM
242	N/a	11/18/2024 9:08 PM
243	25 to 30 parking spaces.	11/18/2024 9:04 PM
244	Encourage hikers to take advantage of the existing bike path on Lakeshore Drive if they get turned around and need to travel from one parking lot to the other. Any design will require a more robust trail marking system.	11/18/2024 8:39 PM
245	N/a	11/18/2024 8:32 PM
246	None	11/18/2024 8:31 PM
247	N/A	11/18/2024 8:29 PM
248	N/A	11/18/2024 8:24 PM
249	This is best	11/18/2024 8:23 PM
250	Modern bathrooms is great for hikers. Love Barrier free options.	11/18/2024 8:10 PM
251	NA	11/18/2024 7:58 PM
252	None	11/18/2024 7:56 PM
253	I think the parking lot should be at least a little bigger only because people will park on the grass or along the road if it gets full	11/18/2024 7:51 PM
254	N/a	11/18/2024 7:48 PM
255	N/A	11/18/2024 7:47 PM
256	I'm sure it will be beautiful.	11/18/2024 7:28 PM
257	N/A	11/18/2024 7:21 PM
258	I like the accessible path as an option	11/18/2024 7:20 PM
259	None	11/18/2024 7:19 PM
260	N/A	11/18/2024 7:18 PM
261	Try to minimize development please	11/18/2024 6:56 PM
262	Make it smaller	11/18/2024 6:54 PM
263	Will the existing parking lot and trail head remain? The proposed work is isolated from the existing until you get to the beach. Some more connecting trails between the north and south sides would be a good idea.	11/18/2024 6:42 PM
264	There's an even more minimal impact plan than you are considering. Please stop this nonsense.	11/18/2024 6:41 PM
265	N/a	11/18/2024 6:40 PM
266	Restrooms not needed at both parking lots in my opinion	11/18/2024 6:33 PM

267	Some great things	11/18/2024 6:28 PM
268	This proposal is the best option given the access to the natural area for all	11/18/2024 6:15 PM
269	Please less impact on the natural setting.	11/18/2024 5:54 PM
270	N/a	11/18/2024 4:06 PM
271	Na	11/18/2024 3:44 PM
272	Would like an enclosed building for bad weather with school groups	11/18/2024 3:39 PM
273	LEAVE IT AS IS!!	11/18/2024 3:35 PM
274	NA	11/18/2024 3:12 PM
275	I like all the features	11/18/2024 2:12 PM
276	No development.	11/18/2024 1:02 PM
277	There needs to be more dog friendly stations	11/18/2024 12:06 PM
278	Leave it for nature. Nature already has so few places to be wild without humans encroaching	11/18/2024 10:43 AM
279	The preference of OIAS is the minimal impact option with the exception that the additional trails/overlook options could be provided from the hybrid option to allow for more hiking opportunities and hawk watching viewing points.	11/18/2024 4:59 AM
280	Preservation of critical dune in as natural state as possible	11/18/2024 4:59 AM
281	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/18/2024 2:07 AM
282	My preference is to leave this land and property as natural as possible.	11/17/2024 9:58 PM
283	I'd like to see the trails and connector loops added to increase hiking options. I like how this plan made brains more natural space.	11/17/2024 8:57 PM
284	Sustaining natural and native plant species	11/17/2024 8:56 PM
285	none	11/17/2024 5:07 PM
286	I would love to see a natural playground added to the minimal expansion plan. As a mother, grandmother and retired teacher of 40 years having a play and green space near the picnic areas and restroom would be very valuable. I really like the natural climbing structures found at Ottawa Discovery Center in Holland.	11/17/2024 3:23 PM
287	I think both picnic areas could be set for 25.	11/17/2024 3:11 PM
288	Na	11/17/2024 2:58 PM
289	Like preservation of rustic nature of the park	11/17/2024 2:17 PM
290	None	11/17/2024 1:40 PM
291	As mentioned above, we need spaces with minimal development!	11/17/2024 12:55 PM
292	Hopefully a ski trail	11/17/2024 10:32 AM
293	Minimal impact means little development	11/17/2024 10:24 AM
294	No development	11/17/2024 7:04 AM
295	No development	11/17/2024 6:59 AM
296	Picnic tables are okay, but they should be spread out with a little privacy, and placed under trees for shelter. If there are not any trees plant them, and make sure that there is dripline irrigation the keep them healthy during the areas frequent dry spells.	11/17/2024 6:52 AM
297	No development please leave as is	11/17/2024 6:10 AM
298	Less development is better	11/17/2024 2:01 AM
299	We want a natural area, not a park.	11/16/2024 9:48 PM
300	Prefer the smaller number of parking spaces to minimize impact.	11/16/2024 9:34 PM

301	Stop developing all of our natural areas.	11/16/2024 6:49 PM
302	No development please	11/16/2024 4:52 PM
303	I don't think I've ever seen anywhere near 50 cars at Rosy Mound (I've hiked there hundreds of times over the past 20 years). I think as much of the fragile dune landscape should be preserved as possible - skip the picnic area,	11/16/2024 4:38 PM
304	I feel a picnic area of great then 25 could cause too much negative traffic that could hinder the wild life	11/16/2024 1:04 PM
305	No development	11/16/2024 11:17 AM
306	No development	11/16/2024 11:04 AM
307	In the interest of least environmental impact and disturbance to an area already healing and cost to the taxpayer in both implementing and maintaining, this is the common sense choice.	11/16/2024 9:59 AM
308	Minimal impact or just no impact. We do not need to impact more than we already have	11/16/2024 9:28 AM
309	My favorite plan. We'll thought out and brings value to the community by way of nature.	11/16/2024 9:07 AM
310	I like this plan but wish it included the natural playscape as well	11/16/2024 9:03 AM
311	NO DEVELOPMENT!	11/16/2024 8:27 AM
312	Why the need to develop	11/16/2024 8:23 AM
313	No new development	11/16/2024 2:07 AM
314	Keep wildlife in the wild!	11/15/2024 10:33 PM
315	NO DEVELOPMENT!	11/15/2024 9:49 PM
316	I don't think we need this to be developed. It is right next to an area that is developed for people to enjoy. Leave this area for the native plants and animals	11/15/2024 9:42 PM
317	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/15/2024 9:26 PM
318	No development	11/15/2024 9:08 PM
319	Leave it natural. We have lots of parks	11/15/2024 8:45 PM
320	Keep it as natural as possible.	11/15/2024 8:09 PM
321	Looks nice	11/15/2024 7:33 PM
322	I believe that this plan effectively addresses the current and foreseeable needs for Rosy Mound.	11/15/2024 5:21 PM
323	Na	11/15/2024 4:36 PM
324	please keep natural.	11/15/2024 1:37 PM
325	No development	11/15/2024 1:32 PM
326	No Development	11/15/2024 12:44 PM
327	Na	11/15/2024 12:27 PM
328	Please consider how any proposed changes could threaten a disturbance to local flora and fauna. I	11/15/2024 12:27 PM
329	That area is becoming very congested- please leave it as close to as is as possible	11/15/2024 12:10 PM
330	Really like these options	11/15/2024 11:33 AM
331	Although I like the minimal impact idea the most, it doesn't seem like the overlook needs to be discluded. I worry it's not quite enough parking, but the other parking options I do not like.	11/15/2024 11:27 AM
332	No development	11/15/2024 10:47 AM
333	It's my preferred plan	11/15/2024 9:50 AM

334	As an equestrian I would love to see more equestrian trails in Ottawa County. However, I understand the use issues.	11/15/2024 9:45 AM
335	Should be the only plan considered	11/15/2024 9:27 AM
336	Using natural materials from the area to make things blend better with the area	11/15/2024 9:22 AM
337	There is no need for a new parking lot. Everytime I go to rosy mound the parking lot isn't even half full	11/15/2024 9:10 AM
338	If you allow big parties by providing shelter for them it will encourage misuse of the land. People will disobey any rules set forth, will leave trash behind, feed the wildlife which could be very harmful to them, and disrespect the natural and beautiful land.	11/15/2024 8:55 AM
339	Just leave the area alone	11/15/2024 8:46 AM
340	No development	11/15/2024 8:45 AM
341	Leave wild	11/15/2024 8:38 AM
342	Appreciative to the new efforts to improve this childhood favorite park ❤️	11/15/2024 8:35 AM
343	This is animal and nature's home. Human traffic and movement of nature will expidit erosion. Plus man is it's biggest foe.	11/15/2024 8:14 AM
344	Less is more	11/15/2024 8:08 AM
345	As an avid cyclist, I am not sure bikes will go over so well on these trails due to the short distance and heavy foot traffic. Would love to see a place to keep/lock up bikes in the shelter	11/15/2024 7:57 AM
346	We do not need to develop this. Preserve it!	11/15/2024 7:47 AM
347	No development	11/15/2024 7:40 AM
348	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/15/2024 7:34 AM
349	The lesser of all the evils	11/15/2024 7:05 AM
350	Na	11/15/2024 7:01 AM
351	Even the minimal impact plan has way too much development! Bus parking! No this area does not need an influx of people. It is one of the few peaceful areas near the beach and the wildlife that would be disturbed by this development deserves a safe sanctuary.	11/15/2024 6:47 AM
352	Leave the natural area natural. Please don't develop it	11/15/2024 4:50 AM
353	No development	11/15/2024 12:35 AM
354	Don't like the picnic areas. Like the restrooms.	11/14/2024 11:11 PM
355	Please keep the barrier-free loop. My young daughter is special needs. Access to nature and outdoor activities is invaluable to our family.	11/14/2024 10:43 PM
356	Listen to what the people want. There is so much development everywhere. Please keep it as undeveloped as possible. Thank you.	11/14/2024 9:46 PM
357	Leave as is.	11/14/2024 9:19 PM
358	Don't modernize it. Leave it alone and natural. People are horrible. I was at Ottawa sands last weekend and the amount of trash I picked up from trashy people was excessive. People suck.	11/14/2024 8:59 PM
359	Dog friendly spaces for dogs to run and play.	11/14/2024 8:48 PM
360	I don't care for the minimal impact plan. We already have lots of parks around here just like that	11/14/2024 8:40 PM
361	Remove the crushed stone, keep natural	11/14/2024 8:35 PM
362	Leave the area natural	11/14/2024 8:30 PM
363	NA	11/14/2024 8:24 PM
364	NA	11/14/2024 8:23 PM

365	None	11/14/2024 8:20 PM
366	Ok	11/14/2024 8:14 PM
367	No new developments	11/14/2024 8:12 PM
368	Keep nature natural	11/14/2024 8:08 PM
369	N/A	11/14/2024 8:07 PM
370	Leave it as is!	11/14/2024 7:59 PM
371	-	11/14/2024 7:49 PM
372	No development or minimal development	11/14/2024 7:33 PM
373	None, leave the area alone	11/14/2024 7:31 PM
374	No development	11/14/2024 7:28 PM
375	This is what our planet needs - minimal impact from humans	11/14/2024 7:23 PM
376	n/a	11/14/2024 7:23 PM
377	No development	11/14/2024 7:16 PM
378	NA	11/14/2024 7:09 PM
379	I like the bike repair station.	11/14/2024 7:05 PM
380	No development	11/14/2024 7:00 PM
381	NO MORE OVERDEVELOPMENT!!!	11/14/2024 6:56 PM
382	Stop trying to develop nature and let's leave it natural	11/14/2024 6:53 PM
383	No development unless dogs are allowed! Beach access as well, minimal development only, include dogs, think out of the box	11/14/2024 6:49 PM
384	NO DEVELOPMENT!	11/14/2024 6:44 PM
385	Any amount of development is too much! I do not agree with developing this area in any way.	11/14/2024 6:38 PM
386	No development	11/14/2024 6:28 PM
387	No development	11/14/2024 6:19 PM
388	I don't want the wildlife home to disappear.	11/14/2024 6:15 PM
389	NO DEVELOPMENT NEEDED, perfect the way it is	11/14/2024 6:08 PM
390	I appreciate the design of a minimal impact plan. I think it is the best option aesthetically and in overall impact on the area.	11/14/2024 6:07 PM
391	Porto Johns, allow leashed dogs,	11/14/2024 5:55 PM
392	It's great like it is.	11/14/2024 5:55 PM
393	No development	11/14/2024 5:42 PM
394	Dont do it	11/14/2024 5:31 PM
395	No development is best. Leave it a nature preserve	11/14/2024 5:28 PM
396	Having a designated walking space is ideal to protect surrounding land	11/14/2024 5:24 PM
397	No development	11/14/2024 5:15 PM
398	Don't develop!	11/14/2024 5:03 PM
399	No development	11/14/2024 4:58 PM
400	Just please keep nature in mind!	11/14/2024 4:49 PM
401	No development at all. Leave it for the animals we keep taking their homes away	11/14/2024 4:48 PM

402	Minimal literally means minimal leave it basic	11/14/2024 4:42 PM
403	Keep it as natural as possible	11/14/2024 4:40 PM
404	If you have a picnic area, it would bring more visitors and more trash	11/14/2024 4:34 PM
405	No development	11/14/2024 4:20 PM
406	No development preferred	11/14/2024 4:13 PM
407	Less is better	11/14/2024 3:56 PM
408	Prefer it to be left undeveloped.	11/14/2024 3:51 PM
409	No gravel trails	11/14/2024 3:48 PM
410	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 3:46 PM
411	No development	11/14/2024 3:41 PM
412	No development!	11/14/2024 3:41 PM
413	The installation of gravel or boardwalk trails will have too large of an impact off the habitats.	11/14/2024 3:39 PM
414	No development	11/14/2024 3:38 PM
415	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 3:37 PM
416	Love it	11/14/2024 3:31 PM
417	It should be extremely minimal as nature is more important than ever before to reserve right now.	11/14/2024 3:24 PM
418	Please don't do it. No development.	11/14/2024 3:22 PM
419	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 3:22 PM
420	Protect our natural resources!	11/14/2024 3:14 PM
421	No more development	11/14/2024 3:12 PM
422	No development!	11/14/2024 3:10 PM
423	.	11/14/2024 3:08 PM
424	Keep it as natural as possible	11/14/2024 3:07 PM
425	No development	11/14/2024 3:00 PM
426	Leave it as it is.	11/14/2024 2:57 PM
427	This is the best plan, but would love to see some of the other trails incorporated into the plan.	11/14/2024 2:51 PM
428	No development	11/14/2024 2:50 PM
429	No DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 2:49 PM
430	No development please	11/14/2024 2:44 PM
431	Would need more information on what "natural restoration/habitat areas" means.	11/14/2024 2:43 PM
432	Na	11/14/2024 2:42 PM
433	There needs to be more natural areas and native plants.	11/14/2024 2:41 PM
434	Its fine how it is. No development.	11/14/2024 2:25 PM
435	Less is more	11/14/2024 2:24 PM
436	No development	11/14/2024 2:22 PM
437	None	11/14/2024 2:14 PM
438	No development	11/14/2024 2:03 PM
439	Na	11/14/2024 1:59 PM

440	less is more	11/14/2024 1:54 PM
441	No development	11/14/2024 1:54 PM
442	I know trail building without gravel can be tough when it comes to erosion, but dirt trails are great.	11/14/2024 1:54 PM
443	No development	11/14/2024 1:49 PM
444	Leave it alone	11/14/2024 1:46 PM
445	NO DEVELOPMENT IS NEEDED	11/14/2024 1:44 PM
446	No development.	11/14/2024 1:38 PM
447	No development or keep it Very minimal! Allow leashed dogs. I could see some kind of restroom but no to picnic shelters. That just invites parties & more Trash!	11/14/2024 1:38 PM
448	No further development, please	11/14/2024 1:31 PM
449	No development	11/14/2024 1:31 PM
450	n/a	11/14/2024 1:30 PM
451	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 1:28 PM
452	There are plenty of parks with modern facilities already	11/14/2024 1:24 PM
453	na	11/14/2024 1:23 PM
454	lots of space	11/14/2024 1:22 PM
455	No development	11/14/2024 1:21 PM
456	no development	11/14/2024 1:19 PM
457	I'm concerned about how often the barrier free paths will need to be repaired/replaced and habitat disruption from the construction process. It's a great idea to make the paths accessible for people with disabilities, but concrete may not hold up well over root systems and sand.	11/14/2024 1:16 PM
458	Do not develop this area please we're begging	11/14/2024 1:15 PM
459	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 1:14 PM
460	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 1:11 PM
461	Least amount of change	11/14/2024 1:04 PM
462	NO Development	11/14/2024 1:03 PM
463	NO DEVELOPEMENT!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! People want to enjoy the land in it's natural state	11/14/2024 1:03 PM
464	No development is best.	11/14/2024 1:03 PM
465	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 1:02 PM
466	No development	11/14/2024 1:02 PM
467	No development, this land needs to be protected	11/14/2024 1:00 PM
468	No development	11/14/2024 12:59 PM
469	Leave it alone	11/14/2024 12:57 PM
470	No development	11/14/2024 12:57 PM
471	N/A	11/14/2024 12:53 PM
472	No development	11/14/2024 12:53 PM
473	No development	11/14/2024 12:52 PM
474	Love all the trails and habitat restoration areas but not the buildings and parking spaces.	11/14/2024 12:52 PM
475	Na	11/14/2024 12:51 PM

476	No development	11/14/2024 12:50 PM
477	No development	11/14/2024 12:48 PM
478	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 12:47 PM
479	Keep it simple	11/14/2024 12:47 PM
480	No development	11/14/2024 12:47 PM
481	No development	11/14/2024 12:46 PM
482	Picnic tables are fine but the shelter is an unnecessary invitation for litter	11/14/2024 12:46 PM
483	This is the best plan	11/14/2024 12:41 PM
484	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 12:40 PM
485	No development	11/14/2024 12:40 PM
486	Minimize detrimental impact to the ecosystem	11/14/2024 12:39 PM
487	please no development	11/14/2024 12:38 PM
488	Additional trails from hybrid option/overlooks could be considered.	11/14/2024 12:37 PM
489	I do not support any development	11/14/2024 12:37 PM
490	Add more trails from the other plans but leave the rest as is!	11/14/2024 12:37 PM
491	No development	11/14/2024 12:37 PM
492	No Development	11/14/2024 12:37 PM
493	No development	11/14/2024 12:36 PM
494	No Development	11/14/2024 12:36 PM
495	Add additional trails	11/14/2024 12:36 PM
496	No Development!	11/14/2024 12:33 PM
497	Leave it natural as it is	11/14/2024 12:31 PM
498	Na	11/14/2024 12:27 PM
499	No development	11/14/2024 12:27 PM
500	Please be so mindful of the existing nature with any changes you make. The years to come need more nature and fewer gazebos.	11/14/2024 12:27 PM
501	Again, this is a unique opportunity to conserve the natural aspect of Rosy Mound. If it is developed, it will never be undeveloped. There will be no going back.	11/14/2024 12:22 PM
502	No development please	11/14/2024 12:22 PM
503	Do as little as possible for improvement	11/14/2024 12:21 PM
504	Leave it alone I say no development	11/14/2024 12:21 PM
505	Don't need a bike repair station unless this is a bike park/trail.	11/14/2024 12:21 PM
506	Keep as natural as possible	11/14/2024 12:20 PM
507	Leave as undeveloped as possible	11/14/2024 12:19 PM
508	Let it stay natural	11/14/2024 12:15 PM
509	None	11/14/2024 12:14 PM
510	Keep it MINIMAL.	11/14/2024 12:13 PM
511	More trails would be nice.	11/14/2024 12:11 PM
512	No development	11/14/2024 12:09 PM

513	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 12:08 PM
514	NO DEVELOPMENT.	11/14/2024 12:08 PM
515	Again I prefer no development	11/14/2024 12:08 PM
516	Could do more	11/14/2024 12:07 PM
517	None	11/14/2024 12:06 PM
518	Please allow wildlife and plants to live naturally with minimal to no impact to their environment	11/14/2024 12:06 PM
519	Thanks!	11/14/2024 12:04 PM
520	No need for picnic shelter	11/14/2024 12:03 PM
521	None	11/14/2024 11:59 AM
522	NA	11/14/2024 11:59 AM
523	Keep it basic, bathrooms, natural trails	11/14/2024 11:57 AM
524	No development	11/14/2024 11:55 AM
525	DO NOT DEVELOP	11/14/2024 11:55 AM
526	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 11:55 AM
527	No Developement!	11/14/2024 11:55 AM
528	None at this time	11/14/2024 11:54 AM
529	Please do not allow any development anywhere near this special place for natural habitat to preserve it. Once lost you can't get it back.	11/14/2024 11:53 AM
530	Leave it be.	11/14/2024 11:52 AM
531	Keep it as natural as possible.	11/14/2024 11:50 AM
532	mountain bike skills park or pump track	11/14/2024 11:50 AM
533	Don't do anything. Leave it alone	11/14/2024 11:49 AM
534	Keep as much as there as possible. Can walk in there fine. Don't need pavement on trails.	11/14/2024 11:49 AM
535	No development!	11/14/2024 11:48 AM
536	The only thing that should be allowed is the natural habitat. People do not need more space! We have so much of it already.	11/14/2024 11:48 AM
537	Little to no development so we can not disrupt the animals	11/14/2024 11:47 AM
538	Land should remain natural.	11/14/2024 11:46 AM
539	This is the best choice. I'd be on board with promotion of native restoration in even larger areas.	11/14/2024 11:46 AM
540	Again, no development would be preferred.	11/14/2024 11:45 AM
541	No development. Leave as is.	11/14/2024 11:44 AM
542	No development leave the parks and lands alone, do not develop the land	11/14/2024 11:44 AM
543	None	11/14/2024 11:44 AM
544	Prefer no development	11/14/2024 11:44 AM
545	Rosy Mound is large enough as it is. The piece of property in question is a stunning plot that needs to be protected at all costs.	11/14/2024 11:43 AM
546	No development	11/14/2024 11:43 AM
547	No development	11/14/2024 11:42 AM
548	No development	11/14/2024 11:42 AM

549	No development	11/14/2024 11:41 AM
550	DO NOT DEVELOP	11/14/2024 11:41 AM
551	No development	11/14/2024 11:40 AM
552	I would like to accommodate people to a very limited extent, leaving the natural areas natural.	11/14/2024 11:40 AM
553	No development	11/14/2024 11:40 AM
554	Keep it minimal!	11/14/2024 11:38 AM
555	No development	11/14/2024 11:37 AM
556	Minimal does not feel minimal. Could be pared down even further.	11/14/2024 11:37 AM
557	DONT IMPACT AT ALL	11/14/2024 11:36 AM
558	No development	11/14/2024 11:36 AM
559	No development is best.	11/14/2024 11:35 AM
560	Less development is best.	11/14/2024 11:34 AM
561	No Development	11/14/2024 11:34 AM
562	N/A	11/14/2024 11:33 AM
563	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 11:33 AM
564	No development	11/14/2024 11:33 AM
565	I don't have any other comments.	11/14/2024 11:33 AM
566	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 11:33 AM
567	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 11:32 AM
568	NO IMPACT	11/14/2024 11:32 AM
569	none	11/14/2024 11:32 AM
570	If I had to choose a plan, the less impact the better. I'd prefer NO DEVELOPMENT.	11/14/2024 11:32 AM
571	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 11:31 AM
572	No lark	11/14/2024 11:30 AM
573	No development	11/14/2024 11:30 AM
574	No development	11/14/2024 11:29 AM
575	Keep as natural as possible. More for local residents and less to attract tourists	11/14/2024 11:29 AM
576	No development	11/14/2024 11:29 AM
577	No development	11/14/2024 11:28 AM
578	No changes to park	11/14/2024 11:28 AM
579	No development	11/14/2024 11:27 AM
580	We need to disrupt wildlife as minimally as possible	11/14/2024 11:27 AM
581	No development	11/14/2024 6:44 AM
582	Leave it alone.	11/14/2024 5:07 AM
583	I am voting for no development at all in solidarity with the majority who do not want any development of this land.	11/13/2024 10:39 PM
584	Prefer NO DEVELOPMENT!	11/13/2024 10:09 PM
585	No Development	11/13/2024 9:52 PM
586	Minimal is better for all	11/13/2024 9:32 PM

587	Na	11/13/2024 8:58 PM
588	NO development	11/13/2024 8:29 PM
589	No development	11/13/2024 8:11 PM
590	Minimal impact...keep Rosy unique	11/13/2024 7:48 PM
591	No development	11/13/2024 5:59 PM
592	Absolutely no development. Stop destroying our Mother Earth.	11/13/2024 5:56 PM
593	I really like the area of a natural restoration area right near the parking that is visible to all.	11/13/2024 4:36 PM
594	No development	11/13/2024 2:17 PM
595	a shelter for 50 people encourages large gatherings - a wonderful night spot for partying youth. Large gatherings also mean extra maintenance for park staff. Staffing needs to be considered with each option.	11/13/2024 1:47 PM
596	NA	11/13/2024 1:47 PM
597	.	11/13/2024 1:39 PM
598	No development	11/13/2024 1:29 PM
599	Not developing the area would be fantastic.	11/13/2024 1:21 PM
600	It would be good to tie the existing park into the new one a little sooner vs. so far in. Maybe even a loop so that either could be start and end without backtracking.	11/13/2024 12:58 PM
601	Let it remain a natural environment zone. Humans don't need more "play/ park space" especially not in already undeveloped pockets of nature. We have back yards and city parks for this.	11/13/2024 11:09 AM
602	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/13/2024 10:54 AM
603	Na	11/13/2024 10:41 AM
604	No development	11/13/2024 10:37 AM
605	No	11/13/2024 10:17 AM
606	None	11/13/2024 9:26 AM
607	I prefer natural ground cover over crushed stone. It's more spongy to walk on and quieter.	11/13/2024 8:03 AM
608	No development	11/13/2024 7:12 AM
609	N/a	11/12/2024 10:22 PM
610	No development	11/12/2024 9:50 PM
611	We want beach/lake overlook decks!	11/12/2024 5:38 PM
612	Thank you for your work on the conceptualizations.	11/12/2024 3:30 PM
613	The minimal impact plan is the best plan in regards to dune preservation. The less amount of people we have out there trampling all over the better. It also keeps Rosy Mound, in general, quaint. A nice, quiet, out of the way park that won't get overrun by tourists in the summer time.	11/12/2024 3:23 PM
614	This is a good plan that uses taxpayer resources effectively.	11/12/2024 1:42 PM
615	No development	11/12/2024 12:50 PM
616	add natural playcape to this to be more appealing to me	11/12/2024 11:51 AM
617	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/12/2024 10:48 AM
618	N/a	11/12/2024 10:35 AM
619	Should include trail to existing parking.	11/12/2024 10:00 AM
620	Please don't develop at all	11/12/2024 9:25 AM

621	Love this one!!! More trails, and just the right amount of additional parking. Not overwhelming.	11/12/2024 9:17 AM
622	.	11/12/2024 8:33 AM
623	I would rather there be no further development at Rosy Mound	11/12/2024 8:20 AM
624	Leave it alone!!!	11/12/2024 8:15 AM
625	Good plan	11/12/2024 7:58 AM
626	N/A	11/12/2024 6:31 AM
627	The only think I would not be upset about is maybe natural surface trails. I still believe it should be left as much alone as possible.	11/12/2024 4:58 AM
628	None	11/12/2024 4:52 AM
629	No development	11/12/2024 12:55 AM
630	Love it can be minimal and accessible!!	11/11/2024 10:41 PM
631	Wood boardwalks are preferred over crushed rock	11/11/2024 10:26 PM
632	Protect maximum natural habitat	11/11/2024 10:11 PM
633	Allow dogs in the trails	11/11/2024 9:59 PM
634	No development	11/11/2024 9:42 PM
635	Would love to see a mountain bike loop	11/11/2024 9:17 PM
636	No development	11/11/2024 9:12 PM
637	No development	11/11/2024 8:50 PM
638	The less impact to the ecosystem, the better.	11/11/2024 8:22 PM
639	Let it be. Maybe a few single track hiking trails connected from the already existing trails.	11/11/2024 8:07 PM
640	No development or changes	11/11/2024 8:03 PM
641	Minimal development is best for this space	11/11/2024 7:55 PM
642	No development	11/11/2024 7:23 PM
643	I love the smaller footprint of the amenities. Rosy is such a gorgeous minimalist park and I'd love to see it retain that special character and charm.	11/11/2024 7:00 PM
644	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/11/2024 6:55 PM
645	None	11/11/2024 6:49 PM
646	Rosy Mound does need more parking.	11/11/2024 6:43 PM
647	No development	11/11/2024 5:39 PM
648	Let's make this section truly low impact	11/11/2024 3:26 PM
649	Stay away from north property line as possible	11/11/2024 1:37 PM
650	go small - see how much it is used dont ruin the natural beauty	11/11/2024 1:25 PM
651	The proposed location of parking area entrance is a busy area with school traffic and children. Further development would create additional danger with cars pulling in and out in the middle of a blind turn. There does not need to be an additional parking area and restroom. The existing parking area can be expanded with minimal impact and less cost.	11/11/2024 11:31 AM
652	Although this plan preserves more of nature, I think plans for more people would be a good idea since it is such a beautiful area.	11/11/2024 11:28 AM
653	Add more natural surface trails.	11/11/2024 11:27 AM
654	The existing Rosy Mound facilities should be expanded rather than the new proposed development.	11/11/2024 11:20 AM

655	Use the existing parking area and expand it.	11/11/2024 11:04 AM
656	Please don't develop; just protect the natural area	11/11/2024 10:37 AM
657	I would like to see maximum use of natural trail surface.	11/11/2024 10:30 AM
658	The minimal plan is the best. Stop ruining nature. Let it be simple	11/11/2024 10:08 AM
659	Na	11/11/2024 7:30 AM
660	None	11/11/2024 7:13 AM
661	I think this plan is in line with a nature preserve.	11/11/2024 6:47 AM
662	No development	11/11/2024 12:23 AM
663	No development	11/10/2024 8:40 PM
664	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/10/2024 7:50 PM
665	This shouldn't be a large group park. There are less sensitive parks that can provide rental facilities for groups	11/10/2024 7:16 PM
666	Needs a better connection between the beach and the new parking lot	11/10/2024 6:30 PM
667	Nothing additional.	11/10/2024 4:26 PM
668	Add additional trails that the full concept has.	11/10/2024 3:47 PM
669	Don't develop the land	11/10/2024 2:03 PM
670	None	11/10/2024 12:53 PM
671	No development, please!	11/10/2024 11:59 AM
672	Not applicable	11/10/2024 11:42 AM
673	Its the best plan . Do not build something that nobody uses keep it a natural area . That is what makes it great . I used to Toboggan down the slopes in the late 60s and 70s I like the way they made the park in North Muskegon the Luge park ..I watch the Helicopters bring the lumber for the first part of the park. Plant some dogwood trees in bare area near lot they are native or other rare native trees for study for school near the parking lot so as not to disturb the dune succession. . I studied it in college and lived near the beach and watched the changes thru the years . Go Bucks	11/10/2024 11:17 AM
674	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/10/2024 11:11 AM
675	No Development!!!!	11/10/2024 11:06 AM
676	No development!	11/10/2024 10:47 AM
677	The more natural, the better.	11/10/2024 10:02 AM
678	I want to feel like we are in the Rosy Mound NATURAL area, not the Rosy Mound curated park area.	11/10/2024 9:27 AM
679	N/A	11/10/2024 8:54 AM
680	It lacks trail diversity.	11/10/2024 8:42 AM
681	Instead of the large shelter I'd like to see a natural playscape for kids	11/10/2024 8:40 AM
682	Please do not develop this area.	11/10/2024 8:15 AM
683	You're impacting living beings by doing this.	11/10/2024 3:50 AM
684	None	11/10/2024 1:37 AM
685	N/A	11/9/2024 10:55 PM
686	This plan represents the best method to respect the property!	11/9/2024 10:43 PM
687	Looks good but could be used by more community members if more features are added.	11/9/2024 9:54 PM
688	NA	11/9/2024 9:47 PM

689	This would be ideal!	11/9/2024 8:41 PM
690	It's not minimal enough. I think I know this land, it's plants and animals better than you seem to OR you just don't care about them AT ALL. I'd love to open a more sincere dialogue about this matter with you. You can email me at spiritguidesgregory@gmail.com	11/9/2024 7:35 PM
691	Make it super minimal and more like an extension of the existing trails not a new development.	11/9/2024 6:11 PM
692	Consideration for bike repairs seems unnecessary as bikes are not allowed on the trails.	11/9/2024 4:40 PM
693	N/A	11/9/2024 3:57 PM
694	with this plan it feels like the existing entrance should receive the upgrades and the new area should just be adding trail length because other wise it almost looks like they are two unrelated areas with minimal connection	11/9/2024 11:28 AM
695	Less amenities more trails.	11/9/2024 11:07 AM
696	I approve of NONE of these features.	11/9/2024 10:36 AM
697	Like the plan - would like this base with some additional trails & look-outs from the hybrid. Maybe parking for 75 for hiking & 20 or so by a playscape. Not a fan of the shelter at a natural land site - don't see it as necessary. If shelter's are added, prefer to do smaller ones - maybe 3 smaller vs larger.	11/9/2024 10:20 AM
698	50 parking spaces seems small. Picnic shelters are always a good place to host family events	11/9/2024 9:24 AM
699	Keep this as a hidden gem. Don't expose it and ruin it!	11/9/2024 8:58 AM
700	New entrance and parking is wonderful	11/9/2024 7:41 AM
701	Keep it simple	11/9/2024 7:09 AM
702	No picnic areas, keep it a walking scenic park	11/9/2024 3:12 AM
703	N/a	11/8/2024 10:43 PM
704	None	11/8/2024 9:47 PM
705	Allowing bikes will cause erosion issues. There has *never* been an effective way to prevent this other than to restrict access to foot/wheelchair traffic only, especially on sand-based soils. Allow bikes and you will not have a natural area for long.	11/8/2024 8:50 PM
706	None	11/8/2024 5:28 PM
707	N/A	11/8/2024 5:13 PM
708	50 spaces seems too small. However, if you started with 50, would you be able to add to it if necessary	11/8/2024 5:01 PM
709	Add one bathroom near the lake on the north end of the park.	11/8/2024 4:30 PM
710	None	11/8/2024 3:17 PM
711	Minimal	11/8/2024 3:12 PM
712	I believe MORE trail systems would be beneficial. Parking for more than 50 (considering how small the Rosy Mound existing parking is) would be important. I like the smaller shelters for rentals - but don't see the need for larger shelter (as in bigger plan).	11/8/2024 3:06 PM
713	More trails - mainly connecting trail between the two parking lots. Consider putting the shelter by the old parking lot.	11/8/2024 2:37 PM
714	compostable toilet at north end of beach. Please leave some habitat for the eagles I see at the south end of Rosy Mound and a few steps at the end of the existing boardwalk to access the beach. Thanks. I understand this is outside the project boundaries.	11/8/2024 12:15 PM
715	A kids play area at the trailhead would be nice	11/8/2024 10:49 AM
716	Barrier free loop trail could be paved - it is in a disturbed habitat.	11/8/2024 10:04 AM
717	I like this plan but also see a lot of cars parked outside of the parking lot at the current entrance.	11/8/2024 9:25 AM


718	Unsure of need of all the extra amenities. Just need parking, bathroom, and trails. Focus on access.	11/8/2024 9:05 AM
719	The more usage area the better	11/8/2024 8:41 AM
720	The more you change the land.. the more upkeep there is.. all of that cost money...which there never is enough of..leave the land alone	11/8/2024 8:31 AM
721	Allow for a space for an interpretive center and small auditorium to be built in the future.	11/8/2024 7:56 AM
722	Focus on nature and not paving over or ruining with structure	11/8/2024 6:41 AM
723	Every place where I have seen "natural restoration/habitat areas" has been very destructive and unsightly. I'm never sure if it really provided better habitat (because face it, the property is home to animals and reptiles even before the destruction/restoration)..	11/8/2024 6:39 AM
724	I appreciate the minimal impact this would have not only for the initial installation, but for the ongoing maintenance of the features listed.	11/8/2024 12:14 AM
725	Perhaps a small outdoor education location for 25 people (esp. school classes!) to assist in the learning of the natural world. Inspire curiosity of natural!	11/7/2024 9:58 PM
726	Bus parking and shelters would really change the natural landscaping and would take away from the trails.	11/7/2024 9:53 PM
727	I would add all or most trails from the other concepts - maximize hiking options that will always be the #1 use	11/7/2024 9:14 PM
728	needs more parking	11/7/2024 9:10 PM
729	More trails	11/7/2024 8:28 PM
730	Please, keep it as minimal as possible as to not disrupt the natural beauty & wildlife.	11/7/2024 7:50 PM
731	Natural natural	11/7/2024 7:43 PM
732	Less is more. ;) nature is beautiful as is.	11/7/2024 7:42 PM
733	Likely need more parking	11/7/2024 7:24 PM
734	None	11/7/2024 7:23 PM
735	Picnic shelters so long as they are not a replacement for a building.	11/7/2024 7:22 PM
736	Keep it simple and natural!	11/7/2024 7:21 PM
737	None	11/7/2024 7:10 PM
738	I think my opinion has been noted above. I do not like most of the ideas the department has proposed. This would be a tragedy to our community and I would like to be notified of any meetings or opportunities to speak publicly about this. Thank you.	11/7/2024 7:08 PM
739	N/A	11/7/2024 6:52 PM
740	Needs more parking	11/7/2024 6:42 PM
741	None	11/7/2024 6:41 PM
742	None	11/7/2024 6:37 PM
743	Less is better	11/7/2024 6:27 PM
744	Best option.	11/7/2024 6:17 PM
745	Use as many natural items with your expansion	11/7/2024 5:26 PM
746	Start with the Minimal Impact Plan FEATURES, but change the overall layout (especially the road) to allow for future feature expansion without re-routing the road.	11/7/2024 3:09 PM
747	Just plan for more than enough parking.	11/7/2024 11:22 AM
748	Make it 75-100 parking spots. Add connecting high ridge trails to connect to existing trails. Add overlook deck.	11/7/2024 10:51 AM

749	Maybe there is a need for additional parking I am not aware of but I do like how this plan has the least impactful parking size-wise of all the plans. The trail plans for this plan are my least preferred.	11/7/2024 10:10 AM
750	50 is not enough parking. Need larger picnic shelter area for events or groups.	11/7/2024 10:07 AM
751	It is important to indicate where private property lines are.	11/7/2024 9:33 AM
752	I don't like that it's not connected to the existing park very much.	11/7/2024 8:32 AM
753	Do no harm. Try to keep as natural as possible while providing access for people of all abilities.	11/7/2024 8:19 AM
754	n/a	11/7/2024 8:07 AM
755	don't fix what isn't broken	11/7/2024 6:39 AM
756	Nice	11/7/2024 6:36 AM
757	most people will not carry in tons of food for picnics and that just creates more trash and i think provided a small spot is ok but we dont need more than that	11/7/2024 6:34 AM
758	N/A	11/6/2024 8:19 PM
759	Need to go big. The current park is underutilized given the fantastic land.	11/6/2024 7:04 PM
760	This would be a bare minimum of features.	11/6/2024 6:02 PM
761	It's too hard to walk in all the sand on this site. Would hope all of our parks would offer barrier free areas	11/6/2024 4:08 PM
762	Steps should be like steps in a house. Not long giant steps.	11/6/2024 3:43 PM
763	.	11/6/2024 3:41 PM
764	Only request would be more parking. Otherwise this is the preferred option as is.	11/6/2024 3:36 PM
765	Natural mountain bike flow trail	11/6/2024 3:36 PM
766	50 spaces does not seem like enough.	11/6/2024 3:35 PM
767	None	11/6/2024 3:09 PM
768	none	11/6/2024 2:58 PM
769	please add at least some paved trails so it can be ADA	11/6/2024 2:55 PM
770	Change the path to the northern stairs. Plan a path that does not go to the cul-de-sac of Terry Trails Association.	11/6/2024 2:49 PM
771	I think the property is beautiful as it is, and while building on it might improve access for some, it also permanently destroys the property as a result. For a minimal impact plan, this is still too high of an impact on the property	11/6/2024 2:29 PM
772	NONE	11/6/2024 2:06 PM
773	I don't think any development needs to happen.	11/6/2024 2:00 PM
774	Asphalt trails	11/6/2024 1:40 PM
775	As I mentioned previously, my heart tends to side with disturbing the natural environment as little as possible, but I do not see that as our primary objective (I say "we" because I was proud to be a small part of the best county parks system in Michigan, and would love to be again!).	11/6/2024 1:39 PM
776	minimal means minimal	11/6/2024 1:37 PM
777	Not enough parking. Currently, Rosy Mound has inadequate parking now, please take this opportunity to provide adequate parking.	11/6/2024 1:35 PM
778	Parking area is too small as are the picnic areas.	11/6/2024 1:34 PM
779	Scheduled bus connections with Macatawa Bus and Interurban Bus. Seniors without vehicles or have given up driving could utilize the park.	11/6/2024 1:31 PM

780	I'd like more trails/loops heading to the south	11/6/2024 1:27 PM
781	There is a big difference between a picnic shelter for 25 vs. 50 and how the space will be used. There are other options in the county. Please don't add a large shelter.	11/6/2024 1:26 PM
782	N/A	11/6/2024 1:18 PM
783	Looks great, especially the 1 mile loop being more natural.	11/6/2024 1:14 PM
784	N/A (please see prior answer).	11/6/2024 1:04 PM
785	I like most of this plan a lot, but would like the additional boardwalk/stairs/overlook from the hybrid plan	11/6/2024 12:52 PM
786	Add a natural playground area.	11/6/2024 12:41 PM

Q6 Which Park features do you approve of in the Hybrid Concept Plan? (Check all that apply)

Answered: 1,284 Skipped: 225



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Natural restoration/habitat areas	87.62%	1,125
200 space parking lot	20.95%	269
3 season shelter/nature and event center accommodating 250 people	21.65%	278
Modern restroom building	63.40%	814
Natural playscape	42.91%	551
Trail head with amenities such as drinking fountain, bike parking, bike repair station, signage, and shade	59.03%	758
Barrier free loop trail approximately one (1) mile long	60.36%	775
Crushed stone trails and boardwalk/connection to beach	50.62%	650
Additional natural surface trails	64.56%	829
Trails/boardwalk connections to existing southwest corner of park	58.10%	746
Multi-tiered overlook deck/interpretive deck	36.92%	474
Overlook decks	54.44%	699
Total Respondents: 1,284		

Q7 Please provide any additional comments specific to the Hybrid Concept Plan.

Answered: 743 Skipped: 766

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	More native plants with deer fence protection	11/22/2024 7:06 AM
2	Please do not overdevelop this beautiful area	11/22/2024 6:32 AM
3	Getting too busy	11/22/2024 6:32 AM
4	N/a	11/22/2024 6:31 AM
5	No dogs!	11/22/2024 5:29 AM
6	N/A	11/21/2024 11:29 PM
7	Consider natural habitat highways or dens for critters to easily pass through populated areas or so hikers can view them in them as well	11/21/2024 11:04 PM
8	Stop it	11/21/2024 11:01 PM
9	Yeah.. leave it alone	11/21/2024 10:05 PM
10	Top pick!	11/21/2024 10:03 PM
11	Did not check any as I see the plan to be too massive!	11/21/2024 9:25 PM
12	250 person event center sounds like you're building a park so people can enjoy the great indoors	11/21/2024 9:22 PM
13	None	11/21/2024 9:15 PM
14	Nice play scape concept!	11/21/2024 8:21 PM
15	Leave it natural	11/21/2024 8:12 PM
16	None	11/21/2024 8:04 PM
17	More trails like the bigger impact plans would be nice.	11/21/2024 5:51 PM
18	Please allow dogs again in Rosy Mound!	11/21/2024 4:03 PM
19	Way overkill on the amenities. Keep to the natural area concept.	11/21/2024 3:58 PM
20	The Ottawa County Parks Mission Statement says "...stewarding natural communities". This plan does not follow the Mission Statement. Too many amenities that reduces the extreme importance of keeping areas natural for the benefit of wildlife. Birders come from all over to enjoy the natural areas and wildlife in the parks.	11/21/2024 3:58 PM
21	None	11/21/2024 3:28 PM
22	Please allow dogs owners to visit with their dogs!	11/21/2024 2:52 PM
23	Please do not develop this land.	11/21/2024 2:35 PM
24	na	11/21/2024 2:31 PM
25	None	11/21/2024 2:17 PM
26	~	11/21/2024 2:06 PM
27	None	11/21/2024 1:51 PM
28	.	11/21/2024 1:39 PM
29	Leave alone	11/21/2024 1:24 PM

30	None	11/21/2024 1:21 PM
31	Keep it simple and natural.	11/21/2024 12:32 PM
32	There is too much impact on the environment in this plan. Keep it natural and simple.	11/21/2024 12:23 PM
33	I like the idea of more trails that are in the hybrid concept plan, but would prefer to see more simple trail options (singletrack with less infrastructure such as boardwalks, steps, overlooks, etc.).	11/21/2024 12:22 PM
34	Too much.	11/21/2024 11:47 AM
35	N/A	11/21/2024 10:55 AM
36	100 car lot would be enough.	11/21/2024 10:54 AM
37	200 parking spaces is ridiculous, there will never be that many people there at one time.	11/21/2024 10:33 AM
38	I think a 100 spot parking area is adequate.	11/21/2024 10:33 AM
39	Wish the trail surfaces could be smooth enough for roller skates	11/21/2024 10:19 AM
40	Maintaining the precious dune ecosystem is important to all species. Humans can go rampant elsewhere, a venue is not needed here when there are so many options between Ottawa county and surrounding areas.	11/21/2024 10:08 AM
41	Less is better	11/21/2024 9:56 AM
42	Na	11/21/2024 9:55 AM
43	NA	11/21/2024 9:51 AM
44	N/a	11/21/2024 9:25 AM
45	Nothing to add.	11/21/2024 9:23 AM
46	A good blend of new, while keeping it natural and beauty	11/21/2024 9:22 AM
47	Small stage	11/21/2024 9:17 AM
48	The parking lot is insane	11/21/2024 8:59 AM
49	Na	11/21/2024 8:52 AM
50	More walking trails	11/21/2024 8:35 AM
51	Again people are just going to use this as a beach hangout spot	11/21/2024 8:35 AM
52	I like the 3 season room	11/21/2024 8:32 AM
53	Na	11/21/2024 8:13 AM
54	I would love an event center but the beauty of the area and park is one of minimal impact. It will dramatically change it.	11/21/2024 8:08 AM
55	Hate it.	11/21/2024 7:46 AM
56	Although more parking is nice when the park gets too busy in the original park it's not as peaceful to be in the park. I think it should be limited	11/21/2024 7:43 AM
57	3 seasons,250 person event site seems a bit much	11/21/2024 7:41 AM
58	Nonep	11/21/2024 7:41 AM
59	N/A	11/21/2024 7:24 AM
60	I like the idea of a 3 season event center, but I would have it accommodate fewer people...maybe 100...keeping it lower impact. I'm torn between liking the idea of an event center and not wanting to build a big parking lot to accommodate it.	11/21/2024 7:14 AM
61	We have so so many amazing playground for children in ottawa county i dont think another is necessary	11/21/2024 6:42 AM
62	None	11/21/2024 6:18 AM

63	Is there a demonstrated need for a 250 person shelter? If yes, then I can get on board with it. If not, I would say smaller is better.	11/21/2024 5:58 AM
64	None	11/21/2024 5:45 AM
65	A natural play scape is a wonderful idea	11/21/2024 5:40 AM
66	Leave natural	11/21/2024 5:32 AM
67	leave it be	11/21/2024 4:53 AM
68	N/a	11/21/2024 3:20 AM
69	NA	11/21/2024 2:29 AM
70	none	11/21/2024 1:04 AM
71	Na	11/21/2024 12:42 AM
72	N/A	11/21/2024 12:17 AM
73	Na	11/20/2024 11:45 PM
74	We just don't need to take up that much nature for that much parking. We have so many amazing parks in grand haven that are underutilized. Parking is never a problem. We need to encourage people to ride their bikes, walk and carpool. Of course having handicap spots available! I do love the playground. I just love the peaceful serenity of the current park that would be lost if there were parties and 200 parking spots. Not to mention the trash that will come with these gatherings in such a beautiful clean park!	11/20/2024 11:18 PM
75	Too much development	11/20/2024 10:52 PM
76	No development	11/20/2024 10:44 PM
77	200 parking spaces and 3 season building is way too much.	11/20/2024 10:30 PM
78	I would like the 3 season shelter to be smaller.	11/20/2024 10:26 PM
79	Playground and event center are too much!!!	11/20/2024 10:17 PM
80	None	11/20/2024 9:53 PM
81	I think this would include too much parking area	11/20/2024 9:44 PM
82	N/A	11/20/2024 9:42 PM
83	None	11/20/2024 9:38 PM
84	None	11/20/2024 9:38 PM
85	NA	11/20/2024 9:38 PM
86	None	11/20/2024 9:35 PM
87	Love the natural playscape feature would really like to see that included in whichever plan is decided on!	11/20/2024 9:33 PM
88	If this is done do the least impact as possible	11/20/2024 9:32 PM
89	200 parking spot would be way too many	11/20/2024 9:28 PM
90	Minimal	11/20/2024 9:07 PM
91	Please keep the area as natural as possibke	11/20/2024 9:05 PM
92	Meh	11/20/2024 9:05 PM
93	Spend the least amount of money possible on constructing buildings, trails, parking lots etc. spend all the money on habitat restoration.	11/20/2024 9:03 PM
94	The less we add, the better it is for the ecosystem.	11/20/2024 9:01 PM
95	Keep it minimal	11/20/2024 8:58 PM

96	Dog friendly area to walk dogs on leash	11/20/2024 8:53 PM
97	No	11/20/2024 8:53 PM
98	Less crushed stone, more natural trails	11/20/2024 8:47 PM
99	Please no parking lot over 50 spots	11/20/2024 8:12 PM
100	N/a	11/20/2024 7:50 PM
101	No event space please! Ottawa county parks has so many other options for that.	11/20/2024 7:12 PM
102	We do not need to sacrifice natural restorations for 200 parking spaces.	11/20/2024 6:42 PM
103	None	11/20/2024 6:34 PM
104	This is not an appropriate place for an event center for 250 people- it's a dune area in a protected dune location..be inspired by sleeping bear not an amusement park	11/20/2024 6:31 PM
105	More beach access	11/20/2024 6:07 PM
106	All set	11/20/2024 6:01 PM
107	Just feels a little too much	11/20/2024 5:47 PM
108	N/A	11/20/2024 5:45 PM
109	Please stop destroying natural habitats so that people can have more spaces to treat poorly	11/20/2024 5:43 PM
110	NA	11/20/2024 5:41 PM
111	None	11/20/2024 5:30 PM
112	I do not believe that the parking lot needs to be as big. I would prefer picnic shelters in lieu of the event center.	11/20/2024 5:20 PM
113	200 parking places= at least 400 people or more. I don't think we need another park with all that construction. Lets keep this area natural	11/20/2024 5:06 PM
114	N/a	11/20/2024 4:58 PM
115	I really don't like the idea of building new trails through the forest to the existing southern trail. Keep the new development mostly to the area that was already damaged by mining and leave the rest alone.	11/20/2024 4:57 PM
116	WE DO NOT NEED 200+ CARS AT ROSY MOUND AT ONE TIME. Is Ottawa County money grubbing for more tourists or trying to be good stewards of its tax paying residents and the land entrusted to it? Fix the existing trails. Maybe make the bathroom nicer. Protect and restore the amazing habitat for future generations. Then STOP.	11/20/2024 3:53 PM
117	None	11/20/2024 3:40 PM
118	These spaces are for humans to reconnect with nature not make nature work for our exploitations of it. ONLY THE MOST MINIMAL CHANGES.	11/20/2024 3:31 PM
119	Make paths wheelchair accessible	11/20/2024 3:24 PM
120	Less development.	11/20/2024 3:22 PM
121	None	11/20/2024 3:21 PM
122	None	11/20/2024 3:14 PM
123	200 parking spaces may be too many spots. Prefer 150 or less. Natural playscape seems unnecessary. People are there to hike and walk and go to the beach.	11/20/2024 2:07 PM
124	I feel the modern bathroom is not needed and would prefer the Rustic type of bathrooms parks have	11/20/2024 1:51 PM
125	.	11/20/2024 1:34 PM
126	None	11/20/2024 12:54 PM
127	Don't do anything	11/20/2024 12:42 PM

128	Horrible idea	11/20/2024 12:39 PM
129	Having events here would probably make it unbearably busy to go. And would involve more people who might create damage.	11/20/2024 11:59 AM
130	n/a	11/20/2024 11:52 AM
131	none of this.	11/20/2024 11:48 AM
132	Ideally not the plan	11/20/2024 11:47 AM
133	Less for events more for hiking	11/20/2024 11:31 AM
134	Na	11/20/2024 11:16 AM
135	Keep it natural as possible.	11/20/2024 10:24 AM
136	use more permeable materials for trails	11/20/2024 10:02 AM
137	Na	11/20/2024 9:49 AM
138	I like the connection to the SW corner of the park.	11/20/2024 9:45 AM
139	200 parking spaces seems like a lot, the one thing that concerns me is having the park become too busy and not being kept natural. As in, seeing signs of trash and people ruining the landscape more than appreciating it.	11/20/2024 9:37 AM
140	None	11/20/2024 9:24 AM
141	We don't need amenities there is plenty of that already. Make some natural hiking trails and call it a day.	11/20/2024 8:47 AM
142	I think I prefer number 1 not 3	11/20/2024 8:43 AM
143	None	11/20/2024 8:25 AM
144	No development	11/20/2024 8:23 AM
145	Add east trail connection to south parking lot	11/20/2024 8:15 AM
146	Is there need for an event center of this scale in the area?	11/20/2024 7:55 AM
147	Development is too extensive. Keep more as natural as possible. Too many parking spaces and building construction.	11/20/2024 7:29 AM
148	Make it smaller. No buses! Don't attract large groups	11/20/2024 7:12 AM
149	Define what kind of events could be there and what not. Prefer smaller capacity park.	11/20/2024 5:02 AM
150	None	11/20/2024 4:02 AM
151	Too much building!	11/19/2024 11:16 PM
152	Way too much parking, and the building is way too large.	11/19/2024 10:58 PM
153	I love this plan and I believe it is a terrific use of the space while still honoring the natural space	11/19/2024 10:54 PM
154	Please make a playscape for older children too	11/19/2024 10:31 PM
155	Larger parking lot seems like a good idea. I feel this park will be a big pull for people as it increases in size and the population of the area continues to grow.	11/19/2024 10:30 PM
156	NA	11/19/2024 10:29 PM
157	200 spots seems excessive. 125 to keep the traffic down some.	11/19/2024 10:28 PM
158	The 3 seasons area could be worthwhile if it's used enough. If it's only used 10 times per year, it's irresponsible, especially sized for 250 people. For it to be that large, you must be expecting weddings. That's great, as long as you've got plans and communication for that, particularly that brides are not reserving the park. Only the building. If you've got 10+ weddings hosted there, paying enough to cover the costs (air conditioning, cleaning, toilet paper, septic, utilities, etc) and a chunk to go towards maintenance and updates, then it may be good.	11/19/2024 10:11 PM

159	I think the natural playscape is a wonderful way to bring more families but keep it natural.	11/19/2024 9:24 PM
160	I think this plan lends itself well to a partnership with Rosy Mound Elementary. The three season building can accommodate students for programs or outings. They can learn about our natural resources and stewardship.	11/19/2024 9:13 PM
161	I don't like it	11/19/2024 9:07 PM
162	Add a disc golf course	11/19/2024 8:56 PM
163	None	11/19/2024 8:31 PM
164	None	11/19/2024 8:25 PM
165	As much natural space as possible, with trails only for walking to avoid disturbing the natural area	11/19/2024 8:24 PM
166	.	11/19/2024 8:21 PM
167	Don't love the large buildings.	11/19/2024 8:11 PM
168	None	11/19/2024 7:44 PM
169	NA	11/19/2024 7:42 PM
170	Don't do this please	11/19/2024 7:30 PM
171	Na	11/19/2024 7:15 PM
172	None	11/19/2024 7:07 PM
173	I can't decide is a nature center would be more hurtful or helpful. I am of the population that just wants to enjoy the trails and leave, though I could see value in a nature center. And I did not select the play scape because there are other area parks that children can play — this is a trail and a space for people to enjoy nature.	11/19/2024 6:51 PM
174	My only concern is the populations of Pitcher's Thistle that exist in abundance within the acquisition. As long as boardwalk trails go around the populations, and proper signage is installed, then I think the trails will be a great addition.	11/19/2024 6:10 PM
175	None	11/19/2024 5:21 PM
176	None	11/19/2024 5:13 PM
177	Think 200 parking spaces is a lot of parking..	11/19/2024 4:16 PM
178	Dog park or dog friendly areas	11/19/2024 4:02 PM
179	No	11/19/2024 3:50 PM
180	Na	11/19/2024 3:47 PM
181	None	11/19/2024 2:06 PM
182	Love the natural playscape!	11/19/2024 1:48 PM
183	None	11/19/2024 1:43 PM
184	Benches	11/19/2024 1:10 PM
185	I don't like the bus parking	11/19/2024 1:09 PM
186	Please consider doing zero non-native grass and landscaping. It requires upkeep and provides nothing for wildlife and insects. You may already be doing this, if so- yay!	11/19/2024 1:04 PM
187	I'd want to balance the impact of having too many trails and seeing too many people on the same trails.	11/19/2024 12:55 PM
188	N/a	11/19/2024 12:53 PM
189	Na	11/19/2024 12:50 PM
190	That parking seems huge	11/19/2024 12:49 PM

191	None	11/19/2024 12:28 PM
192	I am unsure of the community's need for an event center and playground in this location. I would prioritize habitat protection over these uses. As for the parking, I assume the increased parking lot goes hand in hand with the added improvements. I like the trail connections. I am okay with trail improvements that help prevent erosion and habitat degradation.	11/19/2024 12:25 PM
193	I like the potential to generate income with the event center, and picnic shelters in other plans	11/19/2024 12:25 PM
194	This is place where family come to enjoy nature, please do not add a playground. We have other areas for that.	11/19/2024 12:24 PM
195	N/A	11/19/2024 11:56 AM
196	I don't care for such a huge space for gatherings. Won't leave parking for people just using trails	11/19/2024 11:51 AM
197	Less is more	11/19/2024 11:34 AM
198	None	11/19/2024 11:25 AM
199	Too much restorstion	11/19/2024 10:48 AM
200	There is a lot of space taking up for a parking lot and although this allows for convenient parking this seems to take up a bit of land that can be used for other more natural purposes	11/19/2024 10:28 AM
201	N/a	11/19/2024 10:09 AM
202	Not crazy about larger volume of parking spots and event space. We have plenty of event spaces in tri cities area	11/19/2024 9:10 AM
203	None	11/19/2024 9:10 AM
204	No bike trails, bikes tear up the land and bikers do not share the trails.	11/19/2024 8:52 AM
205	leave the land be.	11/19/2024 8:42 AM
206	I love the playscape and trail head amenities. I'm uneasy about the event center and the size of the parking lot. If I knew the primary goal for use of the event center I could get on board	11/19/2024 8:03 AM
207	I just worry about such large groups bringing things like balloons and leaving trash that would harm the lakes and wildlife. I walk the beaches daily and so many people do not pick up after themselves properly.	11/19/2024 7:29 AM
208	None	11/19/2024 7:15 AM
209	None	11/19/2024 7:05 AM
210	I love that there is bus parking! In Ottawa County we are blessed to have Lake Michigan, but there are those as near as Grand Rapids that have never seen Lake Michigan or the lovely dunes we have. With bus parking perhaps school field trips could eventually come to the lakeshore.	11/19/2024 7:00 AM
211	No	11/19/2024 6:58 AM
212	None	11/19/2024 6:55 AM
213	None	11/19/2024 6:40 AM
214	I like the playground and slightly larger parking but don't think the event center is necessary	11/19/2024 6:05 AM
215	Getting too many people (250 too many)	11/19/2024 5:49 AM
216	Na	11/19/2024 5:41 AM
217	It seems like 200 parking spaces is a lot. Would that amount of accommodation take away the ambiance the park now has?	11/19/2024 5:28 AM
218	I enjoy the addition of more trails, but such a large lot, playscape, and shelters are not necessary.	11/19/2024 1:44 AM
219	Minimal and education oriented are ideal as we move into a future of threatened nature. Preserve as much as possible while educating the youth on the integral work you're doing	11/19/2024 1:32 AM

220	200 spots seems a bit excessive, especially if all 200 spots are going to be paved. not sure what the current capacity of that lot is and how full it gets during the busy season though. Also, unlike the full utilization plan, there are less trails to other parts of the beach which with that size parking lot could lead to a lot of crowding on the trails.	11/18/2024 11:09 PM
221	Minimal is better - froma. Coat and impact standpoint	11/18/2024 11:01 PM
222	Great in between and love the extra parking	11/18/2024 10:46 PM
223	I like how the parking lot does not intrude too far into the property, and the natural area is the the North and somewhat connected to the woods area. I	11/18/2024 10:46 PM
224	Too much change	11/18/2024 10:41 PM
225	Love the natural playscape!	11/18/2024 10:23 PM
226	N/a	11/18/2024 10:22 PM
227	Would love more trails, but with little impact to area	11/18/2024 10:15 PM
228	Na	11/18/2024 10:11 PM
229	200 parking spots and event center are excessive.	11/18/2024 10:08 PM
230	Scaling too big I think will take away from the very thing that makes this place attractive. I grew up being brought here (we would drive from 45 minutes away) and liked how it was a park that was peaceful and connected to nature.	11/18/2024 10:02 PM
231	Decks are nice for looking and sitting but shouldn't dominate the scene.	11/18/2024 10:01 PM
232	Like the more connecting paths to existing area	11/18/2024 9:55 PM
233	A dog friendly loop would be great too. There are lots of people who want to take their furry family members for a hike.	11/18/2024 9:46 PM
234	Would love to have access to this park with a dog. Also, would love to see mountain bike trails.	11/18/2024 9:45 PM
235	I think an event center and huge parking lot is unnecessary.	11/18/2024 9:34 PM
236	We don't need additional parking.	11/18/2024 9:28 PM
237	None	11/18/2024 9:24 PM
238	Picnic shelters are too big ... prefer focus on trails without huge shelters	11/18/2024 9:19 PM
239	DONT DO ANY OF IT	11/18/2024 9:18 PM
240	250 in an event center feels like it could lead to a lot of natural land being damaged. The larger groups welcomed, the more likely it is you have people who do not respect taking care of the land.	11/18/2024 9:15 PM
241	N/A	11/18/2024 9:08 PM
242	Connection to the beach is ever-changing - something the first development ultimately failed to understand. Ensuring beach access can be maintained for generations is critical.	11/18/2024 8:39 PM
243	Nature playscape is the best feature in any design	11/18/2024 8:32 PM
244	Best for a 2nd option	11/18/2024 8:31 PM
245	N/a	11/18/2024 8:29 PM
246	N/A	11/18/2024 8:24 PM
247	To much parking. Nothing worse than taking a nice piece of land and paving it	11/18/2024 8:23 PM
248	I personally would hate to see an event center there	11/18/2024 8:20 PM
249	Bathrooms.	11/18/2024 8:10 PM
250	I think the 250 person space is too large. I would rather see 2-3 smaller ones, including one at 150.	11/18/2024 7:58 PM

251	None	11/18/2024 7:56 PM
252	I like this one the best	11/18/2024 7:51 PM
253	N/a	11/18/2024 7:48 PM
254	NA	11/18/2024 7:47 PM
255	200 parking spaces is too much	11/18/2024 7:44 PM
256	N/A	11/18/2024 7:21 PM
257	Seems like a great option! The natural playscape would make it a great family picnic spot - or for groups	11/18/2024 7:20 PM
258	None	11/18/2024 7:19 PM
259	N/A	11/18/2024 7:18 PM
260	This concept plan sucks	11/18/2024 6:54 PM
261	I like the additional overlooks especially the ones within the norther forested area. While I do like the trail crossing the open dunes, will the shifting sands cause maintenance issues? Do we need a playground area with Kirk Park in such close proximity to Rosy Mounds? What type of visitors is Rosy Mounds seeing? Are they families, or solo hikers. Rosy Mound has a very fragile environment, do we want young kids ignoring signs and trampling the sensitive plant life? Kirk Park is well situated to the south to handle that type of visitor.	11/18/2024 6:42 PM
262	N/a	11/18/2024 6:40 PM
263	I like the natural playground option	11/18/2024 6:33 PM
264	Great use of land	11/18/2024 6:28 PM
265	Too much extra modern "play" concepts. Don't destroy the natural setting.	11/18/2024 5:54 PM
266	N/a	11/18/2024 4:06 PM
267	Best plan	11/18/2024 3:44 PM
268	NA	11/18/2024 3:12 PM
269	I like all the features	11/18/2024 2:12 PM
270	No development.	11/18/2024 1:02 PM
271	Leave it be	11/18/2024 10:43 AM
272	Less than 200 parking spots?	11/18/2024 10:03 AM
273	The preference of OIAS is the minimal impact option with the exception that the additional trails/overlook options could be provided from the hybrid option to allow for more hiking opportunities and hawk watching viewing points.	11/18/2024 4:59 AM
274	Maintenance and updating of existing trails and steps.	11/18/2024 4:59 AM
275	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/18/2024 2:07 AM
276	Please know that I only provided answers bc if you were to choose this option I only favor MINIMAL development. I find so often when people respond and comment in these areas it's used to say this is what people wanted and requested	11/17/2024 9:58 PM
277	I'm concerned with this plan over developing the area and taking away from the quiet connection to nature that this park is known for.	11/17/2024 8:57 PM
278	I don't see the need for human 'playspaces'	11/17/2024 8:56 PM
279	none	11/17/2024 5:07 PM
280	So much of this plan is TOO BIG. I prefer fewer parking spaces, no big reunion area. This park should remain, at least initially, a quiet get away.	11/17/2024 3:23 PM
281	Na	11/17/2024 2:58 PM

282	Nothing further	11/17/2024 2:17 PM
283	A 200-space parking area makes me fear that this beautiful natural area will start to look more like GH State Park. Of course, GH State Park is wonderful for what it is, but it is not a peaceful place to spend time in nature.	11/17/2024 12:55 PM
284	None	11/17/2024 10:24 AM
285	No development	11/17/2024 6:59 AM
286	Although I do not like this indirect path back to the existing parking lot, it can serve as part of a wooded loop for people who want to avoid the heat and sun exposure. That is assuming that you would also build a more direct parking lot connection trail.	11/17/2024 6:52 AM
287	Less development is better here	11/17/2024 2:01 AM
288	It is dangerously close to the "nature preserves" I have visited near large resort developments.	11/17/2024 1:39 AM
289	Keep this a natural area.	11/16/2024 9:48 PM
290	Not jazzed about 200 parking spaces and event center. Worry about those taking away from the natural aspects of the park through larger footprint and crowds.	11/16/2024 9:34 PM
291	Stop developing all of nature!	11/16/2024 6:49 PM
292	Do not like this plan	11/16/2024 4:52 PM
293	200 parking spaces is way too many. Nature/event center might be ok if it's similar in size to the one at Hemlock Crossing.	11/16/2024 4:38 PM
294	Are you or have you considered partnering with Rachel Dody/the Grand Haven Children's Museum?	11/16/2024 1:46 PM
295	The 3 season sent I wish would be for a group less than 100 or even 25	11/16/2024 1:04 PM
296	No development	11/16/2024 11:17 AM
297	No development	11/16/2024 11:04 AM
298	This is not a playground or an events area! If you implement these features you will ruin what makes Rosy Mound special!	11/16/2024 9:59 AM
299	I do like this plan as well but feel the impact to the land is more than ideal.	11/16/2024 9:07 AM
300	Too much parking and development. I think this plan would be excellent with a smaller parking lot (with overflow area if critical) and with a smaller structure. I don't feel that groups of 250 need to be visiting at one time. I would like to see a pavilion with capacity for ~100. This would allow schools to visit with about 4 classrooms for field trips, about what would occur at elementary level.	11/16/2024 9:03 AM
301	NO DEVELOPMENT!	11/16/2024 8:27 AM
302	3 season shelter seems too big and overkill. A smaller picnic shelter like at Kirk seems reasonable	11/16/2024 5:35 AM
303	NO DEVELOPMENT!	11/15/2024 9:49 PM
304	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/15/2024 9:26 PM
305	No development	11/15/2024 9:08 PM
306	Leave as natural as possible. Our wildlife needs this	11/15/2024 8:45 PM
307	Please keep it as natural as possible.	11/15/2024 8:09 PM
308	Looks great	11/15/2024 7:33 PM
309	Nothing to add here	11/15/2024 5:21 PM
310	Don't hurt the habitat	11/15/2024 4:36 PM
311	200 spaces is extremely excessive. I frequent the park and I have a civil engineering background. Don't turn our nature into asphalt jungles.	11/15/2024 3:02 PM

Ottawa County Parks

SurveyMonkey

312	please keep natural	11/15/2024 1:37 PM
313	No development	11/15/2024 1:32 PM
314	No development	11/15/2024 1:12 PM
315	No Development	11/15/2024 12:44 PM
316	Na	11/15/2024 12:27 PM
317	As little development/disturbance as possible please.	11/15/2024 12:27 PM
318	Too much	11/15/2024 12:10 PM
319	None	11/15/2024 11:33 AM
320	Why is it called hybrid? Seems like it has more than the full utilization.	11/15/2024 11:27 AM
321	Should be natural and under developed	11/15/2024 9:27 AM
322	I think there could be more done for beach access	11/15/2024 9:22 AM
323	There's no need for a new park	11/15/2024 9:10 AM
324	It is too much development and too disruptive	11/15/2024 8:55 AM
325	This needs to be left natural. There has been enough damage to this dune area!	11/15/2024 8:45 AM
326	Leave wild	11/15/2024 8:38 AM
327	Please not 200 spaces of parking, so much natural space used for cars that won't even be used in the winter, not worth the loss of natural environment.	11/15/2024 8:35 AM
328	Just No	11/15/2024 8:14 AM
329	I have a bad feeling about this	11/15/2024 8:08 AM
330	Multi-tiered decks can be a bit much	11/15/2024 7:57 AM
331	Do not develop	11/15/2024 7:47 AM
332	No development	11/15/2024 7:40 AM
333	N	11/15/2024 7:39 AM
334	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/15/2024 7:34 AM
335	No	11/15/2024 7:07 AM
336	Don't approve of any aspect of this plan	11/15/2024 7:05 AM
337	Na	11/15/2024 7:01 AM
338	Ugh	11/15/2024 6:47 AM
339	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/15/2024 6:08 AM
340	None	11/14/2024 11:23 PM
341	Please, no playscape.	11/14/2024 11:11 PM
342	It's ugly and ruins the natural beauty of the place and the animals' habitats that live there	11/14/2024 10:43 PM
343	Please keep the barrier-free loop. My young daughter is special needs. Access to nature and outdoor activities is invaluable to our family.	11/14/2024 10:43 PM
344	Minimal development	11/14/2024 9:19 PM
345	People suck. Stop ruining natural spaces for horrible people.	11/14/2024 8:59 PM
346	Dog friendly spaces for dogs to run and play. The parking area is to close to Lakeshore Dr.	11/14/2024 8:48 PM
347	The parking lot seems excessive, but maybe it's needed?	11/14/2024 8:46 PM
348	Hybrids okay but more development would be better	11/14/2024 8:40 PM

349	Keep habitat restoration area full size	11/14/2024 8:35 PM
350	Leave the area natural!	11/14/2024 8:30 PM
351	NA	11/14/2024 8:24 PM
352	NA	11/14/2024 8:23 PM
353	Na	11/14/2024 8:20 PM
354	Ok	11/14/2024 8:14 PM
355	None	11/14/2024 8:12 PM
356	Keep nature natural	11/14/2024 8:08 PM
357	Love the many options	11/14/2024 8:07 PM
358	None - leave as is!	11/14/2024 7:59 PM
359	-	11/14/2024 7:49 PM
360	No development	11/14/2024 7:33 PM
361	Leave the area as is	11/14/2024 7:31 PM
362	No development	11/14/2024 7:28 PM
363	Please be minimal to your disruption of this land	11/14/2024 7:23 PM
364	n/a	11/14/2024 7:23 PM
365	No development	11/14/2024 7:16 PM
366	NA	11/14/2024 7:09 PM
367	More trails and boardwalks would be nice, but 200 people? That's too much.	11/14/2024 7:05 PM
368	No development	11/14/2024 7:00 PM
369	This is obviously worse	11/14/2024 6:53 PM
370	No development in this plan	11/14/2024 6:49 PM
371	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 6:44 PM
372	Same as the last comment. Leave nature and the species that live in this area alone!	11/14/2024 6:38 PM
373	No development	11/14/2024 6:28 PM
374	No development	11/14/2024 6:19 PM
375	None of the above	11/14/2024 6:15 PM
376	NO DEVELOPMENT NEEDED, perfect the way it is	11/14/2024 6:08 PM
377	I see levy dislike this plan. There is no need for so much development in the open dune area	11/14/2024 6:07 PM
378	preserve nature as much as possible	11/14/2024 6:00 PM
379	Dont do it	11/14/2024 5:31 PM
380	No development is best. Leave it a nature preserve	11/14/2024 5:28 PM
381	I don't want it developed	11/14/2024 5:03 PM
382	No development	11/14/2024 4:58 PM
383	Again, think of the animals and trees as you go.	11/14/2024 4:49 PM
384	Leave it alone	11/14/2024 4:42 PM
385	None	11/14/2024 4:40 PM
386	We do not need an event center.	11/14/2024 4:34 PM

Ottawa County Parks

SurveyMonkey

387	No development	11/14/2024 4:20 PM
388	No development	11/14/2024 4:13 PM
389	Less is better	11/14/2024 3:56 PM
390	Leave undeveloped.	11/14/2024 3:51 PM
391	No development	11/14/2024 3:48 PM
392	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 3:46 PM
393	No development	11/14/2024 3:41 PM
394	Only a play scape if it can be done with minimal impact to the existing habitat	11/14/2024 3:39 PM
395	NO DEVELOPMENT!	11/14/2024 3:37 PM
396	Great ideas!!!	11/14/2024 3:31 PM
397	I don't like this as people are selfish and think we deserve to walk all over this natural area that's been left alone for a long time now and has created its own little habitat that shouldn't be disturbed. Especially by families with a bunch of kids and litterers.	11/14/2024 3:24 PM
398	No new development.	11/14/2024 3:22 PM
399	Protect our natural resources!	11/14/2024 3:14 PM
400	No more development	11/14/2024 3:12 PM
401	No development!	11/14/2024 3:10 PM
402	.	11/14/2024 3:08 PM
403	Keep it natural	11/14/2024 3:07 PM
404	No development	11/14/2024 3:00 PM
405	200 space parking lot is not necessary	11/14/2024 2:51 PM
406	No development	11/14/2024 2:50 PM
407	No DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 2:49 PM
408	No development please	11/14/2024 2:44 PM
409	Do not develop further	11/14/2024 2:42 PM
410	Some minimal trails without destruction of wildlife areas.	11/14/2024 2:41 PM
411	No need for playscape, multi-tiered decks, more parking, or an event center.	11/14/2024 2:25 PM
412	No development	11/14/2024 2:22 PM
413	None	11/14/2024 2:14 PM
414	No development	11/14/2024 2:03 PM
415	Na	11/14/2024 1:59 PM
416	none	11/14/2024 1:54 PM
417	No development	11/14/2024 1:54 PM
418	Nothing to add	11/14/2024 1:54 PM
419	No deveopment	11/14/2024 1:49 PM
420	Leave it be	11/14/2024 1:46 PM
421	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 1:44 PM
422	No development.	11/14/2024 1:38 PM
423	Besides what I checked, no additional development! Leave it natural.	11/14/2024 1:38 PM

Ottawa County Parks

SurveyMonkey

424	No development	11/14/2024 1:31 PM
425	LEAVE IT ALONE	11/14/2024 1:28 PM
426	There are other parks with shelters and parking	11/14/2024 1:24 PM
427	na	11/14/2024 1:23 PM
428	good place for field trips	11/14/2024 1:22 PM
429	No development	11/14/2024 1:21 PM
430	no development	11/14/2024 1:19 PM
431	This plan feels more like an event space than a park or wilderness restoration/conservation area. Grand Haven doesn't need that. We have plenty of event spaces.	11/14/2024 1:16 PM
432	BUS PARKING ARE YOU SERIOUS? This is a terrible idea!! Making this area more of a tourist spot will be a detriment to this fragile ecosystem	11/14/2024 1:15 PM
433	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 1:14 PM
434	Too much development.	11/14/2024 1:11 PM
435	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 1:11 PM
436	Least amount of change	11/14/2024 1:04 PM
437	I like the expanded parking deck, without knowing actual current use numbers of the park, I feel 200 additional spaces is a lot. This would make sense with the event space however, I disagree with this need. I feel a smaller 3 season building that could be used for educational programming would be a great use.	11/14/2024 1:04 PM
438	No development is best.	11/14/2024 1:03 PM
439	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 1:02 PM
440	No development	11/14/2024 1:02 PM
441	No development	11/14/2024 12:59 PM
442	Leave it alone	11/14/2024 12:57 PM
443	No development	11/14/2024 12:53 PM
444	No development	11/14/2024 12:53 PM
445	No development. This is way to much	11/14/2024 12:52 PM
446	Love all the trails and habitat restoration areas but not the buildings and parking spaces.	11/14/2024 12:52 PM
447	Na	11/14/2024 12:51 PM
448	No development	11/14/2024 12:50 PM
449	No development	11/14/2024 12:50 PM
450	No development	11/14/2024 12:48 PM
451	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 12:47 PM
452	Keep it simple	11/14/2024 12:47 PM
453	No development	11/14/2024 12:47 PM
454	No development	11/14/2024 12:46 PM
455	Not a fan of the 200 space parking lot	11/14/2024 12:41 PM
456	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 12:40 PM
457	No development	11/14/2024 12:40 PM
458	Minimize detrimental impact to the ecosystem	11/14/2024 12:39 PM

459	no development	11/14/2024 12:38 PM
460	I am not interested in seeing this park turn into another Tunnel Park/Kirk Park and would hope we can keep it natural.	11/14/2024 12:37 PM
461	I do not support any development	11/14/2024 12:37 PM
462	Doesn't need to be this complex. Minimize the need to destroy natural features.	11/14/2024 12:37 PM
463	No development	11/14/2024 12:37 PM
464	No Development	11/14/2024 12:37 PM
465	No development	11/14/2024 12:36 PM
466	No Development	11/14/2024 12:36 PM
467	Too much parking. Not the right place for an event center. Keep the area beautiful, don't add more buildings	11/14/2024 12:36 PM
468	No Development!	11/14/2024 12:33 PM
469	None	11/14/2024 12:31 PM
470	Na	11/14/2024 12:27 PM
471	No development	11/14/2024 12:27 PM
472	If you're going to install parking, please consider covered parking where can utilize the surface area with solar panels or rooftop gardens. No more concrete wastelands. Integrate the nature.	11/14/2024 12:27 PM
473	While I prefer the minimal impact plan, the hybrid plan at least seems somewhat in scale with the potential for day use of the park. But 200 parking spots is absurd. The park would be ruined if that many people came.	11/14/2024 12:22 PM
474	No development please	11/14/2024 12:22 PM
475	I don't like any of it, but the trail head seems least invasive	11/14/2024 12:21 PM
476	N/a	11/14/2024 12:19 PM
477	None	11/14/2024 12:15 PM
478	None	11/14/2024 12:14 PM
479	Keep development MINIMAL	11/14/2024 12:13 PM
480	Better usage of the land for trails. Buildings/parking lots are getting too big for the space available.	11/14/2024 12:11 PM
481	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 12:08 PM
482	no development	11/14/2024 12:08 PM
483	Could do more	11/14/2024 12:07 PM
484	None	11/14/2024 12:06 PM
485	No development!	11/14/2024 12:06 PM
486	Highly oppose using that much space for parking, and building an event space. This is a natural area and should be kept that way.	11/14/2024 12:03 PM
487	Let's not pave paradise and put up a parking lot	11/14/2024 12:03 PM
488	Too many parking spaces. There is a school parking lot nearby that can be used in times of high traffic overflow as that is typically opposite to when school is in session.	11/14/2024 12:00 PM
489	None	11/14/2024 11:59 AM
490	NA	11/14/2024 11:59 AM
491	No development	11/14/2024 11:55 AM
492	DO NOT DEVELOP	11/14/2024 11:55 AM

493	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 11:55 AM
494	NO Develepment!	11/14/2024 11:55 AM
495	None at this time	11/14/2024 11:54 AM
496	Please use the smallest amount of development for the habitat.	11/14/2024 11:53 AM
497	None.	11/14/2024 11:52 AM
498	I don't like any of it. Leave it alone	11/14/2024 11:49 AM
499	Fancy. Don't need huge building. Upgrade but no need to go crazy.	11/14/2024 11:49 AM
500	This is ridiculous and unnecessary	11/14/2024 11:48 AM
501	No development!	11/14/2024 11:48 AM
502	This is horrible. How could you even propose destroying part of this area for human benefit? As if other species don't matter? As if the impact on the ecosystem and wildlife don't matter?	11/14/2024 11:48 AM
503	Land should remain natural and undeveloped.	11/14/2024 11:46 AM
504	Building is dirty. Less building, more restoration.	11/14/2024 11:46 AM
505	Leave as is. No development.	11/14/2024 11:44 AM
506	Nooooo	11/14/2024 11:44 AM
507	None	11/14/2024 11:44 AM
508	No development	11/14/2024 11:43 AM
509	No development	11/14/2024 11:42 AM
510	No development	11/14/2024 11:41 AM
511	DO NOT DEVELOP	11/14/2024 11:41 AM
512	No development	11/14/2024 11:40 AM
513	I prefer the natural areas remain natural.	11/14/2024 11:40 AM
514	Keep it minimal!	11/14/2024 11:38 AM
515	Too much going on here. Not much to like unless you want to over develop like Ottawa Sands	11/14/2024 11:37 AM
516	I DO NOT APPROVE ANY PLAN	11/14/2024 11:36 AM
517	No development	11/14/2024 11:36 AM
518	No development is best.	11/14/2024 11:35 AM
519	Less development is best	11/14/2024 11:34 AM
520	No Development	11/14/2024 11:34 AM
521	No Development.	11/14/2024 11:34 AM
522	N/a	11/14/2024 11:33 AM
523	MO DEVELOPMWNT	11/14/2024 11:33 AM
524	No development	11/14/2024 11:33 AM
525	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 11:33 AM
526	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 11:32 AM
527	None	11/14/2024 11:32 AM
528	none	11/14/2024 11:32 AM
529	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 11:31 AM

530	No park	11/14/2024 11:30 AM
531	No development	11/14/2024 11:30 AM
532	No development	11/14/2024 11:29 AM
533	Keep as natural as possible. Often times, less is better	11/14/2024 11:29 AM
534	No development	11/14/2024 11:29 AM
535	No development	11/14/2024 11:28 AM
536	No changes to park	11/14/2024 11:28 AM
537	No development	11/14/2024 11:27 AM
538	No development	11/14/2024 6:44 AM
539	Terrible idea!!!!	11/14/2024 5:07 AM
540	No development	11/13/2024 10:39 PM
541	Prefer NO DEVELOPMENT!	11/13/2024 10:09 PM
542	No Development	11/13/2024 9:52 PM
543	NO development	11/13/2024 8:29 PM
544	No development	11/13/2024 8:11 PM
545	Minimal impact... keep Rosy unique	11/13/2024 7:48 PM
546	No development!	11/13/2024 7:05 PM
547	Regarding what I like about this plan, I would prefer "None of the above".	11/13/2024 7:01 PM
548	No development	11/13/2024 5:59 PM
549	No development, we've taken enough homes from animals. We're destroying the ecosystem. Please stop.	11/13/2024 5:56 PM
550	The additional parking spaces is probably needed to keep people from parking where they shouldn't be. Rosy Mound is a pretty popular spot already! The SW connector trail is a fantastic idea for people like me who prefer loop trails.	11/13/2024 4:36 PM
551	No development	11/13/2024 2:17 PM
552	The hybrid concept plan and the full utilization plan radically change the nature of Rosy Mound and it will change the parks usage. we love the wilderness of Rosy Mound. It draws hikers, and beach going families and low impact day use. It is a quiet meditative place to walk and clear the brain. I think it is important to protect that "wild feel" and not overdevelop the area.	11/13/2024 1:47 PM
553	NA	11/13/2024 1:47 PM
554	.	11/13/2024 1:39 PM
555	No development	11/13/2024 1:29 PM
556	Not developing the land would be great!	11/13/2024 1:21 PM
557	Still no loop from existing to new. I prefer it as more "adult" without a playscape. Children don't need to have that at every park since they can be entertained by the environment.	11/13/2024 12:58 PM
558	Leave it as a nature preserve.	11/13/2024 11:09 AM
559	No development	11/13/2024 10:54 AM
560	Na	11/13/2024 10:41 AM
561	No development	11/13/2024 10:37 AM
562	No more	11/13/2024 10:17 AM
563	None	11/13/2024 9:26 AM

564	I'm in agreement to boardwalk connection but not crushed stone.	11/13/2024 8:03 AM
565	No development	11/13/2024 7:12 AM
566	Hike in camping option	11/12/2024 10:22 PM
567	No development, please preserve the land	11/12/2024 9:50 PM
568	Parking lot is too big. No need for a playground when the whole park is one. Keep the shelters small.	11/12/2024 9:01 PM
569	-	11/12/2024 3:30 PM
570	Crushed stone trails and boardwalks are going to be very important so that the heaviest amount of traffic will not be disruptive to the native soil and ecosystem.	11/12/2024 3:23 PM
571	This is a good plan that adds community space to the minimal plan. It is good to have community engagement at the site to promote it's long-term support.	11/12/2024 1:42 PM
572	No development	11/12/2024 12:50 PM
573	love this	11/12/2024 11:51 AM
574	NO DEVELOPMENT!	11/12/2024 10:48 AM
575	Increased trails are nice. Allows for multiple views of the area while not significantly increasing the amount of space impacted.	11/12/2024 10:40 AM
576	N/a	11/12/2024 10:35 AM
577	In keeping with the designation of this property as a Natural Area, trails should not all be paved - only providing boardwalk/stairs as needed to minimize erosion	11/12/2024 10:23 AM
578	Include trail to existing lot.	11/12/2024 10:00 AM
579	Don't develop at all	11/12/2024 9:25 AM
580	Love the additional trails and decks. Too many parking spaces. I am concerned about crowds overwhelming the place.	11/12/2024 9:17 AM
581	.	11/12/2024 8:33 AM
582	N/A	11/12/2024 8:20 AM
583	Leave the area alone as it is now NO MORE development	11/12/2024 8:15 AM
584	Great multi use area	11/12/2024 7:58 AM
585	Separate 25 and 50 person picnic shelters (besides the 3-season center) would better serve families and groups.	11/12/2024 6:31 AM
586	We don't need another nature center or any of these other things. There is a nature center at hemlock crossing already. Please leave the park as it is or minimally expand with natural surface trails only. We don't need more commercialization of natural areas!! It's a NATURAL AREA for a reason, right? We don't need bus parking lots and nature centers to get out in nature and enjoy it. We want to enjoy the little bit of nature that is actually left. I also think the wildlife would appreciate it.	11/12/2024 4:58 AM
587	None	11/12/2024 4:52 AM
588	Less is more	11/12/2024 3:18 AM
589	None	11/12/2024 12:55 AM
590	Too big of parking	11/11/2024 10:41 PM
591	Na	11/11/2024 10:26 PM
592	None	11/11/2024 10:11 PM
593	No development	11/11/2024 9:42 PM
594	Would love to see a mountain bike loop	11/11/2024 9:17 PM

595	No development	11/11/2024 8:50 PM
596	200 cars!!! No. Too much concrete on sacred land right by the water.	11/11/2024 8:07 PM
597	No development	11/11/2024 8:03 PM
598	None	11/11/2024 7:55 PM
599	None	11/11/2024 7:23 PM
600	Too much parking.	11/11/2024 7:00 PM
601	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/11/2024 6:55 PM
602	None	11/11/2024 6:49 PM
603	Add that much parking will invite to many people and take away its natural serenity.	11/11/2024 6:43 PM
604	No development	11/11/2024 5:39 PM
605	The hybrid concept is beginning to shift into excess. The natural playscape and bike parking/repair station are excellent options to further promote a bicycle friendly community and an appreciation for nature in the younger generations. The 200 vehicle lot and 250 person event center are too much for a nature reserve.	11/11/2024 4:08 PM
606	None	11/11/2024 3:26 PM
607	None	11/11/2024 1:37 PM
608	not a good choice no to everything	11/11/2024 1:25 PM
609	There is not a choice for "NONE of the above" The proposed location of parking area entrance is a busy area with school traffic and children. Further development would create additional danger with cars pulling in and out in the middle of a blind turn. There does not need to be an additional parking area and restroom. The existing parking area can be expanded with minimal impact and less cost.	11/11/2024 11:31 AM
610	I like this plan as it will accommodate more people, but keep things more natural than the full plan. The one thing I'd like to see added is the east trail that connects to the existing parking area.	11/11/2024 11:28 AM
611	More natural surface trails.	11/11/2024 11:27 AM
612	NONE - this would add too much traffic to an area that cannot support the current Rosy traffic. It would be dangerous.	11/11/2024 11:20 AM
613	None.	11/11/2024 11:04 AM
614	Please do not develop	11/11/2024 10:37 AM
615	Not so keen on the larger parking lot.	11/11/2024 10:30 AM
616	Na	11/11/2024 7:30 AM
617	None	11/11/2024 7:13 AM
618	I like the additional trails that provide more variation and connections in the routes people can take. I haven't had trouble parking at Rosy Mound, so I don't know if 200 spaces in necessary.	11/11/2024 6:47 AM
619	No development	11/11/2024 12:23 AM
620	No development	11/10/2024 8:40 PM
621	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/10/2024 7:50 PM
622	Large picnic shelter and 3 seasons bldg not necessary	11/10/2024 6:30 PM
623	Definitely like connection to existing trails. Having more trails eases trail congestion, also allows viewing and appreciation of new terrain.	11/10/2024 4:36 PM
624	It proposes too much duplication of existing facilities at other county parks.	11/10/2024 4:26 PM
625	Don't develop the land	11/10/2024 2:03 PM

626	None	11/10/2024 12:53 PM
627	No developments, please!	11/10/2024 11:59 AM
628	Not applicable	11/10/2024 11:42 AM
629	Place for Bikes to lock up is great .A simple shelter for picnic table is good . To much building. I want to get into Nature not bring the city to the park. Simple life is best and cheaper go slow .	11/10/2024 11:17 AM
630	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/10/2024 11:11 AM
631	No Development!!!!	11/10/2024 11:06 AM
632	No development! Leave it alone.	11/10/2024 10:47 AM
633	200 parking spaces isn't needed and will be killing nature to make it happen	11/10/2024 10:29 AM
634	"Less is more"	11/10/2024 10:02 AM
635	Infringing on natural areas should be limited, there will always be expansion at a later date. Save that for my grand children.	11/10/2024 9:27 AM
636	It would be nice to see a second smaller shelter for use, especially as busy as summers are.	11/10/2024 8:54 AM
637	If they added the trail that the third has this would be the best plan.	11/10/2024 8:42 AM
638	N/a	11/10/2024 8:40 AM
639	See above	11/10/2024 8:15 AM
640	The parking lot size and event center seems too much. Again, other parks already have those. People go to Rosy now and the only negative I hear consistently is that no dogs are allowed.	11/10/2024 3:46 AM
641	None	11/10/2024 1:37 AM
642	This one is the best	11/9/2024 10:55 PM
643	Too much surface parking!	11/9/2024 10:43 PM
644	Remove play areas	11/9/2024 10:08 PM
645	Love the nature scape play ground area for kids. Don't leave this out! It is important to have for our next generation. To help them learn, explore and connect with nature.	11/9/2024 9:54 PM
646	250 nature/event center is too large and large groups would disrupt the sanctity/peacefulness of the trails	11/9/2024 9:47 PM
647	Please don't turn Rosy Mound into an event center. Keep it as a (mostly) natural area.	11/9/2024 9:29 PM
648	This is getting kind of busy.	11/9/2024 8:41 PM
649	A connector trail from the existing park to the new park via the woods near the road.	11/9/2024 8:15 PM
650	No development, please 🙏😞. Leave one small, pristine and meaningful area for nature to be nature.	11/9/2024 7:35 PM
651	Make multiple spots to watch sunsets.	11/9/2024 6:11 PM
652	This is a natural park. Play area and Picnic shelters seem out of place.	11/9/2024 4:40 PM
653	N/A	11/9/2024 3:57 PM
654	it adds so much while also connecting to the current area and just seems to be the best option	11/9/2024 11:28 AM
655	Less amenities. More trails with supporting parking	11/9/2024 11:07 AM
656	I approve of NONE of these features.	11/9/2024 10:36 AM
657	100 space parking lot in order to leave/create more natural area could be enough. Why build a "natural playscape" and spend money on that when the outdoors and park is a whole playscape before you?	11/9/2024 10:21 AM
658	Really like the additional trails, boardwalks, decks & overlooks in this plan, as well as the connection to the rest of the park. Think this is just TOO much parking & TOO large of	11/9/2024 10:20 AM


	pavilion. This will invite too many large groups in all at once, spoiling the natural feel of the park.	
659	The event center amenities will be added value for weddings etc and linked trail to existing trails will be good for fitness	11/9/2024 9:24 AM
660	Keep it minimal. I would not like to see a ton of new people here. This is a beautiful beach. Let's keep it that way.	11/9/2024 8:58 AM
661	Reducing the 200 space parking lot to 100 would be more appropriate for this park.	11/9/2024 7:57 AM
662	I love the natural playground. We travel to the Outdoor Discovery Center in Holland specifically for this. It'd be great to have close by.	11/9/2024 7:42 AM
663	More parking the better	11/9/2024 7:41 AM
664	Too much pavement t	11/9/2024 7:09 AM
665	N/a	11/8/2024 10:43 PM
666	None	11/8/2024 9:47 PM
667	This plan allows a lot of accessibility for everyone	11/8/2024 5:28 PM
668	The use of overlook decks and crushed stone trails helps keep people on the established trails and off the natural areas.	11/8/2024 5:13 PM
669	I like the bike trail connection. Not sure how you would use the the event center, Although, I attended a concert at Ludington State Park out door amphitheater. Local duet performed and it was a donation fund raiser for the Friends of Ludington S.P. Maybe the event center would be a good idea, but not essential. Not sold on it, though.	11/8/2024 5:01 PM
670	Bathroom at lake on north end of the park.	11/8/2024 4:30 PM
671	None	11/8/2024 3:17 PM
672	No playscape.	11/8/2024 3:12 PM
673	This is a great plan - if you incorporate all the trail systems of the full utilization plan...	11/8/2024 3:06 PM
674	please limit parking to 75 spaces so the beach does not become crowded. Please reward people who walk to the beach with a serene experience. There are plenty of crowded beach experiences in Ottawa County	11/8/2024 12:15 PM
675	It would be nice to have a 3 season nature education building for programming, but a 250 person capacity is too much.	11/8/2024 10:04 AM
676	Perfect	11/8/2024 9:25 AM
677	Unsure of need of all the extra amenities. Just need parking, bathroom, and trails. Focus on access.	11/8/2024 9:05 AM
678	None of the above.. even the minimal impact plan is too much...expand what you already have on the south side if you think it's necessary	11/8/2024 8:31 AM
679	I think that more trails provide better access to nature. A natural playscape would be nice.	11/8/2024 8:15 AM
680	How about a trail for mountain off road biking.	11/8/2024 7:56 AM
681	Less intrusive the better	11/8/2024 6:41 AM
682	Sadly, a large parking lot is needed if the large event center is a reality. I personally would NOT prefer to see the character of the park change with this type of a shift. It would massively change the feel of how the park is used and the ongoing maintenance of those added elements.	11/8/2024 12:14 AM
683	Minimize the disruption to nature of this very special property that is so close to town,	11/7/2024 9:58 PM
684	A Pavillion that houses 250 people would really change this park. I would stop going to rosy mound if this type of change occurred.	11/7/2024 9:53 PM
685	Again, show all trails from other concepts	11/7/2024 9:14 PM

686	Play grounds are very expensive and seems out of place at Rosy Mound	11/7/2024 8:28 PM
687	My favorite plan	11/7/2024 7:24 PM
688	None	11/7/2024 7:23 PM
689	I really like the connector trail to the existing trail system in the middle of the park. Parking seems to be high. Also, the trail connecting parking areas should be added. The nature center is great and I love the multi- tiered deck.	11/7/2024 7:22 PM
690	Keep it as natural as possible. Less is more for nature	11/7/2024 7:21 PM
691	None	11/7/2024 7:10 PM
692	The hybrid and full utilization plans are absolutely ridiculous and should not even be considered.	11/7/2024 7:08 PM
693	Traffic on lakeshore - big concern	11/7/2024 6:58 PM
694	N/A	11/7/2024 6:52 PM
695	I think this plan would be the most beneficial	11/7/2024 6:42 PM
696	If you went with this plan adding the connector to the old park would be great	11/7/2024 6:41 PM
697	None	11/7/2024 6:37 PM
698	Less is better more natural	11/7/2024 6:27 PM
699	Perhaps the Hybrid offers a good initial layout (esp the roadway), but start with a fewer/downsized number of the features and amenities.	11/7/2024 3:09 PM
700	More trails are nice to access more parts of the park for people to spread out on but not if it wrecks the place.	11/7/2024 1:03 PM
701	Amt. of parking seems a bit excessive.	11/7/2024 12:26 PM
702	I love the playscape idea! Maybe a kids zip line too!	11/7/2024 11:22 AM
703	Less parking post required. Utilize more high ridge trail connecting to existing trails.	11/7/2024 10:51 AM
704	I like how this plan includes a play area for kids. I REALLY like how this trail plan connects to the existing trails. Not a fan of how large the parking area is.	11/7/2024 10:10 AM
705	Love this plan! Included all great amenities. Great use of space. Would love to see a larger overlook here.	11/7/2024 10:07 AM
706	parking area seems excessive to keep this a quiet nature forward park. It doesn't seem like a space that should be a "play" park, but a quiet nature space.	11/7/2024 9:33 AM
707	I'm not in favor of over-development of parks and natural spaces. There are plenty of very developed parks in Ottawa County's quiver already (Ottawa Dunes, for example).	11/7/2024 8:36 AM
708	Keep this area as natural as possible. One of my concerns about Ottawa County parks is how developed they are. I understand the need to be accessible to people of all abilities, but in many cases the parks have gone too far by losing the natural character of the land.	11/7/2024 8:19 AM
709	n/a	11/7/2024 8:07 AM
710	Better	11/7/2024 6:36 AM
711	the beach and the natural walks are all kids needs to enjoy nature and the beautiful lake - no play areas needed	11/7/2024 6:34 AM
712	i would like to see less impact to nature than this plan proposes	11/6/2024 9:36 PM
713	NA	11/6/2024 8:19 PM
714	Need to go bigger.	11/6/2024 7:04 PM
715	Adequate parking is important. Current facilities are not adequate.	11/6/2024 6:02 PM
716	Put the roses back on Rosy Mound. 200 parking places? For what attraction?	11/6/2024 4:08 PM

717	I do like the additional trails and the natural playscape	11/6/2024 3:53 PM
718	.	11/6/2024 3:41 PM
719	None	11/6/2024 3:36 PM
720	Mountain Bike trails	11/6/2024 3:36 PM
721	The parking lot seems way excessive.	11/6/2024 3:24 PM
722	none	11/6/2024 3:18 PM
723	None	11/6/2024 3:09 PM
724	none	11/6/2024 2:58 PM
725	would like definition of "nature center" - how would this be different from the one already in West Olive?	11/6/2024 2:55 PM
726	Please make a pathway to the northern stairs that does not end in Terry Trails Association	11/6/2024 2:49 PM
727	Playscapes and other hardscape features are not needed at Rosy Mound park, Grand Haven has many other parks for that. Rosy Mound is one of the few in the area that are known for their natural state.	11/6/2024 2:38 PM
728	This destroys too much of the property, keep it as natural as possible...do not need more parking or more buildings.	11/6/2024 2:29 PM
729	NONE	11/6/2024 2:06 PM
730	I don't think this area should be developed.	11/6/2024 2:00 PM
731	Make all trails asphalt	11/6/2024 1:40 PM
732	My least favorite of the three. We have vast picnic opportunities at Kirk and other parks, and while interpretive/educational signs are great, I would limit much beyond that. Parking is, I know, a problem; as the guy who had to deal with that issue at the fledgling-then Park 12, I can attest to the fact that a lack of parking causes more ill-will towards the Parks than almost anything else...but 250 spaces is a lot of land. And playground equipment? Nature is the playground.	11/6/2024 1:39 PM
733	na	11/6/2024 1:37 PM
734	Is 200 parking spots enough if you build a 250 person shelter? I like the shelter but am concerned that there is not enough parking to accommodate the park use and shelter rentals..	11/6/2024 1:35 PM
735	A few small picnic areas would be nice if they could be implemented "in budget."	11/6/2024 1:34 PM
736	Scheduled bus connections with Macatawa Bus and Interurban Bus. Seniors without vehicles or have given up driving could utilize the park.	11/6/2024 1:31 PM
737	This is my favorite plan. I'd like to see more trails/loops towards the South/SE.	11/6/2024 1:27 PM
738	A 250 person shelter is a hard no for me. I hope this can be avoided.	11/6/2024 1:26 PM
739	N/A	11/6/2024 1:18 PM
740	Love the additional paths added to this from the minimal plan, also like the playscape idea, not a fan of so much space taken up by parking and large event center.	11/6/2024 1:14 PM
741	N/A	11/6/2024 1:04 PM
742	No huge parking lot/picnic area	11/6/2024 12:52 PM
743	Adding that much parking or an event center would be a mistake. Visitors are often careless in regards to the natural areas of the park as it is, bringing in masses, likely with alcohol, is not a good combination to maintain the pristine condition of Rosy Mound.	11/6/2024 12:41 PM

Q8 Which Park features do you approve of in the Full Utilization Plan? (Check all that apply)

Answered: 1,250 Skipped: 259



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Natural restoration/habitat areas	88.00%	1,100
125 space parking lot with lighting and space for overflow parking	24.56%	307
Picnic shelter accommodating 150 people	16.80%	210
Interpretation center at the top of the dune (Dune Perch)	25.60%	320
Modern restroom building	64.16%	802
Trail head with amenities such as drinking fountain, bike parking, bike repair station, signage, shade, and seating	57.76%	722
Barrier-free loop trail approximately one (1) mile long with lighting	56.24%	703
Crushed stone trails and boardwalk/connection to beach	51.52%	644
Natural surface trails	74.16%	927
Trails/boardwalk connections to existing southwest corner of park	57.60%	720
Trails/boardwalk connections to existing east corner of park	53.92%	674
Overlook decks	53.28%	666
Total Respondents: 1,250		

Q9 Please provide any additional comments specific to the Full Utilization Plan.

Answered: 772 Skipped: 737

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	More native plants with deer fence protection	11/22/2024 7:06 AM
2	Please keep the impact minimal on the ecosystem	11/22/2024 6:32 AM
3	Too much here. There is no need to add all this extra.	11/22/2024 6:32 AM
4	Na	11/22/2024 6:31 AM
5	No dogs!	11/22/2024 5:29 AM
6	N/A	11/21/2024 11:29 PM
7	I would remove the trail heading southwest to maintain more natural habitat	11/21/2024 11:04 PM
8	You're making me cry	11/21/2024 11:01 PM
9	Yeah don't do anything on this. Don't do anything. Leave it alone	11/21/2024 10:05 PM
10	None	11/21/2024 10:03 PM
11	Why no natural playscape?	11/21/2024 9:33 PM
12	I did not check any as I see the plan to be too massive! I grew up next to this dune & our property borders it. I have had a lifetime of climbing/enjoying it when it was virgin territory. I want it to be saved but would like it to be done with prudence. PLEASE limit the amount of people that you are inviting or it will be destroyed! Human nature for many is to "use" a property/park without exercising due respect or gratitude for it! The gift should be cherished.	11/21/2024 9:25 PM
13	This is WAY overboard. Put that money into affordable housing or something useful.	11/21/2024 9:22 PM
14	None	11/21/2024 9:15 PM
15	Got to have that play scape! So many young families looking for things like this in my generation and there's so few options in our area.	11/21/2024 8:21 PM
16	Leave it natural	11/21/2024 8:12 PM
17	None	11/21/2024 8:04 PM
18	Dogs in Rosy Mound; YES!	11/21/2024 4:03 PM
19	Way too intrusive with the extension of the paved road halfway into the park. It seems to depart significantly from the natural area concept. Would the interpretive center require onsite personnel and at what cost. This plan does not seem to speak to the Parks Mission Statement of "stewarding natural communities".	11/21/2024 3:58 PM
20	The Ottawa County Parks Mission Statement says "...stewarding natural communities". This plan does not follow the Mission Statement. Too many amenities that reduces the extreme importance of keeping areas natural for the benefit of wildlife. Too much of the proposed area for amenities that has been very beneficial for wildlife for all these years would be gone. Birders come from all over to enjoy the natural areas and wildlife in the parks.	11/21/2024 3:58 PM
21	None	11/21/2024 3:28 PM
22	Unnecessary and invasive	11/21/2024 2:55 PM
23	Did I mention that it's vital to allow fair and reasonable access to Rosy Mound for dog owners?	11/21/2024 2:52 PM
24	Please do not develop this land! Please do not use this plan if you do.	11/21/2024 2:35 PM

25	None	11/21/2024 2:17 PM
26	~	11/21/2024 2:06 PM
27	None	11/21/2024 1:51 PM
28	.	11/21/2024 1:39 PM
29	Leave alone	11/21/2024 1:24 PM
30	None	11/21/2024 1:21 PM
31	This is way too much. It destroys too many natural areas.	11/21/2024 12:32 PM
32	This is way too much. Leave the area natural.	11/21/2024 12:23 PM
33	The full utilization plan feels like it's trying to stuff way to many things into a very small space. One of the best features of Rosy Mound is being able to hike and enjoy a forested and open dune ecosystem in a relatively undisturbed setting. Adding in a lot of infrastructure to the new section would diminish this outstanding feature of the park.	11/21/2024 12:22 PM
34	This is horrendous.	11/21/2024 12:09 PM
35	This does too much to our natural area.	11/21/2024 11:47 AM
36	Too much development	11/21/2024 11:00 AM
37	N/A	11/21/2024 10:55 AM
38	What is the interpretive center? Staffed? Just a pod of signs? Other?	11/21/2024 10:54 AM
39	This is awful no	11/21/2024 10:53 AM
40	Definitely do not need that large of a parking area. Picnic shelter should be 1/10 that size.	11/21/2024 10:33 AM
41	The existing nature Ed center at Hemlock Crossing should serve as the interpretive center for Rosy Mound. A traveling naturalist stationed at RM during busy times would be more affective.	11/21/2024 10:33 AM
42	Please do not overdevelop, we are losing green spaces with all the development as is.	11/21/2024 10:08 AM
43	too much	11/21/2024 9:56 AM
44	Too much	11/21/2024 9:55 AM
45	NA	11/21/2024 9:51 AM
46	Although it will be comfortable and convenient it is just too much	11/21/2024 9:25 AM
47	What I object to in this plan is the larger footprint within the area and the large group picnic shelter. I like the idea of fewer parking spaces but don't like lengthening the road to get to the interpretation center. This plan just doesn't add anything practical yet introduces a lot of pavement and other disturbances to the area.	11/21/2024 9:23 AM
48	.	11/21/2024 9:22 AM
49	Extreme impact to critical dunes. Did your planners consider any regional examples of minimizing dune impact??	11/21/2024 8:59 AM
50	Na	11/21/2024 8:52 AM
51	Parking lot could be expanded in the future if there were need for it	11/21/2024 8:35 AM
52	This is a sensitive dune environment, not Pere Marquette Park.	11/21/2024 8:35 AM
53	WE DO NOT NEED TO DESTROY THAT AMOUNT OF SPACE TO PLEASE A HANDFUL OF PEOPLE.	11/21/2024 8:34 AM
54	Too much, definitely don't want lighting or that much parking	11/21/2024 8:32 AM
55	Too big, too much.	11/21/2024 8:13 AM
56	It's so noisy near Lakeshore Dr. that the connections to the east corner of the park would be the least pleasant to hike/walk. Perhaps better to leave that area undisturbed.	11/21/2024 8:10 AM

57	Leave our natural spaces alone, people come from all over to appreciate our nature, why are we ruining it?	11/21/2024 7:46 AM
58	I like the concept but it invades into too much of the natural dunes.	11/21/2024 7:43 AM
59	Don't go overboard	11/21/2024 7:41 AM
60	Nonep	11/21/2024 7:41 AM
61	N/A	11/21/2024 7:24 AM
62	These concepts are amazing, I just like quiet parks. More amenities, more people...there is good and bad in that. I think I prefer keeping this property quieter...if that is possible considering how popular it is.	11/21/2024 7:14 AM
63	Again not all of that is necessary	11/21/2024 6:42 AM
64	None	11/21/2024 6:18 AM
65	I love as many trail options as possible! Rosy mound is getting pretty busy (which is great!) but I do like to be more spread out when going on a nature hike.	11/21/2024 5:58 AM
66	I don't like how large the concept looks taking away from the natural feel of the park. I love how this park is natural to start and lots to do with just the natural beauty of the animals, dunes, and beach. Putting all this is taking away from that.	11/21/2024 5:54 AM
67	None	11/21/2024 5:45 AM
68	Leave natural	11/21/2024 5:32 AM
69	To much to maintain and the cost of the build.	11/21/2024 4:53 AM
70	N/a	11/21/2024 3:20 AM
71	NA	11/21/2024 2:29 AM
72	none	11/21/2024 1:04 AM
73	Na	11/21/2024 12:42 AM
74	N/A	11/21/2024 12:17 AM
75	Needs a natural play space for the kids	11/20/2024 11:45 PM
76	I like the idea of connecting trails!	11/20/2024 11:18 PM
77	WAY too much. i love this park the way it is. We do not need some big and gaudy park here.	11/20/2024 11:11 PM
78	Way too much development. Lots of asphalt. Could impact drainage and negatively effect erosion.	11/20/2024 10:52 PM
79	No development	11/20/2024 10:44 PM
80	Better, but still too many parking spaces and large picnic shelter is not necessary.	11/20/2024 10:30 PM
81	None	11/20/2024 10:26 PM
82	We don't need that much parking - it takes away from the park and we have rosy mound school for overflow parking already. Event space, that much parking and all the xtra stuff is too much.	11/20/2024 10:17 PM
83	Do not like this plan	11/20/2024 9:53 PM
84	I do like that parking is smaller in this model	11/20/2024 9:44 PM
85	N/A	11/20/2024 9:42 PM
86	None	11/20/2024 9:38 PM
87	None	11/20/2024 9:38 PM
88	NA	11/20/2024 9:38 PM
89	None	11/20/2024 9:35 PM

90	I would rather it be left alone	11/20/2024 9:32 PM
91	Please keep the impact as minimal as possible, and don't go for full utilization. We need open natural spaces around here. All other green space seems to be developed or will be eventually. People need nature for their mental health!	11/20/2024 9:28 PM
92	Minimal	11/20/2024 9:07 PM
93	The large turn around would be a bit of an eyesore. Along with the pavilions.	11/20/2024 9:07 PM
94	Please keep the area as natural as possible	11/20/2024 9:05 PM
95	Too much	11/20/2024 9:05 PM
96	Do not do this, it is overkill. You may as well put condos on it if you're going to develop it with buildings, trails, bathrooms, parking lots. Keep it as natural as possible.	11/20/2024 9:03 PM
97	I do not believe that a full utilization plan is best. We need to protect what dunes and wildlife that we have left.	11/20/2024 9:01 PM
98	No development	11/20/2024 8:58 PM
99	Please make the trails leashed dog friendly. This is my favorite park and I live within walking distance but am unable to enjoy without ability to walk dog. My response below is that I visit Rosy Mound seldom is because I can't take the dog. I would LOVE to enjoy the park regularly.	11/20/2024 8:53 PM
100	No	11/20/2024 8:53 PM
101	Looks too built up.	11/20/2024 8:47 PM
102	Worst idea!	11/20/2024 7:50 PM
103	Please no interpretation center. The existing nature center is great! It seems unnecessary	11/20/2024 7:12 PM
104	We do not need 125 parking spaces.	11/20/2024 6:42 PM
105	None	11/20/2024 6:34 PM
106	Ditto...I visit the current park frequently and have never had an issue finding a parking place... the world doesn't need more parking lots	11/20/2024 6:31 PM
107	More beach access	11/20/2024 6:07 PM
108	I approve of a crosswalk for pedestrians safety	11/20/2024 6:01 PM
109	Far too much!	11/20/2024 5:47 PM
110	N/A	11/20/2024 5:45 PM
111	You're going to kill so much native wildlife with this plan.	11/20/2024 5:43 PM
112	Thanks	11/20/2024 5:41 PM
113	None	11/20/2024 5:30 PM
114	I do not believe that there needs to be that much parking. It would be nice to not have larging buildings at the park and instead, have small picnic areas.	11/20/2024 5:20 PM
115	As with all the plans I like the ideas of trails. I prefer less crushed stone, less pavement, less building of boardwalks. Keep the trails natural dirt and sand.	11/20/2024 5:18 PM
116	The sand mining was destructive enough. I am saddened by the thought of all this construction/destruction in Rosy natural area.	11/20/2024 5:06 PM
117	N/a	11/20/2024 4:58 PM
118	Same comments as the hybrid plan, but I like the idea of an eastern connection to enable a longer hiking loop.	11/20/2024 4:57 PM
119	No. This is ridiculous.	11/20/2024 3:53 PM
120	None	11/20/2024 3:40 PM
121	Spaces should be for humans to be in connection with nature not to "modernize" it to fit	11/20/2024 3:31 PM

	capitalizing off the land.	
122	Make paths wheelchair accessible	11/20/2024 3:24 PM
123	Less development.	11/20/2024 3:22 PM
124	None	11/20/2024 3:21 PM
125	No e	11/20/2024 3:14 PM
126	Please do not block hills. Allow people to sled and ski in open area. It's an old sand mine and doesn't need to be restored.	11/20/2024 2:33 PM
127	The number of parking spaces is good however the lot is so deep into the park and takes away from Nature and outdoor ambience. Do not see the need for a connection to the east corner of the park. I'm concerned it could damage those natural areas. I have no idea what the interpretation center at the top of the dune is. I'm not 100% positive I support it.	11/20/2024 2:07 PM
128	The lighting in the parking lot takes away from the nature aspect As Modern restroom. There is ongoing cost that will happen because of these two options	11/20/2024 1:51 PM
129	.	11/20/2024 1:34 PM
130	I think it uses too much	11/20/2024 12:54 PM
131	It's too much.	11/20/2024 12:44 PM
132	Absolutely horrible! stop destroying the land	11/20/2024 12:42 PM
133	No. Don't do this plan.	11/20/2024 12:39 PM
134	I have nothing to add.	11/20/2024 11:59 AM
135	n/a	11/20/2024 11:52 AM
136	none	11/20/2024 11:48 AM
137	Forget this.	11/20/2024 11:47 AM
138	Again less event like	11/20/2024 11:31 AM
139	Keep it simple please.	11/20/2024 11:23 AM
140	Keep it natural and keep the cost down.	11/20/2024 10:24 AM
141	trail lighting isn't a good idea, it can disrupt the ecosystem. Should only implement natural lighting for less impact	11/20/2024 10:02 AM
142	Na	11/20/2024 9:49 AM
143	This takes way too much of the natural area up.	11/20/2024 9:45 AM
144	A few naturally surfaced trails could be nice for those who have difficulty getting around on crushed stone. But I'm not a fan of the layout of this plan, too sprawling. It feels too invasive to the park especially when from what I understand, you are hoping to return some of it to the natural dune that used to be there.	11/20/2024 9:37 AM
145	Keep it natural as possible	11/20/2024 9:24 AM
146	This is awful. Asphalt uses petroleum ingredients that's will leach into our water system.	11/20/2024 8:47 AM
147	Please keep as natural as the park is now	11/20/2024 8:43 AM
148	None	11/20/2024 8:25 AM
149	No development	11/20/2024 8:23 AM
150	Less parking	11/20/2024 8:15 AM
151	Wish it had a playscape	11/20/2024 7:55 AM
152	Way overdeveloped, eliminate large overlook in center of park. Too many parking spaces and building construction.	11/20/2024 7:29 AM

153	Don't make it big!	11/20/2024 7:12 AM
154	Not a fan of more parking spaces and large group shelters.	11/20/2024 5:02 AM
155	my preference is to simply have more trails connected to current trails. very excited about this addition. thanks	11/20/2024 4:59 AM
156	None	11/20/2024 4:02 AM
157	Too many buildings and large parking areas will take away from the natural beauty of the land	11/19/2024 11:16 PM
158	Too intrusive. The more people that visit, the more people will go off-trail and disturb the area's sensitive features.	11/19/2024 10:58 PM
159	I really like the concept of the larger 3 seasons event space from the hybrid plan.	11/19/2024 10:54 PM
160	The drop off area is a really nice feature to give more access while still maintaining the natural feel of the park.	11/19/2024 10:30 PM
161	NA	11/19/2024 10:29 PM
162	This plan has the best divide between space and amenities. 125 parking spots provides adequate parking space as well as giving more opportunities to spread out within the area.	11/19/2024 10:28 PM
163	Over developed for a large crowd that will probably be too rare to be worth the investment. Too expensive and excessive.	11/19/2024 10:11 PM
164	Think you should add yurts to this plan	11/19/2024 9:18 PM
165	I mean. Really everything here is fine as well. Maybe the concept drawing just seems busy and made me think it had too much. It could use a natural playscape.	11/19/2024 9:13 PM
166	I don't like it	11/19/2024 9:07 PM
167	Add a disc golf course.	11/19/2024 8:56 PM
168	Looks good	11/19/2024 8:31 PM
169	None	11/19/2024 8:25 PM
170	As much natural as possible with paths for walking	11/19/2024 8:24 PM
171	Grand haven has spaces that exist with these amenities. Please keep natural spaces natural. In a world getting more complex, please leave this be for people to enjoy and unwind, and recharge their brains and bodies.	11/19/2024 8:21 PM
172	Too much pavement. Buildings are unnecessary.	11/19/2024 8:11 PM
173	None	11/19/2024 7:44 PM
174	NA	11/19/2024 7:42 PM
175	Leave it alone and let it be dunes	11/19/2024 7:30 PM
176	Na	11/19/2024 7:15 PM
177	None	11/19/2024 7:07 PM
178	I don't understand the need for an interpretation center, but that could be due to lack of knowledge and information.	11/19/2024 6:51 PM
179	My only main concerns or dislikes with the full utilization plan are the locations of the parking lots and the picnic shelter. I like the idea of the parking lot staying closer to the road to protect critical habitat for open space ground nesting birds such as Eastern Whip-or-Wills and Common Nighthawks. These birds are less likely to nest in areas with constant disturbance, and I worry that car traffic could disrupt areas where current nesting is occurring, or has the potential to occur in the future. I also prefer the three season shelter to the larger picnic shelter, as it could be utilized for a wider variety of activities.	11/19/2024 6:10 PM
180	None	11/19/2024 5:21 PM
181	None	11/19/2024 5:13 PM

182	N/a	11/19/2024 4:16 PM
183	Dog park or dog friendly areas	11/19/2024 4:02 PM
184	No	11/19/2024 3:50 PM
185	Na	11/19/2024 3:47 PM
186	Its a bit too much development, too much parking.	11/19/2024 3:43 PM
187	None	11/19/2024 2:06 PM
188	This is such a nice park to come to as it is not crowded and its peaceful and quiet. A lot of people jog in the park because its not crowded.	11/19/2024 2:03 PM
189	None	11/19/2024 1:43 PM
190	Wondering what the logic is between the parking lot size difference of hybrid and full utilization?	11/19/2024 1:24 PM
191	Benches Telescopes at viewing areas.	11/19/2024 1:10 PM
192	It needs a play area like the hybrid plan	11/19/2024 1:09 PM
193	Please introduce only native plants to this area when considering landscaping, and no non-native grass :)	11/19/2024 1:04 PM
194	I think the parking lot is too large and would ruin the landscape.	11/19/2024 12:55 PM
195	N/a	11/19/2024 12:53 PM
196	Na	11/19/2024 12:50 PM
197	I worry it may feel less immersive in nature. Not sure what interpretation center means	11/19/2024 12:49 PM
198	This plan is more intrusive on nature.	11/19/2024 12:35 PM
199	None	11/19/2024 12:28 PM
200	Will people be using the park when it is dark out? Is a lighted parking lot worth the light pollution and added energy consumption? I am unsure if the dune habitat is the right location for large picnic shelters.	11/19/2024 12:25 PM
201	If the plan is to go to Full Utilization, I would like to see something that resembles Hemlock park. With its nature center and hosting of events. Grand haven could use a park with an education/nature center.	11/19/2024 12:24 PM
202	Dog friendly park	11/19/2024 12:10 PM
203	I believe this plan is excessive and unnecessary	11/19/2024 11:56 AM
204	More parking, less gathering space	11/19/2024 11:51 AM
205	Less is more	11/19/2024 11:34 AM
206	None	11/19/2024 11:25 AM
207	That is just too much it takes away the calm and natural vibe of rosy mound	11/19/2024 10:48 AM
208	This plan allows for more socialization but can be damaging to life currently thriving here in my opinion. I do understand the extra room for rest areas and places to socialize but I think that the Minimal Concept Plan can do just that with very little harm to wildlife.	11/19/2024 10:28 AM
209	N/a	11/19/2024 10:09 AM
210	Keep everything low key, no huge parking areas. Maybe raise the cost of seasonal passes to keep the amount of vehicles at a minimum.	11/19/2024 9:14 AM
211	Same comments for this plan as others	11/19/2024 9:10 AM
212	Don't like the parking area so far into the park. Prefer to keep it near the road. I like the location of the hybrid plan parking lot but think it is overly large.	11/19/2024 9:06 AM
213	No bike trails, bikes tear up the land and bikers do not share the trails.	11/19/2024 8:52 AM

214	too much development	11/19/2024 8:42 AM
215	I worry about how much the use the interpretation center would see	11/19/2024 8:03 AM
216	Do not like extended roadway	11/19/2024 7:52 AM
217	This would be an abomination, pavement and lighting ughh	11/19/2024 7:32 AM
218	Same comments as above.	11/19/2024 7:29 AM
219	None	11/19/2024 7:15 AM
220	None	11/19/2024 7:05 AM
221	As someone who still loves to get out and enjoy nature, but has increasing mobility issues unless there is parking at the dune perch that is no going to be accessible to all.	11/19/2024 7:00 AM
222	None	11/19/2024 6:58 AM
223	None	11/19/2024 6:55 AM
224	None	11/19/2024 6:40 AM
225	I don't like the idea of the interp area at top of the dunes. I like the idea of education- but not in that sensitive area which could then cause more damage.	11/19/2024 6:05 AM
226	All looks awesome!	11/19/2024 6:02 AM
227	Na	11/19/2024 5:41 AM
228	Ideally this would be the trail layout for the park, with the parking lot and amenities of the minimal impact layout.	11/19/2024 1:44 AM
229	Minimal paving and disturbance are the way to go my friends	11/19/2024 1:32 AM
230	This is a terrible plan do not do this	11/19/2024 12:41 AM
231	i like the concept of connecting trails to existing trails in the park. This one is the only one that had lots of trail options and i like that because i think it will allow people to get away from the more crowded and popular areas. I do not like how far into the dunes the development here goes. i think if it was all closer to the road i'd like it better. Also, i like the concept of the nature playscape and i wish that was included in this plan.	11/18/2024 11:09 PM
232	Worst plan. Minimal is better - from a cost and impact standpoint	11/18/2024 11:01 PM
233	I like the event space, utilization of empty space, and parking.	11/18/2024 10:46 PM
234	Like the east trail. Don't like how much the parking/road/loop intrudes into the property	11/18/2024 10:46 PM
235	Keep it simple. Too much parking. Too many people. It is a peaceful location	11/18/2024 10:41 PM
236	Too much	11/18/2024 10:22 PM
237	Trails are great, impact is not	11/18/2024 10:15 PM
238	Na	11/18/2024 10:11 PM
239	Parking and picnic area are excessive. Parks should be quiet spaces.	11/18/2024 10:08 PM
240	Like paths to south east. Don't like lighting and it feels like a city park rather than a beach and hike location	11/18/2024 9:55 PM
241	Dog walking loop would be amazing.	11/18/2024 9:46 PM
242	Would love to see a fat bike trail or mountain bike access. Would love to also see trails for dogs in this park.	11/18/2024 9:45 PM
243	I don't think 125 car spots are necessary.	11/18/2024 9:34 PM
244	Minimal parking	11/18/2024 9:28 PM
245	Good connection to present park	11/18/2024 9:24 PM
246	Picnic shelter and dune top center is too much. Don't like the longer paved road	11/18/2024 9:19 PM

247	DONT DO ANY OF IT	11/18/2024 9:18 PM
248	Expanding activity this far feels like it will prevent the natural revitalization of the dune.	11/18/2024 9:15 PM
249	I do appreciate the accessibility of having a lookout that can be driven to the dune perch. I need to remind myself that we do gain value through development when access is top of mind.	11/18/2024 8:39 PM
250	Needs playscape/playground.	11/18/2024 8:32 PM
251	Geared toward a more lazy crowd. Don't destroy nature for convenience.	11/18/2024 8:31 PM
252	N/A	11/18/2024 8:29 PM
253	Please don't ruin another beautiful natural area like Ottawa Sands	11/18/2024 8:27 PM
254	N/A	11/18/2024 8:24 PM
255	This is silly and a waste of money.	11/18/2024 8:23 PM
256	I like the Dune Perch	11/18/2024 8:20 PM
257	I love all the potential connection trails. Not sure about the lighting in the parking area; there's already so much light pollution around we don't need more	11/18/2024 8:16 PM
258	This isn't a bad plan. Good features.	11/18/2024 8:10 PM
259	I would rather see two 75 person shelters, or three 50 person , so that more groups that are smaller could utilize a covered space, thinking field trips, family reunions, church youth groups, etc.	11/18/2024 7:58 PM
260	Concerns about increased public traffic, long durations of noisy construction and heavy equipment for nearby residents.	11/18/2024 7:58 PM
261	None	11/18/2024 7:56 PM
262	I like the size of the parking lot, and the mixture of natural and boardwalk/stone trails	11/18/2024 7:51 PM
263	N/a	11/18/2024 7:48 PM
264	Na	11/18/2024 7:47 PM
265	I love the idea of having lighting on the trails. This will be useful at all times of the year, but especially coming from the beach late at night. My mom is a victim of rape and I support any safety features such as lighting.	11/18/2024 7:21 PM
266	Seems to me like not much additional usability compared to hybrid option	11/18/2024 7:20 PM
267	None	11/18/2024 7:19 PM
268	N/A	11/18/2024 7:18 PM
269	Keep development to minimum	11/18/2024 6:56 PM
270	This concept planned up implemented would make me lose faith in Ottawa county Parks and Recreation division	11/18/2024 6:54 PM
271	A larger restroom or two, with changing rooms. The ones at Kirk are not private enough for safety (in this age of videos and camera phones). With the proposed volume of visitors, those bathrooms and changing options are wholly inadequate	11/18/2024 6:52 PM
272	I like the eastern trail connecting the new entrance to the old, I think it creates a very nice walking loop that utilizes all the space. However, the expanded parking and seasonal building all feels like too much disturbance to the dune.	11/18/2024 6:42 PM
273	N.a	11/18/2024 6:40 PM
274	I think a connecting trail between parking lots is a must. People should be able to utilize an "outer loop" that encompasses the entire property with options for inter loops	11/18/2024 6:33 PM
275	Maybe too much	11/18/2024 6:28 PM
276	This amount of construction/land destruction would have a devastating impact to countless species of native plants and animals	11/18/2024 6:15 PM

277	Minimal impact.	11/18/2024 5:54 PM
278	This level of development is unnecessary	11/18/2024 4:13 PM
279	N/a	11/18/2024 4:06 PM
280	Too many new trails	11/18/2024 3:44 PM
281	NA	11/18/2024 3:12 PM
282	I like all these features and also would approved all of them	11/18/2024 2:12 PM
283	No development.	11/18/2024 1:02 PM
284	Leave it be	11/18/2024 10:43 AM
285	The preference of OIAS is the minimal impact option with the exception that the additional trails/overlook options could be provided from the hybrid option to allow for more hiking opportunities and hawk watching viewing points.	11/18/2024 4:59 AM
286	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/18/2024 2:07 AM
287	No development. Lets look back at the mission and goals of Rosy Mound NATURAL Area. This area will NEVER be the same if it is developed. Why do we have to develop ever acreage of this beautiful scared land. Please let nature be!! We have few areas left. Lets continue to provide a natural habitat for nature and the animals	11/17/2024 9:58 PM
288	I love all the trails that this option provides. I'd like to see a smaller parking area for 75-100 spaces with future plan for expanded natural draining parking area if needed.	11/17/2024 8:57 PM
289	Beach access is not needed	11/17/2024 8:56 PM
290	Too much development.	11/17/2024 5:07 PM
291	Upkeep on the full utilization plan would be a major task. I would consider eliminating the trails in the northwestern area to provide even more privacy for the private housing association just north of the park. I think keeping the southwestern trail connecting parts of the park rather than having two connecting trails is preferred. The one closer to Lakeshore drive might encourage more hikers to cut though the property from Lakeshore Drive damaging the fragile natural habitats along the roadway.	11/17/2024 3:23 PM
292	Na	11/17/2024 2:58 PM
293	Not overly enthusiasm about large gathering spaces, prefer limit of 75-100 people.	11/17/2024 2:17 PM
294	A 200-space parking area makes me fear that this beautiful natural area will start to look more like GH State Park. Of course, GH State Park is wonderful for what it is, but it is not a peaceful place to spend time in nature.	11/17/2024 12:55 PM
295	No comments	11/17/2024 10:24 AM
296	No Development!	11/17/2024 8:52 AM
297	No development	11/17/2024 6:59 AM
298	I see no mention of trash bins. Given peoples' propensity to litter there should be a generous number of bins along the pathways.	11/17/2024 6:52 AM
299	Way too much concrete. Less development is better here. Think about the habitat.	11/17/2024 2:01 AM
300	It looks like an artificial "natural" area. What on earth is NATURAL about this plan?!?	11/17/2024 1:39 AM
301	Again, keep this a natural area and not a big park.	11/16/2024 9:48 PM
302	Too much going on in this plan. I fear this plan would take away from the nature aspect of the park.	11/16/2024 9:34 PM
303	Stop all of this development.	11/16/2024 6:49 PM
304	Way too much parking and picnic area too big. Keep Rosy Natural pls :)	11/16/2024 4:38 PM
305	I like the safer road crossing adaptations	11/16/2024 2:20 PM

306	No development	11/16/2024 11:17 AM
307	No development	11/16/2024 11:04 AM
308	Just: no.	11/16/2024 9:59 AM
309	Least favorite of the 3 plans bur a lot of great features like the other 2 plans	11/16/2024 9:07 AM
310	I think this plan increases accessibility to the point of it infringing on the natural state of the area and it's wildlife. The east connector is unnecessary since you can successfully complete loop hikes and connect to the SW corner. The extra effort that this might take for hikers should be worth it since it allows a large tract of uninterrupted habitat on the SE side of the park. The loop drive encourages spectators to drive rather than move. This shouldn't be a roadside attraction or lookout park. Lighting causes further disturbance to wildlife and is unnecessary. If it is dark, we should respect that and go elsewhere.	11/16/2024 9:03 AM
311	NO DEVELOPMENT!	11/16/2024 8:27 AM
312	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/15/2024 9:49 PM
313	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/15/2024 9:26 PM
314	No development	11/15/2024 9:08 PM
315	Pls no. We have lots of parks	11/15/2024 8:45 PM
316	Keep it natural.	11/15/2024 8:09 PM
317	I like the connection to existing park	11/15/2024 7:33 PM
318	Nothing further to add	11/15/2024 5:21 PM
319	Don't make it a tourist trap let the nature continue to be how it is	11/15/2024 4:36 PM
320	Natural surface overflow parking is a much better idea than 200 asphalt spots. The existing tiny Rosy parking lot already handles most normal loads. Will having a 2nd Rosy Trail just divide existing visitors or generate significantly more new visitors on a per day basis? Will this absorb some of the beach traffic?	11/15/2024 3:02 PM
321	please keep natural	11/15/2024 1:37 PM
322	No development	11/15/2024 1:32 PM
323	No development	11/15/2024 1:12 PM
324	No development	11/15/2024 12:44 PM
325	Na	11/15/2024 12:27 PM
326	As minimal disturbance as possible.	11/15/2024 12:27 PM
327	Way too much	11/15/2024 12:10 PM
328	Too much development	11/15/2024 11:33 AM
329	No development	11/15/2024 10:47 AM
330	Should not happen	11/15/2024 9:27 AM
331	Beach access is very important for people with slight disabilities	11/15/2024 9:22 AM
332	This is the worst plan I've ever seen	11/15/2024 9:10 AM
333	Too much overdevelopment!	11/15/2024 8:55 AM
334	Don't ruin it	11/15/2024 8:46 AM
335	Absolutely NO! this needs to be left alone! NO DEVELOPMENT!	11/15/2024 8:45 AM
336	No development	11/15/2024 8:44 AM
337	Leave wild	11/15/2024 8:38 AM
338	Less lighting, light pollution is very real and we need to keep it in mind as we change natural	11/15/2024 8:35 AM

	landscapes.	
339	No No No. Natural trails ONLY.	11/15/2024 8:14 AM
340	Lighting? Please stop ruining our parks.	11/15/2024 8:08 AM
341	Love connecting trails but the interpretation center is too much	11/15/2024 7:57 AM
342	Do not develop	11/15/2024 7:47 AM
343	No development	11/15/2024 7:40 AM
344	Takes away too much of the natural area	11/15/2024 7:39 AM
345	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/15/2024 7:34 AM
346	No	11/15/2024 7:07 AM
347	Do not approve of this plan in anyway as a neighbor of this park how do you plan on helping us keep people off of the delicate sand dunes that are off of park property?	11/15/2024 7:05 AM
348	Na	11/15/2024 7:01 AM
349	The traffic from this plan could have a huge effect on this peaceful area and could pose a danger to the children at Posy Mound school.	11/15/2024 6:47 AM
350	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/15/2024 6:08 AM
351	None	11/14/2024 11:23 PM
352	No picnic shelters! No play areas!	11/14/2024 11:11 PM
353	Again, why take a beautiful, natural place and stamp mankind all over it? Part of what makes Duncan's woods and mulligans hollow so nice is that they are natural	11/14/2024 10:43 PM
354	Please keep the barrier-free loop. My young daughter is special needs. Access to nature and outdoor activities is invaluable to our family. I don't feel that an extra large picnic facility is as useful as smaller ones or a three season option.	11/14/2024 10:43 PM
355	This is the best option	11/14/2024 9:39 PM
356	Would be nice to help disabled access.	11/14/2024 9:19 PM
357	Stop developing everything for horrible people	11/14/2024 8:59 PM
358	DO NOT DO ANYTHING	11/14/2024 8:55 PM
359	Dog friendly spaces for dogs to run and play.	11/14/2024 8:48 PM
360	I appreciate that this land will be preserved for wildlife while also allowing hiking on designated trails.	11/14/2024 8:46 PM
361	Looks good	11/14/2024 8:40 PM
362	Leave the area natural	11/14/2024 8:30 PM
363	Just too much.	11/14/2024 8:29 PM
364	I dislike how far in the constructions and buildings would go into the existing forest	11/14/2024 8:25 PM
365	NA	11/14/2024 8:24 PM
366	NA	11/14/2024 8:23 PM
367	None	11/14/2024 8:20 PM
368	Ok	11/14/2024 8:14 PM
369	No new development	11/14/2024 8:12 PM
370	Keep nature natural	11/14/2024 8:08 PM
371	N/A	11/14/2024 8:07 PM
372	Absolutely not - leave it alone!	11/14/2024 7:59 PM

373	-	11/14/2024 7:49 PM
374	NO DEVELOPMENT specially not "full utilization"	11/14/2024 7:33 PM
375	No Development	11/14/2024 7:31 PM
376	No development	11/14/2024 7:28 PM
377	Please be thoughtful of all the species and creatures that call this place home. That need this to survive. We would be taking it away for more human convenience?	11/14/2024 7:23 PM
378	n/a	11/14/2024 7:23 PM
379	No development	11/14/2024 7:16 PM
380	NA	11/14/2024 7:09 PM
381	Why the hell would you need a 150 person picnic shelter. That is a grotesque waste of taxpayer dollars.	11/14/2024 7:05 PM
382	No development	11/14/2024 7:00 PM
383	This a horrible plan	11/14/2024 6:56 PM
384	Please leave it natural	11/14/2024 6:53 PM
385	No development	11/14/2024 6:49 PM
386	NO DEVELOPMENT!	11/14/2024 6:44 PM
387	100% against full utilization plan!	11/14/2024 6:38 PM
388	No development	11/14/2024 6:28 PM
389	No development	11/14/2024 6:19 PM
390	None of the above	11/14/2024 6:15 PM
391	NO DEVELOPMENT NEEDED, perfect the way it is	11/14/2024 6:08 PM
392	I think all the event spaces and huge parking lots are overkill. It takes away from the natural beauty of the park	11/14/2024 6:07 PM
393	N/A	11/14/2024 6:00 PM
394	Dont do it	11/14/2024 5:31 PM
395	No development is best. Leave it a nature preserve	11/14/2024 5:28 PM
396	I don't want it developed	11/14/2024 5:03 PM
397	No development	11/14/2024 4:58 PM
398	Way too much!!	11/14/2024 4:42 PM
399	None	11/14/2024 4:40 PM
400	Keep It Simple	11/14/2024 4:34 PM
401	No development	11/14/2024 4:20 PM
402	No development preferred	11/14/2024 4:13 PM
403	Less is better. Keep it feeling natural	11/14/2024 3:56 PM
404	Undeveloped!	11/14/2024 3:51 PM
405	No development	11/14/2024 3:48 PM
406	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 3:46 PM
407	No development	11/14/2024 3:41 PM
408	Please protect our natural spaces. We have so few left! There are plenty of parks and trails that may be used, we do not need more	11/14/2024 3:39 PM

409	NO DEVELOPMENT!	11/14/2024 3:37 PM
410	Love the thoughtful ideas. If you can't do all of this at once, I would understand.	11/14/2024 3:31 PM
411	I don't like this plan at all as it destroys alot of natural habitat and housing for wildlife and then people will complain when that wildlife starts showing up elsewhere.	11/14/2024 3:24 PM
412	No new development.	11/14/2024 3:22 PM
413	Ottawa County has an abundance of developed parks and recreation areas. Do we really need another one? What about the needs of our wildlife?	11/14/2024 3:18 PM
414	Protect our natural resources!	11/14/2024 3:14 PM
415	No more development	11/14/2024 3:12 PM
416	Better area with no development.	11/14/2024 3:10 PM
417	.	11/14/2024 3:08 PM
418	Keep it natural	11/14/2024 3:07 PM
419	No development	11/14/2024 3:00 PM
420	No development	11/14/2024 2:50 PM
421	No DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 2:49 PM
422	No development please	11/14/2024 2:44 PM
423	Do not develop the land further.	11/14/2024 2:42 PM
424	Do not put in that large of a parking lot and focus on natural restoration!	11/14/2024 2:41 PM
425	No. Just no. Picnic Shelter? Interpretation Center? Stop it.	11/14/2024 2:25 PM
426	No development	11/14/2024 2:22 PM
427	None	11/14/2024 2:14 PM
428	No development	11/14/2024 2:03 PM
429	none	11/14/2024 1:54 PM
430	No development	11/14/2024 1:54 PM
431	Nothing to add.	11/14/2024 1:54 PM
432	No new development	11/14/2024 1:49 PM
433	This is too much development and takes away from the natural landscape	11/14/2024 1:47 PM
434	Leave it be for the wildlife	11/14/2024 1:46 PM
435	No Development needed!	11/14/2024 1:44 PM
436	No development.	11/14/2024 1:38 PM
437	No additional development.	11/14/2024 1:38 PM
438	NO DEVELOPMENT NO DEVELOPMENT NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 1:35 PM
439	No development	11/14/2024 1:31 PM
440	TERRIBLE!!!	11/14/2024 1:28 PM
441	This will destroy a large amount of wildlife habitat, possibly causing more accidents with deer running in roads since they are being displaced	11/14/2024 1:24 PM
442	na	11/14/2024 1:23 PM
443	connectivity with other parts of park is great	11/14/2024 1:22 PM
444	No development	11/14/2024 1:21 PM

445	no development	11/14/2024 1:19 PM
446	This plan feels like just another event center and a place attempting to bring as many people as possible into the area. A wildlife area should remain as wild as we can let it be for the native plants and animals. Light pollution from lit paths could disrupt and confuse migrating species and birds, and also enable or encourage destructive behaviors from members of the community who may not care about wildlife in the area.	11/14/2024 1:16 PM
447	This is a terrible idea. We don't need this land overrun by the amount of people these parking lots will allow! You will ruin the beauty of this area and destroy all we love about it.	11/14/2024 1:15 PM
448	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 1:14 PM
449	Far too much development.	11/14/2024 1:11 PM
450	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 1:11 PM
451	Least amount of changes	11/14/2024 1:04 PM
452	I prefer the interpretation center vs the event center in the hybrid plan. I prefer the 125 space parking lot over the 200 in the hybrid. I do not like the further pavement impacts of the loop and drop off at the interpretation center or the area that is slated for overflow parking - I am assuming this would be a reinforced sort of turf.	11/14/2024 1:04 PM
453	Absolutely not. This is the worst one. Stop being greedy and leave this land alone.	11/14/2024 1:03 PM
454	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 1:02 PM
455	No development	11/14/2024 1:02 PM
456	No development	11/14/2024 12:59 PM
457	None!	11/14/2024 12:57 PM
458	No development	11/14/2024 12:53 PM
459	No development	11/14/2024 12:53 PM
460	Absolutely not. This is a horrible plan	11/14/2024 12:52 PM
461	Love all the trails and habitat restoration areas but not the buildings and parking spaces.	11/14/2024 12:52 PM
462	N/A	11/14/2024 12:51 PM
463	No development	11/14/2024 12:50 PM
464	No development	11/14/2024 12:50 PM
465	No development	11/14/2024 12:48 PM
466	No DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 12:47 PM
467	Keep it simple	11/14/2024 12:47 PM
468	No development	11/14/2024 12:46 PM
469	No thank you on this one	11/14/2024 12:46 PM
470	Please do not overdevelop this land	11/14/2024 12:41 PM
471	This is unnecessary	11/14/2024 12:41 PM
472	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 12:40 PM
473	No development	11/14/2024 12:40 PM
474	Minimize detrimental impact to the ecosystem	11/14/2024 12:39 PM
475	no development	11/14/2024 12:38 PM
476	See note above; I am worried about this park losing its "natural area" appeal like many parks along the lakeshore.	11/14/2024 12:37 PM
477	I do not support any development	11/14/2024 12:37 PM

478	Trails are great. Leave them natural. The rest is unnecessary.	11/14/2024 12:37 PM
479	No development	11/14/2024 12:37 PM
480	No Development	11/14/2024 12:37 PM
481	No development	11/14/2024 12:36 PM
482	No Development	11/14/2024 12:36 PM
483	Keep it simple. Trails both accessible and more strenuous. Bathroom. Parking for those using the parks. Stop trying to commercialize it.	11/14/2024 12:36 PM
484	No Development!	11/14/2024 12:33 PM
485	none	11/14/2024 12:31 PM
486	Na	11/14/2024 12:27 PM
487	No development	11/14/2024 12:27 PM
488	No development	11/14/2024 12:27 PM
489	This is just too much. Please don't. There are already an abundance of underutilized parks along the Lakeshore that have already been developed. Fix up one of those.	11/14/2024 12:27 PM
490	This plan is a horrible idea and seems likely to result in destroying the inherent character of Rosy Mound as a place for nature and those who appreciate it. Once that character is destroyed, there will be no going back.	11/14/2024 12:22 PM
491	No development please	11/14/2024 12:22 PM
492	Trail head is least invasive. I am not for the development of this area	11/14/2024 12:21 PM
493	N/a	11/14/2024 12:19 PM
494	None	11/14/2024 12:15 PM
495	I really like the connections to existing trails, particularly as boardwalks with minimal impact to natural areas.	11/14/2024 12:14 PM
496	No extension development	11/14/2024 12:13 PM
497	No	11/14/2024 12:13 PM
498	Great trails on this plan but there is too much development in terms of buildings and paved surfaces. A picnic structure capable of holding 50 people would be more than adequate.	11/14/2024 12:11 PM
499	No development	11/14/2024 12:09 PM
500	Don't want any of them	11/14/2024 12:09 PM
501	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 12:08 PM
502	NO DEVELOPMENT!	11/14/2024 12:08 PM
503	no development	11/14/2024 12:08 PM
504	This is the most accessible plan	11/14/2024 12:07 PM
505	None	11/14/2024 12:06 PM
506	No development	11/14/2024 12:06 PM
507	None of this is necessary	11/14/2024 12:03 PM
508	Too much. Great plan in theory, but very intrusive for the parks overall goal behind purchasing the land to protect it from development.	11/14/2024 12:00 PM
509	None	11/14/2024 11:59 AM
510	NA	11/14/2024 11:59 AM
511	The beauty of this area is the remote, peacefulness. Do not make it overrun with people and events. Will ruin the entire area	11/14/2024 11:57 AM

512	No development	11/14/2024 11:55 AM
513	DO NOT DEVELOP	11/14/2024 11:55 AM
514	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 11:55 AM
515	While I agree that Rosy Mound needs more parking desperately, I'm not sure how I feel about a parking lot with more lighting, due to the impacts on wildlife.	11/14/2024 11:54 AM
516	Do not build this concept.	11/14/2024 11:53 AM
517	None	11/14/2024 11:52 AM
518	add a mountain bike skills park or pump track	11/14/2024 11:50 AM
519	I don't like it. Leave it alone.	11/14/2024 11:49 AM
520	Nice just try to let some land be.	11/14/2024 11:49 AM
521	No development!!	11/14/2024 11:48 AM
522	Absolutely disgusting.	11/14/2024 11:48 AM
523	The land should remain natural and undeveloped.	11/14/2024 11:46 AM
524	No major development	11/14/2024 11:46 AM
525	We don't need shelters or action of parking.	11/14/2024 11:46 AM
526	Leave as is. No development.	11/14/2024 11:44 AM
527	No development!!	11/14/2024 11:44 AM
528	None	11/14/2024 11:44 AM
529	No development	11/14/2024 11:43 AM
530	No development	11/14/2024 11:42 AM
531	No development	11/14/2024 11:41 AM
532	DO NOT DEVELOP	11/14/2024 11:41 AM
533	No development	11/14/2024 11:40 AM
534	I prefer the natural areas remain as natural as possible so plants and animals are accommodated more than people.	11/14/2024 11:40 AM
535	Keep it natural!	11/14/2024 11:38 AM
536	Nope. Nope. Nope.	11/14/2024 11:37 AM
537	THE TREES CANT BE HARMED IF THE LORAX IS ARMED	11/14/2024 11:36 AM
538	No development	11/14/2024 11:36 AM
539	No development is best.	11/14/2024 11:35 AM
540	Less development is best	11/14/2024 11:34 AM
541	No Development.	11/14/2024 11:34 AM
542	N/A	11/14/2024 11:33 AM
543	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 11:33 AM
544	No development	11/14/2024 11:33 AM
545	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 11:33 AM
546	THIS IS HORRIBLE. NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 11:32 AM
547	None	11/14/2024 11:32 AM
548	none	11/14/2024 11:32 AM

549	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/14/2024 11:31 AM
550	No park	11/14/2024 11:30 AM
551	No development	11/14/2024 11:30 AM
552	No development	11/14/2024 11:29 AM
553	Too much is not always a good thing	11/14/2024 11:29 AM
554	No development	11/14/2024 11:29 AM
555	No development	11/14/2024 11:28 AM
556	No changes to park	11/14/2024 11:28 AM
557	No development	11/14/2024 11:27 AM
558	No development	11/14/2024 6:44 AM
559	Terrible idea!!!	11/14/2024 5:07 AM
560	No development	11/13/2024 10:39 PM
561	Prefer NO DEVELOPMENT!	11/13/2024 10:09 PM
562	No Development	11/13/2024 9:52 PM
563	NO development	11/13/2024 8:29 PM
564	I hate these plans, do not develop the land into this.	11/13/2024 8:11 PM
565	Minimal impact... keep Rosy unique	11/13/2024 7:48 PM
566	Stop taking away current animal habitats!! The whole world does not need to be developed into things for humans. We have enough playgrounds and parking lots. We have enough existing picnic shelters at other parks only a few miles away. PLEASE leave Rosy Mound as it is. You've already destroyed Ottawa sands!	11/13/2024 7:05 PM
567	I would stop pavement at the parking lot.	11/13/2024 7:01 PM
568	No development	11/13/2024 5:59 PM
569	No development	11/13/2024 5:56 PM
570	Making the park too "fancy" may invite overuse and environmental destruction. Also, I feel that lights in the woods somewhat ruin the ambiance; however, an additional connector path to the existing east corner of the park could be quite helpful, and provide more hiking options.	11/13/2024 4:36 PM
571	No development	11/13/2024 2:17 PM
572	NA	11/13/2024 1:47 PM
573	.	11/13/2024 1:39 PM
574	No development	11/13/2024 1:29 PM
575	Not developing the land would be awesome!	11/13/2024 1:21 PM
576	Open picnic options would be fine but a structure would take away from the natural side of the park. An additional building would also take away from the natural aspect and take up more space.	11/13/2024 12:58 PM
577	No development	11/13/2024 10:54 AM
578	Na	11/13/2024 10:41 AM
579	No development	11/13/2024 10:37 AM
580	No more!	11/13/2024 10:17 AM
581	None	11/13/2024 9:26 AM
582	125 parking spaces would be max I would like. Less is better.	11/13/2024 8:03 AM

583	No development	11/13/2024 7:12 AM
584	Space added for bus parking would be overkill and does not go in line with the original rosy mound park	11/12/2024 10:22 PM
585	No development, please preserve the environment	11/12/2024 9:50 PM
586	I don't like the road or parking lot getting that far inside the site.	11/12/2024 9:01 PM
587	-	11/12/2024 3:30 PM
588	Do not put in a large picnic shelter unless you are willing to staff people for cleanup. That picnic shelter has the greatest potential to generate trash that could pollute the ecosystem.	11/12/2024 3:23 PM
589	This one feels like overkill. Although lighted trails, perhaps for crosscountry skiing, would be a nice feature in the winter.	11/12/2024 1:42 PM
590	No development	11/12/2024 12:50 PM
591	too much area developed	11/12/2024 11:51 AM
592	no development	11/12/2024 10:48 AM
593	I'd really like to see bicycle access to the beach. A playground type area. Overlooks etc... it was a sand mine so let's not get carried away trying to make it into a nature preserve. A park for people.	11/12/2024 10:28 AM
594	I do not support this concept, as development features (roads, structures) intrude significantly into the natural dune ecosystem. This is a special type of county park - a designated Natural Area!	11/12/2024 10:23 AM
595	Don't develop at all	11/12/2024 9:25 AM
596	Too many parking spaces.	11/12/2024 9:17 AM
597	.	11/12/2024 8:33 AM
598	N/A	11/12/2024 8:20 AM
599	Stop development leave it natural	11/12/2024 8:15 AM
600	Lovely plan as well	11/12/2024 7:58 AM
601	Add picnic shelters for smaller groups (25/50) or a means (barn doors?) to subdivide the large shelter.	11/12/2024 6:31 AM
602	Please no development.	11/12/2024 4:58 AM
603	None	11/12/2024 4:52 AM
604	No development	11/12/2024 12:55 AM
605	Too much	11/11/2024 10:41 PM
606	Na	11/11/2024 10:26 PM
607	None	11/11/2024 10:11 PM
608	No development	11/11/2024 9:42 PM
609	Would love to see a mountain bike loop	11/11/2024 9:17 PM
610	Great Plan	11/11/2024 9:07 PM
611	I don't like how much road surface this includes	11/11/2024 8:53 PM
612	No development	11/11/2024 8:50 PM
613	Absolutely not.	11/11/2024 8:07 PM
614	Noo don't put crushed rock there!	11/11/2024 8:03 PM
615	I am not at all a fan of this	11/11/2024 7:55 PM
616	None	11/11/2024 7:23 PM

617	I like the idea of the interpretive center, but wish you would update and improve your existing nature center at Hemlock Crossing first. The "traveling" exhibit has been there 2+ years and is dark, stale and unwelcoming. Do better.	11/11/2024 7:00 PM
618	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/11/2024 6:55 PM
619	None	11/11/2024 6:49 PM
620	It's just to much. Invest in current nature center or Pigeon Creek for an interpretive center.	11/11/2024 6:43 PM
621	Please don't	11/11/2024 6:34 PM
622	No development	11/11/2024 5:39 PM
623	Far too overdeveloped for a natural area. I'd like to appreciate Rosy Mound Natural Area for what the area offers best. A natural coastal dune experience without excess.	11/11/2024 4:08 PM
624	Impact too high	11/11/2024 3:26 PM
625	None	11/11/2024 1:37 PM
626	Lighting could be disruptive to animal populations	11/11/2024 1:27 PM
627	terrible choice	11/11/2024 1:25 PM
628	False Choice- There is not a choice for "NONE of the above" The proposed location of parking area entrance is a busy area with school traffic and children. Further development would create additional danger with cars pulling in and out in the middle of a blind turn. There does not need to be an additional parking area and restroom. The existing parking area can be expanded with minimal impact and less cost.	11/11/2024 11:31 AM
629	I think the Interpretation center at the dune perch would require messing with the natural beauty too much.	11/11/2024 11:28 AM
630	Add more natural surface trails.	11/11/2024 11:27 AM
631	NONE - this would add too much traffic to an area that cannot support the current Rosy traffic. It would be dangerous.	11/11/2024 11:20 AM
632	This is too much impact.	11/11/2024 11:04 AM
633	Please do not develop	11/11/2024 10:37 AM
634	Again the Full Utilization Plan is my least favorite.	11/11/2024 10:30 AM
635	Playground/playscape for kids would still be a nice addition	11/11/2024 7:30 AM
636	None	11/11/2024 7:13 AM
637	I don't like how much of the land is wasted with a long driveway. I would rather have trails to walk through the land, like in the hybrid plan, than have cars and buildings and pavement encroach so far into the new acquisition.	11/11/2024 6:47 AM
638	No development	11/11/2024 12:23 AM
639	The congestion is awful already in that section of Lakeshore Drive. Stop exploiting things and pretending to somehow be more virtuous than any other developer.	11/10/2024 9:22 PM
640	Keep it natural with reasonable parking for people to enjoy	11/10/2024 8:42 PM
641	No development	11/10/2024 8:40 PM
642	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/10/2024 7:50 PM
643	This should not be a large rental park. There are less sensitive parks that rentals are a better match for. We don't need to spend funding to create a large wedding venue on the beach. That is not naturally focused preservation of the site or recreation. Let the private sector provide those.	11/10/2024 7:16 PM
644	125 extra spots will make trails too crowded	11/10/2024 6:30 PM
645	not sure whether accommodating large parties is needed or appropriate	11/10/2024 4:36 PM

646	Way too much development.	11/10/2024 4:26 PM
647	Pushing a wheelchair on crushed gravel is really tough.	11/10/2024 2:16 PM
648	Dont develop the land	11/10/2024 2:03 PM
649	None	11/10/2024 12:53 PM
650	No developments, please!	11/10/2024 11:59 AM
651	Shelters, full bathrooms, playground for kids, and lots of parking spaces are the most important to me and my family.	11/10/2024 11:42 AM
652	I see the building in Spring Lake park on the river and it sits empty looks out of place . Kids use the water park but they live near. We do not need to build some thing nobody uses. Nature is best do not need the city life to follow to the park . Defeats the purpose of having a park .	11/10/2024 11:17 AM
653	NO DEVELOPMENT	11/10/2024 11:11 AM
654	No Development!!!!	11/10/2024 11:06 AM
655	No development! You can't fix what isn't broken. Leave it natural.	11/10/2024 10:47 AM
656	Keep it natural	11/10/2024 10:02 AM
657	Keep Rosy natural and resist converting to a full park experience.	11/10/2024 9:27 AM
658	N/A	11/10/2024 8:54 AM
659	This has an extra trail and closer access to people headed to the beach only. The trail could be added to plan 2 and that would be the best.	11/10/2024 8:42 AM
660	N/a	11/10/2024 8:40 AM
661	See above	11/10/2024 8:15 AM
662	Some benches instead of an interpretation center. Let people have nature without all the extra. All those buildings/infrastructure required additional maintenance, and additional funding that just continues to increase costs in the long run.	11/10/2024 3:46 AM
663	None	11/10/2024 1:37 AM
664	N/A	11/9/2024 10:55 PM
665	The parking, as well as the roads to it, is located far too deep into the interior of the parcel. This plan definitely disturbs far too much of the natural environment. Please do not move forward with this plan... PLEASE undertake the minimal option, as disrupting the natural habitat is not good stewardship of the parcel of land!	11/9/2024 10:43 PM
666	Remove play areas	11/9/2024 10:08 PM
667	Needs nature scape playground for kids! Maybe even double the size of the one planned in Hybrid concept.	11/9/2024 9:54 PM
668	Picnic shelter for 150 seems too large	11/9/2024 9:47 PM
669	Too much parking, too many buildings. No longer a natural area. Seems like it would be crowded and noisy.	11/9/2024 9:29 PM
670	Absolutely not. Do not develop this land	11/9/2024 7:35 PM
671	Parking garage and shopping mall and drive in movie theater could be added.	11/9/2024 6:11 PM
672	Over developed for a natural area.	11/9/2024 4:40 PM
673	I like this	11/9/2024 3:57 PM
674	I don't like he 3 season room for large events and I don't like the boardwalk and trail on the dune that runs parallel to Lakeshore Drive	11/9/2024 3:44 PM
675	the amenities added seem lackluster and like a half-measure to not eat up to many resources	11/9/2024 11:28 AM
676	More trails. Less amenities.	11/9/2024 11:07 AM

677	I approve of NONE of these features.	11/9/2024 10:36 AM
678	Is the overflow parking meant for bus parking too? I don't think a whole extra paved area to turn around is needed if the parking lot is already designed with ample turn around space. Just more paved and unnatural, impervious surface to contend with in a natural area.	11/9/2024 10:21 AM
679	The deeper roads feel intrusive to the natural habitat. We need to think of the plant life and wildlife as well. Too much parking. This will invite a lot of beach goers with all the parking & the nature walks may become inaccessible to walkers and nature lovers during summer months. Want to preserve as much of the natural space as possible.	11/9/2024 10:20 AM
680	Shares many good features with hybrid plan but not as much access for parking	11/9/2024 9:24 AM
681	0/10 don't make it a destination beach. Can we keep some stuff for the locals?	11/9/2024 8:58 AM
682	No playground? I love all the trails and connections, but the giant event space seems unnecessary.	11/9/2024 7:42 AM
683	The barrier-free trail is crucial. We need to develop a park that everyone can enjoy.	11/9/2024 7:41 AM
684	This is horrendous and just too much. Gross.	11/9/2024 7:09 AM
685	Feels like a bit too much. No need for that much parking, or layered decks. Feels too theme park-like and not enough nature preserve.	11/8/2024 10:43 PM
686	None	11/8/2024 9:47 PM
687	None	11/8/2024 5:28 PM
688	N/A	11/8/2024 5:13 PM
689	I like that the two parking lots are connected. The interpretation center. Side note to the information about myself. I've lived in Ottawa County for almost 50 years and driven by Rosy Mounds Natural Area 100's of times and never never knew it was open to the public. Hopefully I can check it out before the snow arrives	11/8/2024 5:01 PM
690	Add two bathrooms near the lake in the middle of the park and at the north side of the lakefront.	11/8/2024 4:30 PM
691	None	11/8/2024 3:17 PM
692	No interpretation center	11/8/2024 3:12 PM
693	I like the idea of keeping this a natural space and not so much a place for going to the beach or for large events. Keep it for exploring and school groups.	11/8/2024 2:37 PM
694	I live within walking distance of Rosy Mound Natural Area 8 months of the year. I winter in Ann Arbor. I own a house a few miles south of Grand Haven.	11/8/2024 12:15 PM
695	It does not seem that there is any kids play area in this plan	11/8/2024 10:49 AM
696	This concept is excessively sprawling across the site. You would have to walk/drive over half a mile from the entrance to get to "natural" areas where you could no longer see parking lot or road. A 150 person picnic shelter also seems way too large. Protecting additional land and natural spaces for conservation was the highest ranking initiative in the strategic plan survey, and this plan doesn't seem to emphasize that result.	11/8/2024 10:04 AM
697	All plans affect important natural features on lakeshore. The full utilization plan has the greatest impact on natural features. My inclination is to restrict, as much as is possible, development along currently undeveloped sections of the Lake Michigan shoreline.	11/8/2024 9:44 AM
698	I could be convinced about the east trail, but I think the parking lot and drop off loop is excessive.	11/8/2024 9:25 AM
699	Unsure of need of all the extra amenities. Just need parking, bathroom, and trails. Focus on access.	11/8/2024 9:05 AM
700	This plan gives more access to more people	11/8/2024 8:41 AM
701	Terrible and destructive to the natural beauty of the land...	11/8/2024 8:31 AM
702	Again, more trails provide better access to nature. I don't mind a larger picnic shelter, but feel	11/8/2024 8:15 AM

that an event center and interpretation center are unnecessary.


703	Keeping planned facilities closer to Lakeshore Dr should reduce the cost of buildings that require electric and water. Also prevents further disturbance from running utilities to the dune top.	11/8/2024 8:12 AM
704	I would not connect the new park facility with trails to the old park facility. Leave it alone. How about a mountain off road bike trail.	11/8/2024 7:56 AM
705	Minimal impact	11/8/2024 6:41 AM
706	Since I can't write an answer to Question 14 below, I'll do it here. My family and I visited Rosy Mound more often BEFORE the improvements were made. Requiring purchase of a park pass is a barrier to using the park for those who do not live nearby.	11/8/2024 6:39 AM
707	Bringing vehicles even further into the park would add an element of noise and exhaust fumes that would have a negative impact, not to mention the impact of all that added pavement and maintenance of it. This would significantly change the feel of the park in ways that would be quite detrimental, in my opinion.	11/8/2024 12:14 AM
708	No lighting... dark skies :)	11/7/2024 9:58 PM
709	Same comments as before, adding a large Pavillion for 100+ people would change the park and allow large groups. That would change the atmosphere. Sadly, I would choose to walk trails elsewhere and would not utilize this park anymore.	11/7/2024 9:53 PM
710	This full plan is distressing to see. The draw and beauty of Rosy is its simplicity. This plan is overdone and so unfortunate!!	11/7/2024 9:33 PM
711	None	11/7/2024 9:14 PM
712	Way too much parking. RM will loose it's uniqueness	11/7/2024 8:28 PM
713	Negative impact on Dunes and nature	11/7/2024 7:43 PM
714	Might have too heavy usage	11/7/2024 7:24 PM
715	None	11/7/2024 7:23 PM
716	I'm having a hard time imagining what the interpretive center at the top of the dune would look like and how accessible it would be. I think it needs an interpretive/nature center but this location I'm unsure of unless I see how it would look/function. Parking area is nicely sized. I love the connector trail between parking areas and at the center of the park too.	11/7/2024 7:22 PM
717	This is too busy!!! Will soccer fields be next????	11/7/2024 7:21 PM
718	Too big!!	11/7/2024 7:10 PM
719	See comments above.	11/7/2024 7:08 PM
720	N/A	11/7/2024 6:52 PM
721	Too much to be all added at once	11/7/2024 6:42 PM
722	Maybe adding a few seats to the shelter. Also if the shelter was designed to allow multiple groups	11/7/2024 6:41 PM
723	None	11/7/2024 6:37 PM
724	Way too invasive and destructive to habitat. Too costly.	11/7/2024 6:17 PM
725	Like connecting to existing trails	11/7/2024 5:32 PM
726	Too ambitious (and expensive) feature set. Start with a smaller initial footprint, but with a layout that would allow for future expansion/size of features and amenities.	11/7/2024 3:09 PM
727	Seems like too much development for the area.	11/7/2024 1:03 PM
728	Picnic shelter size seems a bit excessive.	11/7/2024 12:26 PM
729	Just make sure you have more than enough parking available. Playscape area similar to Outdoor discovery center might be nice. Or a building with a learning center like Outdoor	11/7/2024 11:22 AM

	discovery center. Is there a pond onsite for catch a release fishing, or would that be a possible good idea?	
730	Seems like a more expensive unnecessary plan. Move parking lot further east. Use more of the land between the parking lot and beach.	11/7/2024 10:51 AM
731	This plan has my most preferred trail plan as it creates the most amount of new trails. I really like how the trail plan ties into existing trails. Not a fan of how much space the parking and facilities take up on this plan. I like the overlooks.	11/7/2024 10:10 AM
732	LOVE this plan with the extra building at the overlook. What an amazing building this could be. Weddings, special events, education, meeting space. So many potential ideas! This plan is very forward thinking and sets up a great space for generations of use and is a unique way to show off the dunes.	11/7/2024 10:07 AM
733	Addition of lights and an interpretation center feels utterly opposite of what this space should be. That feels overbuilt and offensive to the land.	11/7/2024 9:33 AM
734	I'm not in favor of over-development of parks and natural spaces. There are plenty of very developed parks in Ottawa County's quiver already (Ottawa Dunes, for example). I do like the additional trails featured here - more of these, please!	11/7/2024 8:36 AM
735	Is the overflow parking area necessary?	11/7/2024 8:32 AM
736	This just goes way too far. You will have fundamentally changed the character of this land permanently. There are so few areas on the water that are publicly accessible - with such a natural character- (that is, not overly developed with parking lots etc.). Please do as little as you can to make this safe and accessible but to preserve the natural character.	11/7/2024 8:19 AM
737	n/a	11/7/2024 8:07 AM
738	Light pollution interferes with fauna, particularly migrating birds. If park is closed during dark hours, no need for lighting.	11/7/2024 7:55 AM
739	Best	11/7/2024 6:36 AM
740	yes to barrier free loop, but i don't think lighting is necessary	11/6/2024 9:51 PM
741	to much impact	11/6/2024 9:36 PM
742	NA	11/6/2024 8:19 PM
743	You need to find a way to get modern flushing toilets near the beach. Without that, the area will remain underutilized.	11/6/2024 7:04 PM
744	Parking and trail head amenities are important.	11/6/2024 6:02 PM
745	Would the picnic shelter offer a view of the lake? Likely not...the topography there might be a hot spot, lack breeze, scenery?	11/6/2024 4:08 PM
746	.	11/6/2024 3:53 PM
747	.	11/6/2024 3:41 PM
748	None	11/6/2024 3:36 PM
749	Mountain Bike Flow Trails	11/6/2024 3:36 PM
750	I'm not quite sure what an Interpretation center is.	11/6/2024 3:35 PM
751	I like the idea of more hiking trails, but want to keep it as natural as possible.	11/6/2024 3:24 PM
752	none	11/6/2024 3:18 PM
753	None	11/6/2024 3:09 PM
754	none	11/6/2024 2:58 PM
755	More trails will allow visitors to be spread out over the space.	11/6/2024 2:55 PM
756	Please keep foot traffic away from the entrance to Terry Trails Association. The public feels free to walk our private road whenever possible. We have in the past experienced problems with non-members of the private association.	11/6/2024 2:49 PM

757	This destroys way too much of the property, keep it as natural as possible...do not need more parking or more buildings. The cost to build and maintain this, while destroying the largest percentage of the property, makes this the worst option.	11/6/2024 2:29 PM
758	YURT CAMPING?	11/6/2024 2:06 PM
759	I'm don't think this area needs to be developed.	11/6/2024 2:00 PM
760	None	11/6/2024 1:40 PM
761	Lighted parking might strike some as unnecessary, but they haven't been alone at Kirk at 9:50 at night in late August! This option does more things for more people, and just from the charts given this is the one I would recommend.	11/6/2024 1:39 PM
762	na	11/6/2024 1:37 PM
763	I like the Dune Perch.	11/6/2024 1:35 PM
764	Parking area and picnic shelter are too small. I like plan #2 because I think there is a need for large groups in this type of setting.	11/6/2024 1:34 PM
765	Scheduled bus connections with Macatawa Bus and Interurban Bus. Seniors without vehicles or have given up driving could utilize the park.	11/6/2024 1:31 PM
766	I don't understand the extra paved loop towards the Dune Perch in this plan. Seems like that could remain more natural instead of man-made	11/6/2024 1:27 PM
767	I like the connection to the existing Rosy Mound trailhead	11/6/2024 1:18 PM
768	Love all the additional trails, do not like how the parking and paved driving surfaces extend much further into park and would take away from the peacefulness of the 1 mile loop.	11/6/2024 1:14 PM
769	I appreciate you sending a survey out!	11/6/2024 1:04 PM
770	Absolutely no overflow parking. No huge lot. No huge picnic area	11/6/2024 12:52 PM
771	excited to see this come to fruition	11/6/2024 12:43 PM
772	I oppose the additional impervious surface proposed for the extended driveway. The trails do not need to connect at two points.	11/6/2024 12:41 PM

Q10 Do you live in Ottawa County?


Answered: 1,437 Skipped: 72



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	87.06%	1,251
No	12.94%	186
TOTAL		1,437

Q11 What is your age?


Answered: 1,448 Skipped: 61



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
0-17	0.35% 5
18-34	27.69% 401
35-44	22.31% 323
45-54	16.64% 241
55-64	16.71% 242
65+	16.30% 236
TOTAL	1,448

Q12 What are the ages of people in your household (Check all that apply)?


Answered: 1,399 Skipped: 110



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Under age of 5	14.44%	202
5-9	14.80%	207
10-14	14.58%	204
15-19	14.37%	201
20-24	14.72%	206
25-34	28.23%	395
35-44	25.59%	358
45-54	21.44%	300
55-64	22.16%	310
65+	19.30%	270
Total Respondents: 1,399		

Q13 How often do you visit a park in Ottawa County?


Answered: 1,460 Skipped: 49



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Often	78.42%	1,145
Sometimes	20.07%	293
Seldom	1.37%	20
Never	0.14%	2
TOTAL		1,460

Q14 How often do you visit Rosy Mound?

Answered: 1,463 Skipped: 46



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Often	36.77% 538
Sometimes	50.85% 744
Seldom	10.66% 156
Never	1.71% 25
TOTAL	1,463